S
UK
945
CAR

THE

LAW OF PATENTS

For Inventions,

FAMILIARLY EXPLAINED

FOR THE USE OF

INVENTORS AND PATENTEES.

BY

WILLIAM CARPMAEL, ESQ.,

MEMBER OF THE HONOURABLE SOCIETY OF LINCOLN'S INN, OF THE INSTITUTION OF CIVIL ENGINEERS, ETC., ETC.

FIFTH EDITION.

LONDON:

SIMPKIN, MARSHALL, AND CO.,

STATIONERS' HALL COURT;

AND WEALE, HIGH HOLBORN.

1852.

Tx
C298
el 5

ALEX. MACINTOSH,
PRINTER,
GREAT NEW-STREET, LONDON.

Rec. Sept. 24, 1853

ADVERTISEMENT.

A Fifth Edition of this Work being called for by reason of the new Statute, the Author has made such alterations and additions as have become necessary, in order that the extensive changes made in the mode of granting Letters Patent for Inventions may be understood.

In former Editions of this Work, the Author, in citing judgments given in the Courts of Law in Patent cases, referred to the numerous Law Books containing the published reports, but few, if any, patentees, manufacturers, or inventors, could have the opportunity of reading them. The Author has for many years had in his possession a Manuscript collection of Law Reports of Patent cases, many of which had not been printed, and he has lately published a large proportion of these cases in the

"Repertory of Patent Inventions," a work of easy reference to manufacturers and inventors; and in the present volume, the Author, in addition to stating where the cases can be found in the Law Books, has also referred to his own Reports, by which the patentee, the manufacturer, and the inventor, may turn to any case cited, and judge for himself as to the general tenour of the judgment given in a particular case.

LINCOLN'S INN,
October, 1852.

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

AMONGST the various publications, which at present treat of the Laws relating to Patents for Inventions, it is surprising that no popular work, adapted to the use of inventors and patentees, has made its appearance, particularly when we reflect that they have to suffer from any want of judgment in obtaining grants from the Crown to protect new inventions.

To supply this defect is the object of the present work; and it has been the desire of the Author to explain, in a familiar manner, the nature of Letters Patent, and the laws which relate to this description of property.

The many years the Author has been engaged in the study of mechanical science, and in giving advice to inventors and patentees, as to the best means of securing to themselves a recompense for their ingenuity, have made him acquainted with the description of information most generally required by that class of persons; and, at the same time, with the know-

ledge of the loss of many valuable inventions, from the inventors not being possessed of sufficient information as to what is required, either to guide them in obtaining Letters Patent, or to enable them to judge of the capacity of those to whom they intrust the drawing of their specifications.

It has been the desire of the Author briefly to explain the essential points to be observed in securing inventions by Letters Patent. In doing which, he has thought it necessary to enforce, strongly and repeatedly, the care requisite to the main objects to be attended to in keeping to the inventor the sole and exclusive right in his invention; these are—*the Title, which, in the first instance, is given to the invention on application for a Patent;*—*and the Specification, which describes and defines the nature and extent of the Invention.*

LINCOLN'S INN,
July, 1832.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

Page

Of Letters Patent for Inventions 1

CHAPTER II.

Of the Construction which has been put on the Act 21 James I. c. 3, s. 6, shewing what may become the subject of a Patent. 11

CHAPTER III.

On the new application of known Principles to mechanical and manufacturing purposes 34

CHAPTER IV.

The Patent Law Amendment Act 1852 45

CHAPTER V.

The care to be observed in obtaining Patents for Inventions 52

CHAPTER VI.

Of the Specification or description of the Invention . 63

CHAPTER VII.

Page

An Act to amend the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions 88

CHAPTER VIII.

On the Clauses and Provisos contained in Letters Patent 103

CHAPTER IX.

On the Legal Proceedings to be taken to protect Letters Patent 106

CHAPTER X.

Observations on the Patent Laws, and on the manner of trying Patent Causes. 120

APPENDIX.

Statutes:—18 Hen. VI. c. 1 i
13 Eliz. c. 6 ii
21 Jac. I. c. 3 iv
5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 62 ix
5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 83 xii
2 & 3 Vic. c. 67 xviii
3 & 4 Vic. c. 24 xxi
5 & 6 Vic. c. 97 xxii
7 & 8 Vic. c. 69 xxiv
14 & 15 Vic. c. 82 xxvii
15 & 16 Vic. c. 83 xxx

Page

Cost of Patents for the United Kingdom . lvii, lxx
Form of Declaration on application for a Patent . lviii
——— Petition to Her Majesty lviii
——— Provisional Specification lx
——— Reference to the Attorney or Solicitor-General lx
——— Warrant lx
——— Letters Patent lxii
——— Specification lxvii
Rules of the Commissioners of Patents . . . lxviii
——— Law Officers lxxi
——— to be observed in Proceedings before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council . . lxxvii
Form of Petition to Her Majesty's Attorney or Solicitor-General for leave to enter Disclaimer, &c. . lxxx
——— Entry Paper lxxx
——— Certificate of Fiat to be endorsed on Disclaimer lxxxi
——————— to be endorsed on Entry Paper lxxxii
——— Caveats against Disclaimer or Extension of Patent lxxxii
——— Assignment of Letters Patent . . . lxxxiii
——— Licence to Use Invention lxxxvi

MESSRS. CARPMAEL AND CO.,

Patent Agents,

4, OLD SQUARE, LINCOLN'S INN,

LONDON.

Patents obtained for the United Kingdom, for France and other Continental States, and for America.

***Advice on the Law of Patents for Inventions,* Opinions on Cases of Infringement, and every assistance rendered to Patentees and Inventors. Specifications and Drawings for Patented Inventions prepared.**

Inventors assisted in ascertaining the Novelty of their Inventions.

N.B. Correct Alphabetical Lists of all Patents granted from the earliest periods may be examined without charge.

THE

LAW OF PATENTS

FOR

INVENTIONS.

CHAPTER I.

OF LETTERS PATENT FOR INVENTIONS.

We find in the earliest history of the manufactures of Great Britain, that it has ever been considered as part of the prerogative of the Crown to grant privileges of an exclusive character, as a reward to individuals who have been the first to introduce manufactures into this country. These grants may be said to be the origin of the laws of patents for inventions, and at the same time it may be safely stated, that we are indebted for the commencement of weaving woollen and linen fabrics, as well as for many other branches of our manufactures, to the privileges thus offered to foreigners to bring their

arts to this country, for it should be understood that, at the early period now spoken of, few manufactures originated with the natives of England. At that period this country might be said to be warlike, its inhabitants looking with a degree of contempt on the peaceful manufacturers, at the same time often taxing them heavily to carry on the wars; and hence privileges of incorporation, as compensation, were granted, by which all persons, within certain districts, were prevented carrying on a manufacture, unless free of a particular corporation.

So long as exclusive privileges were granted only to persons bringing new manufactures to this country, or to natives or others, for originating new inventions, these rewards from the Crown tended materially to advance trade. But a practice of another character was by degrees engrafted on this branch of the Crown's prerogative, that of granting to favourites, and also to others, exclusive rights for the sale of various articles of commerce. To such an extent had this abuse of the prerogative been carried in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, that public prosperity was sinking under its baneful effects. On the subject being brought to the notice of the House of Commons in that reign, it was stated that salt, iron, powder, cards, train oil, sea coal, brushes, pots, bottles, indeed almost every branch

of trade, was carried on by virtue of a monopoly, which had been granted by the Crown, either to favourites, or to others, for money to replenish an exhausted treasury. The examination into these improper grants called forth very decided expressions, from various members of the House of Commons, which induced Her Majesty to send a message to the House to the effect, that all monopolies should be cancelled; and many of them were put an end to; but it was not till the reign of James I., that such grants were actually destroyed, and prevented for the future, by the famous Statute of Monopolies (21st James I., c. 3), by which all monoplies were declared void; at the same time, defining the King's prerogative, in respect to the description of grants which might legally be made; amongst these were patents for inventions, which had theretofore been granted for twenty-one years, to use new manufactures, it enacted that patents should in future be granted for not more than fourteen years for "*any manner of new manufactures.*" This Act at once eradicated the system which had been so long and so prejudicially pursued.

Having thus given a concise outline of the origin of our present law of patents for inventions, it will be desirable to consider the meaning of the word "*monopoly*," it being often

confounded with a patent for an invention, which is certainly not a correct definition of a grant of this nature. A monopoly may be thus defined, it is "a grant or allowance by the King to any person or persons of the sole buying, selling, making, working, or using, of anything *whereby any person or persons are sought to be restrained of any freedom or liberty they had before.*"* Now it is evident, that a grant of a patent for an invention is the very opposite to a monopoly; for a patent, to be valid, must be for a new invention, consequently, no persons, by such a grant, are restrained from any freedom they *had before.* It is essential that this distinction should be borne in mind, as on it depends the whole law of patents. Some writers have been of opinion that even this limited prerogative is prejudicial to trade, preventing, as they conceive, the rapid strides of improvement, which, they imagine, would follow what may be termed a free trade in inventions, or, more properly speaking, that every invention should at once become the property of the public at large. Such propositions as these do not require very deep arguments to set them aside. Let the extra cost which is consequent on bringing any new invention to bear, and the anxiety to the inventor, be but for a moment considered, the answer will be

* Sir Edward Coke.

obvious, that no one would venture on a large outlay of monies, in realizing a new manufacture, if his neighbours and opponents in trade could immediately, on the invention being matured, proceed to work on the same plans without compensation to the inventor. Ingenuity evidently requires some encouragement and reward. What, it may be asked, can be more consistent, and, at the same time, more advantageous to the State, than to grant to the first inventor, or to the first introducer, of any valuable and new discovery, an exclusive privilege for a term of years, provided he lodge such a description of the invention as will enable the public at large to enjoy the invention more fully at the expiration of the patent right? Such is the nature of patent property.—It is a reward to the first inventor of any new means of producing a known material, or for producing a new manufacture which is useful in itself. In thus speaking of the "*first inventor*." the term should be understood to include the first introducer from abroad, who is held to be equally deserving of protection and reward for any new discoveries he may bring to this country. Thus, *Sergeant Hawkins*, says,* "It seemeth clear that the King may for a reasonable time make a grant to any one, of the sole use of an art invented or first

* Hawk. P. C. Bk. i. c. 79, s. 20.

brought into the realm by the grantee;" and in "Sheppard's Abridgement," it is laid down that the King may make such a grant to any man, who at his own charge wit or invention shall bring in a new trade or device into the realm;* and *Lord Chief Justice Tindal*† said, that "a person who has learned an invention abroad and imported it into this country, where it was not understood or known before, is the first and true inventor within the Statute. The cases decided before the Statute (James I.) prove that grants by the Crown to persons who had brought any new trade into the realm, were good at common law." And it was also held in the last mentioned case that the patentee may be only an agent for a foreigner, and therefore have no interest personally in the patent.

It has, till within a short period, been a common observation, that the laws relating to patents for inventions were not adapted to give to the inventor that protection which he had a right to expect for the advantages the country at all times derives from the introduction of any new means of manufacture; this feeling arose principally from the want of information which existed amongst those interested in patents as to what was the law;

* Part iii. tit. Prerog. p. 61.

† *Beard* v. *Egerton*, Law Times, vol. 7, p. 228; Rep. Arts, vol. 8, E. s. p. 47.

hence specifications, of valuable inventions, were constantly enrolled, from which no certain or definite knowledge, of what was really the claim of invention of the patentee, could be obtained.* It consequently followed, that when patents came into Court, having such specifications, however much the judges might be desirous of giving effect to the intention of the law, by keeping to the inventor a just recompense for his invention, still, with such documents as were formerly enrolled, it has often been impossible to support the patents; and this, in almost every case, has arisen from the patentee not defining, and, at the same time, clearly and honestly explaining, his invention. The extensive publication of the modern decisions in Courts of Law in patent cases, has, however, for the most part, removed all doubts as to patent property being secure, provided the patentee take the ordinary caution of a man of business, in making a clear and explicit specification; hence patents at the present time are taking that position amongst the various descriptions of property in England, which

* See *Macfarland* v. *Price*, 1 Stark. 199; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 309; *Hill* v. *Thompson et al.*, 2 B., Mo. 424; 8 Taunt. 375; Webs. R. 239; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 381; *The King* v. *Arkwright*, Dav. P. C. 61; Webs. R. 64; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 53; *Bovill and others* v. *Moore*, Dav. P. C. 361; 2 Marsh. 211; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 320.

they ought long ago to have arrived at; and this may, in a great measure, be attributed to the care and diligence, consequent on a better knowledge of the subject, which mark the drawing up of the larger portion of the specifications that have been enrolled within the last few years.

Every one must feel satisfied *that an inventor must know where his invention commences and where it stops.* This he should point out in his specification, and disclaim all the parts described which are not of his invention. In the absence of such information, other inventors, as well as the public in general, cannot know how much is secured by a particular patent; this they have a right to be informed of, and it is for this purpose the specification is required by law. *Lord Eldon* very justly remarked, that "they (the public) have a right to apply to the patent office to see the specification, that they may not throw away their time and labour, perhaps at a great expense, upon an invention upon which the patentee might afterwards come with his specification, alleging an infringement of his patent, when, if those persons had seen the specification, they never would have engaged in their project." * Yet how is this to be accomplished unless the patentee fully, fairly, and honestly, describes his invention, and at the same time points out how much of the

* Ex parte *Koops*, 6 Ves. 599; Carp. R., vol. 1, 175.

parts described constitutes the invention claimed under the patent.

By the Act of James I. the Crown is declared to have the power of granting letters patent of exclusive right for "*any manner of new manufactures;*" the Crown, in using the power, grants its privilege on condition that a full description of the invention shall be enrolled for the benefit of the public; at the same time, it conceives that the party in whose favour the grant is made, will take every means to make the patent most secure. *The patentee is therefore judged on his own deed.* If the patentee, in his specification, attempts to claim more than is new and useful, the patent is void; for the Crown has not the power of granting the exclusive use of that which is old, to any individual; and this is also the case if there be essential information kept back: and it will be clear to every one who examines the laws as at present constituted, that if an inventor be honest in describing his invention for the benefit of the public, and at the same time restrict his claim of novelty to that which is new and useful, the law will give him every protection.

By the amendments introduced by Lord Brougham's Act,* the severity of the law has been in some degree modified, a patentee having now

* 5 and 6 Will. iv. c. 83.

the right of disclaiming parts claimed by his original specification; at the same time, if the specification, at the trial of any suit or action, contains a claim of more than was new at the date of the patent, the patentee would fail in obtaining a verdict; and, further, if the suit were a writ of *scire facias* to repeal the patent, should the specification be found to contain a claim of more than was new, the patent would be declared void. It is therefore equally necessary, under the present state of the law, to use the utmost care in drawing up specifications, otherwise it will become the object of those who are desirous of setting aside a patent to proceed at once by *scire facias*.

CHAPTER II.

ON THE CONSTRUCTION WHICH HAS BEEN PUT ON THE ACT 21 JAMES I. c. 3, s. 6.

In the former chapter the Statute of Monopolies was spoken of in general terms, it will now be desirable that so much of the Statute as relates to patents for inventions should be quoted, in order that every one may judge for himself the meaning which the particular clause, now under consideration, is intended to convey. The Statute in the first place, declares that all "*grants of monopoly*" are contrary to the laws of the realm; it then directs that such monopolies shall be tried by the common law of the land, it disables all persons from practising or setting up such grants, and all parties grieved by pretext of a monopoly shall recover treble damages and double costs. It then goes on to declare that the Statute does not extend to patents which had been granted for new manufactures, nor to grants afterwards to be made for new inventions, in the following words (s. 6): "Provided also, and be it declared and enacted, that any declaration, before mentioned, shall not extend to any letters patent and grants of privilege, for the term of fourteen

years or under, hereafter to be made, of the sole working or making of *any manner of new manufactures* within this realm, to the true and first inventor and inventors of such manufactures, which others, at the time of making such letters patent and grants, shall not use, so as also they be not contrary to the law, nor mischievous to the State, by raising prices of commodities at home, or hurt of trade, or generally inconvenient: the said fourteen years to be accounted from the first letters patents, or grant of such privilege hereafter to be made; but that the same shall be of such force as they should be if this Act had never been made, and of none other." Thus it will be seen, that this Statute does not newly enact the law, but declares that the Crown had, before the passing of this Act, the power of making exclusive grants of privilege for "*the working or making of any manner of new manufactures ;*" it does, however, restrain the period for which such grants shall be made, to the term of fourteen years; the time having previously been twenty-one years. It is material that this Statute should be understood to be a declaratory Act, that is to say, an Act declaring what is the prerogative of the Crown, pointing out what may and what may not be legally done, for it will be evident that, if the Statute were to be read as enacting a new law, the words "to the true and first inventor or inventors of such manufactures," would preclude

a valid patent being granted to the importer of an invention communicated from abroad, but, on perusing the whole of the Statute of Monopolies, it will evidently appear that the clause respecting patents for inventions was inserted for the purpose of stating that grants of that nature were not to be considered monopolies; the laws therefore with respect to that part of the Crown's prerogative were "*to remain of such force as they should be if this act had never been made.*" It has already been stated, that the earliest grants were to foreigners, and for imported inventions; it follows from what has been above stated, that the custom existing previously to the passing of the Statute of James I., should be still upheld, and such has been the case in modern decisions; patents taken out by individuals in this country for inventions communicated from abroad having been constantly supported.*

The terms of the Statute, whereby the subject matter for a patent is defined, have at times been objected to. It has been stated that the words, "*any manner of new manufactures*," do not give that clear expression of what may become the object of a patent as might be desired. On a close examination of the meaning given to the word "*manufacture*" by our best authors and lexicographers, it will be found to be "*some-*

* See *Beard* v. *Egerton*, Law Times, vol. 7, p. 228; Rep. Arts., vol. 8, E. S. p. 47.

thing made by art," also "*the process of making anything by art;*" and these are the constructions which have ever been put on the Statute by the judges before whom patent causes have been tried. Thus *Mr. Justice Heath* remarked, in giving judgment in the case of *Boulton and Watt* v. *Bull,** "I approve of the term manufacture in the statute, because it precludes all nice refinements; it gives us to understand the reason of the proviso, that it was introduced for the benefit of trade. That which is the subject of a patent ought to be specified, and it ought to be that which is vendible, otherwise it cannot be a manufacture."

Lord Eldon also said, "There may be a valid patent for a new combination of materials previously in use for the same purpose, or for a new method of applying such materials; but in order to its being effectual, the specification must clearly express that it is in respect of such new combination or application, and of that only, and not lay claim to the merit of original invention in the use of the materials." †

And in another case *Lord Chief Justice Abbott* said, "Now the word '*manufacture*' has been generally understood to denote either a

* 2 H. Bl., 463; Dav. P. C. 162; 3 Ves. Jun. 140; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 136.

† *Hill* v. *Thompson and Forman*, 3 Meriv. 622; Webs. R., 229; Carp. R., vol. 1. p. 377.

thing made which is useful for its own sake, and vendible as such,—as a medicine, a stove, a telescope, and many others; or to mean an engine or instrument, or some part of an engine or instrument, to be employed either in the making of some previously known article, or in some other useful purpose,—as a stocking frame, or a steam engine for raising water from mines. Or it may, perhaps, extend to a new process to be carried on by known implements or elements acting upon known substances, and ultimately *producing some other known substance*; but producing it in a cheaper or more expeditious manner, or of a better and more useful kind. But no merely philosophical or abstract principle can answer to the word '*manufactures*.' Something of a corporeal and substantial *nature—something that can be made* by man from the matters subject to his art and skill, or, at the least, some new mode of employing practically his art and skill, is requisite to satisfy this word."* In the case of *Crane* v. *Price*,† where it was contended that the invention for which the letters patent were granted was not a manufacture within the meaning of the Statute of James I., *Lord Chief Justice Tindal*, in giving the judgment of the Court said, "The question

* *The King* v. *Wheeler*, 2 Barn. and Ald., 349; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 394.

† Webs. R., 408; 4 M. & G. 580.

therefore becomes this,—whether admitting the using of the hot air blast to have been known before in the manufacture of iron with bituminous coal, and the use of anthracite, or stone coal, to have been known before in the manufacture of iron with cold blast, but that the combination of the two together (the hot blast and the anthracite) were not known to be combined before in the manufacture of iron, whether such combination can be the subject of a patent. We are of opinion, that if the result produced by such a combination is either a new article, or a better article, or a cheaper article to the public, than that produced before by the old method, that such combination is an invention or manufacture intended by the Statute, and may well become the subject of a patent."

It has been a common practice in Courts of Law, for the counsel engaged against a patent to urge, that it is for a principle, and not a manufacture, for which the patent had been obtained. This has been the course pursued in some modern instances, though erroneously; * they having confounded the principle of action of the parts of a machine with a principle inherent in nature; a new combination or application of the former being the subject of a patent, whilst the

* *Minter* v. *Wells and Hart*, 5 Tyr. 163; 1 C. & M. & R. 505; Webs. R., 134; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 639; Rep. Arts, vol. 2, N. S., p. 354.

discovery of the latter, it has been laid down, at a very early period of patent law, would not be a good subject for a patent.

There does not appear to be any decided case of a patent having been set aside on these grounds; this may arise from the circumstance of very few patents ever having been applied for, to secure newly discovered principles, indeed it seldom happens that new principles are discovered. It must be evident to every one who has taken the trouble to examine into the question of what ought, and what ought not, to be the subject of a patent, that the discovery of a principle existing in nature is not an invention, nor is it such a discovery as can be protected, or for the use of which an exclusive privilege can be given. Such a grant would take from the public that which before existed? for although it may not be known in what manner a particular principle acts, its workings are at all times going on in nature. Thus I may instance Newton's discovery of the cause and operation of gravitation: that process of nature had ever been going on, and the falling of bodies had been mechanically and usefully applied in a variety of ways, though the cause of such action was unknown. It would have been an absurdity to suppose that a patent for all applications of the principle of this natural law could have been

granted to Newton. It has been thought desirable to make these few observations on this part of the subject in the present chapter, merely to call attention to the distinction which is drawn between the discovery of a principle existing in nature for which a patent cannot be valid, and the invention of something new in the arts, or, in other words, the combining known substances, instruments, and principles, so as to produce manufactures, which are proper subjects for patents. A future chapter will be found devoted to the word principle; for although a principle in itself cannot be the subject of a patent, the newly combining or applying a known principle to a machine, or in a manufacture, whereby it becomes in any way improved, is an invention suitable to be protected by a patent.

On a careful examination of the numerous patent cases which have been published, it will be found that the following description of inventions may be secured by letters patent.

First, *A new combination of mechanical parts or instruments whereby a new machine is produced, though each of the parts be separately old and well known.*

An instance of such a grant may be mentioned.

A patent was taken for a machine for shearing cloth, by means of rotatory helical cutters acting in combination with a fixed straight blade, the

machine was so arranged that the cloth, in passing through it, should come under the operation of the cutting blades in a direction to be cut from list to list. This was found to be a highly useful arrangement or combination of mechanical parts producing a valuable machine; the same parts had been differently combined in a previously patented machine, in which the cloth was cut in the direction of its length. The patentee carefully claimed the combination of the parts in the manner set forth in the specification, that is, its particular character of cutting from list to list with a rotatory cutter; and as the important results depended on that peculiar character of combination, *Lord Tenterden* said, "If, before the plaintiff's patent, the cutting from list to list, and the doing that by means of rotatory cutters were not combined, I am of opinion that this is such an invention by the plaintiffs as will entitle them to maintain the present action," thereby supporting the patent for such new combination of old mechanical parts.*

A patent was taken for improvements in propelling vessels, the invention consisting of a new mode of combining the parts of paddle wheels, by which the float-boards or paddles could be made to assume any desired angle in

* *Lewis and another* v. *Davis*; 3 Car. & P. 502; Webs. R. 488; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 471.

working; there had been many constructions of paddle-wheels having more or less of the parts differently combined, and consequently not capable of fully producing the effect required, and the desired effect had been produced in paddle-wheels by different means. It was contended that the parts being old and each of them having been used for the same purpose before, and the result obtained being old, no good patent could be granted for a new combination of the parts which only produced a known effect. This objection, however, was overruled by the whole Court.*

It may be stated that a large number of patents are taken out for similar combinations, and a great variety of similar instances of like patents being supported might be given; but what has been above shewn will be sufficient for the present.

Secondly, *An improvement on any known machine whereby such machine is rendered capable of performing more beneficially.*

Under this head there cannot be given a better instance than Watt's improvement of the steam-engine. It will be known to most persons engaged in manufactures that this engine, before Watt improved it, worked by the pressure of

* *Morgan* v. *Seaward and others*; 2 M. & W. 544; Mur. & Hu. 55; Webs. R. 187.

the atmosphere forcing the piston into a partial vacuum, produced by condensing steam by a jet of cold water thrown into the working cylinder, which not only condensed the steam, but cooled down the cylinder itself. Watt's grand improvement was to have the condenser a separate vessel from the steam cylinder, together with the use of an air pump; by this simple arrangement the steam-engine became of such value as to realize a very large fortune to Mr. Watt and to Mr. Boulton his partner, though they had to bring several actions to protect themselves against infringements, in which they were successful, and the patent was supported during the period for which it was granted.* This patent may be said to be the first which was successfully tried for merely an addition to an old engine, though many patents have since been upheld for additions to old machinery, such improvements being now considered a most important part of the inventions by which our manufactures are constantly being improved.

Another instance may be given of an im-

* The specification of this patent was generally considered badly drawn, it giving no practical directions, but simply stated certain propositions to be worked out by the workman; fortunately the propositions were so simple that he could not fail; had the specification been well drawn it would probably have saved the larger portion of the expenses, and the patentee would not have had to go so often into a Court of Law.

provement on a known mechanical construction of a different character. A patent was taken for applying a peculiar leverage to the back and seat of an easy chair, by which the back and seat were so connected in their action by levers, that the pressure on the back was counteracted by the pressure on the seat, by which the person sitting or reclining could by the merest impulse vary the position and yet be well sustained not only after the change of position, but also during the movement. The construction of the chair therefore was old, the lever action was old, but it was new so to apply the lever action to a chair, and therefore the patent was supported.*

Thirdly, *Where the vendible substance is the thing produced, whether by chemical or mechanical process, such as a new description of fabric ;* for instance, felts for covering the bottoms of ships,—for making hats, &c.—sailcloth—elastic fabrics, by the introduction of threads of caoutchouc (India rubber),—a waterproof fabric, by the introduction of a thin layer of caoutchouc between two surfaces of cloth ; and a great variety of others.

A few instances may be given of cases which have occurred under this head.

* *Minter* v. *Wells and Hart* ; 1 C. M. & R. 505 ; 5 Tyr. 163 ; Webs. R. 134 ; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 639 ; Rep. Arts, vol. 2, N.S. p. 354.

The felt for covering ships' bottoms under the sheathing had for many years been made in sheets by hand, by the ordinary process of felting; other sheets had been made by simply pressing an even layer of fibre with adhesive material into a sheet by hand. A patent was taken for making a similar substance by machinery in a continuous length; this new fabric was made by spreading the fibres evenly on a travelling surface of wire cloth, the layer of fibres was pressed together by another moving surface of wire cloth, and in this state the continuous layer of fibres was submitted to melted pitch and tar and subsequently pressed between rollers; by this means a sheet of felt of any required length might be obtained. This patent was supported in a Court of Equity.*

A patent was taken for a mode of making an elastic fabric by the aid of India rubber. Before the date of the patent, threads of India rubber had been made, and they had been covered with silk and cotton, by winding or braiding those fibres around the threads of India rubber, and threads of such covered India rubber had been woven into elastic fabrics by using them alone as the warp; other elastic fabrics had been woven by using uncovered India rubber threads as the

* *Abbott* v. *Williams and others*; Rep. Arts, vol. 9, N.S. p. 103.

warp, and in other cases as part of the warp, the remainder of the warp being of cotton or other non-elastic yarn. The patent was taken, amongst other things, for making elastic fabrics, consisting of a warp composed partly of *covered* India rubber threads, and partly of non-elastic threads of cotton or other fibres, and the weaving was performed in the same manner as ordinary weavings when making other elastic fabrics. It was shewn to be a useful fabric and the patent was held to be good.*

The patent for a water-proof fabric called Macintosh, may also be mentioned under this head, that patent stood on very narrow grounds. It simply consisted of joining two fabrics together by dissolved India rubber. It was proved at the trial that dissolved India rubber had been spread on a surface of one fabric, the other surface of the India rubber having flock sifted over it, thereby making a water-proof fabric; it was also a common practice before the patent to cement two fabrics together by other water-proof materials. The patent did not therefore claim the use of India rubber for making fabrics water-proof, but for a particular mode of using that material, the invention in fact being confined to

* *Cornish* v. *Keene*; 3 Bing. N.C. 570. Webs. R. 513; Rep. Arts, vol. 6, N.S. p. 102.

sticking two fabrics together by a layer of dissolved India rubber.*

Formerly ships were sheathed with copper, and the advantages of using copper was that it poisoned or prevented the barnacles adhering to the ship's bottom. Sir H. Davy, in order to preserve the copper, proposed to place zinc in contact so as to create a galvanic action, a trial was made on a man-of-war, and he perfectly succeeded in preserving the copper, but it was found that the barnacles stuck as freely to the preserved copper as if it were wood, and the ship quickly became so covered that her sailing was materially interfered with and the thing failed. Mr. Muntz some years after imagined it was possible that there was a point at which the copper sheathing of a ship might be preserved, and yet offer sufficient oxidation to poison the barnacles, he tried many compounds of copper and zinc, and at last discovered that sheathing of a compound of sixty parts copper and forty parts zinc, supposing both metals were very pure, would destroy the barnacles, and produce a cheaper sheathing, and which would last longer than copper; for this he took a patent, and it was supported both at Law and Equity. And *Lord Chief Justice Tindal* stated, that it did not signify whether the compound of copper and

* *Macintosh* v. *Evcrington*; Rep. Arts, vol. 6, N. S. p. 317.

zinc was new in itself, the law only required that it should be new as a sheathing for ships.*

Fourthly, *Where an old manufacture is improved by some new working; the means of producing the improvement, in most instances, is patentable, whether chemical or mechanical.*

A great varicty of patents might be given under this head:—A patent was taken by Mr. Hall, for passing lace through the flame of gas, in order to singe off the loose fibres, and produce a more clear appearance to the lace, the operation being facilitated by the application of an artificial draft, by means of flues placed over the flame. The patentee simply claimed the right of using gas for such process, other flames, and also heated surfaces, having been before used for like purposes, on lace, as well as on other fabrics. It was objected to this patent that the invention claimed was no new manufacture, that the simple using of the flame of one lamp for the same purpose as the flame of another lamp had before been used was not the subject of a patent. "No one," said *Lord Chief Justice Abbott*, "could know that gas would answer the purpose till he tried, and a man who tried and succeeded in so improving a manufacture, is entitled to a patent."† His Lordship also said, the man

* *Muntz* v. *Foster*, Short-hand Notes.

† *Hall* v. *Boot*, Webs. R. 100; Carp. R. vol. I, p. 423.

who makes an experiment with a new material that is successful, though it is for a purpose that is old, and though it be with a view to produce that which has been produced before, is entitled to the protection of the Crown and to the thanks of the public.

Formerly, the usual means of manufacturing iron was by using a blast of air, at the ordinary temperature of the atmosphere. Mr. Neilson discovered that the manufacture of iron might be greatly improved by employing atmospheric air highly heated, and he took out a patent for heating the blast of air before it entered the furnace. This patent was supported.* During the period for which such patent was taken, another patent was granted to Mr. Crane, for combining the hot blast with the use of anthracite coal, in the manufacture of iron. This patent was also supported.†

A patent was taken for a mode of making welded wrought iron tubes for gas and other purposes; it described the means to consist of using external pressure by dies or such like tools without internal support. Before the patent, the making of tubes by external pressure with internal support had been practised and was well

* *Neilson* v. *Harford*, 8 M. & W. 806; Webs. R. 331.

† *Crane* v. *Price and others*, Webs R. 377; 4 M. & G. 580.

known. There were considerable advantages gained by leaving out the mandril or internal support: amongst others, tubes could be made longer and with much less difficulty. This patent was very strongly contested at law, the parties infringing saying that there was no invention, no new manufacture, under the Statute; it being simply the leaving out a troublesome instrument; but the judges all supported the patent, and expressed themselves gratified in being able to do so.*

A case of a chemical character may be given under this head: a patent was taken for water-proofing fabrics by first saturating them in a solution of alum and lime, and then submitting the fabrics so saturated to a solution of soap, by which a water-proof compound was produced within the fabrics so treated. Before the patent the same materials—alum, lime, and soap, had been formed into one solution, and fabrics dipped into it; this had the effect of water-proofing to a certain extent on the surface, but not internally of the fabric, this patent was simply for the different mode of using the same materials for the same purposes. In an action for infringement, the patent was sustained.

Fifthly, *The application of a known substance*

* *Russell* v. *Cowley and Dixon*, 1 C., M. & R. 864; Webs. R. 463; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 532; Rep. Arts, vol. 1, N.S. p. 166.

or material to a new purpose, and also the application of old machines, to improve manufactures to which they have not before been applied; when a beneficial result is obtained, is the subject of a patent.

A patent was obtained for a method of discharging fire-arms, &c., in the name of Forsyth, which consisted in the application of detonating or fulminating mixtures as a priming.* The patentee, in his specification, fully described the nature of such substances, and also several descriptions of locks for discharging the detonating mixtures by a sudden blow. He stated that he wished it to be understood, that he did "not lay claim to the invention of any of the said compounds or matters to be used for priming;" and he adds, "my invention in regard thereto being confined to the use and application thereof to the purposes of artillery and fire-arms as aforesaid." Several gun makers imagined that by merely altering the lock, and producing one of an entirely different construction to any shewn in the specification, they would be able to use the detonating mixtures for priming, such mixtures being well known before the date of the patent; but in actions brought by the patentee against infringements, the claim to the exclusive use and application of the detonating mixtures

* *Forsyth* v. *Riviere*; Chitt. Prerog. Crown, 182; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 401.

as priming, was held by the patentee, whatever the construction of lock by which they were discharged.

A patent was taken for improving the process of refining sugar, by causing the syrups to be filtered through a layer or bed of charcoal; it also claimed other things. It was notorious to every person, that before the date of the patent, almost every conceivable fluid had been filtered through charcoal, both vegetable and animal, but it could not be shewn that the syrups of sugar had been so filtered. It was not new to use charcoal as a purifying means in the refining of sugar, powder of charcoal having been stirred into the syrups of sugar in the process of refining, and then allowed to precipitate, and the syrups were filtered by bags; hence Derosne's patent was confined to filtering syrups of sugar through charcoal, and for such process the patent was sustained.*

In Watt's patent for the steam-engine, he claimed to apply a wood case or covering to the steam cylinder, in order to keep in the heat, and the patent was sustained.†

* *Derosne* v. *Fairrie;* 1 M. & R. 457; 2 C. M. & R. 476; 5 Tyr. 393; 1 Gale, 109; Webs. R. 154; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 664; Rep. Arts, vol. 4. N. S. p. 77.

† *Boulton* & *Watt* v. *Bull;* 2 H. Bl., 463; Dav. P. C. 3; 3 Ves. Jun. 140; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 117.

In *a case for improvements in the manu*facture of covered buttons, the claim of invention was for applying certain descriptions of silk fabrics as the covering material for buttons made by dies and pressure, other fabrics of silk having been before used in the same manner. In this case the same tools were used as before, and the only difference in the button produced according to the patent, from another which had before been generally in use, was the covering materials; and the same class or description of fabrics claimed to be used by the patentee when working with dies and pressure, had been used in the making of buttons by hand with a needle. The claim of the patentee was, in substance, the application of certain well known fabrics as covers to buttons made by dies and pressure, the specification stating that the fabrics were not new, nor were they new in the manufacture of buttons generally, and further, that the mode of making by dies and pressure, when considered with reference to the use of other fabrics, was not new. The patent, therefore, rested on the claim of using known fabrics by known means, producing a known manufacture, viz., buttons; the buttons, however, were improved in the nature of the fabric, introduced for the first time when working by dies and pressure.* It is

* *Elliott* v. *Aston*; Webs. R. 222; Rep. Arts, vol. 14, N.S. 285.

desirable to remark, when patents are taken for new applications of matters and machines, that many persons have supposed they will be secured by merely stating their invention to be the application of a particular substance or of a machine to a new and useful purpose, without entering into a full account of how the same is to be carried into effect. This is incorrect; there must certainly be full instructions given to the workman in order that he may adapt the means to the desired end, otherwise a patent cannot be valid; and, in fact, it will generally be found that this class of invention requires more care in preparing the *title*, and also the specification, than any other class of invention. Let it be supposed that Forsyth, in the case first mentioned, had merely stated in his specification, that he claimed the application of certain well known explosive mixtures as priming for fire-arms, without going into a detailed account of how that was to be done, there can be little doubt that the patent would not have been sustained.

The same observation would apply to the using of animal charcoal in the refining of sugar, supposing that to be now a new invention, whether by mixing it with the syrup, as in the one case, or filtering through charcoal, as in the other case; the mere statement that the thing is to be done is not sufficient; the best manner of con-

ducting the operation known to the patentee in all such cases must be fully described and shewn, though the claim of invention might properly rest on the application of the known substance, newly applied in some particular manufacture, and such is the case, when machines are, for the first time, applied to manufactures different from those to which they had before been used.

In referring to the cases mentioned above, it has for the most part been preferred to select such instances as have offered very small quantities of difference between the new inventions and the old manufactures, in order that an individual having improved any manufacture, or branch of manufacture, may judge more readily whether what he has done may be made the subject of a patent.

If the above five propositions be carefully examined, they may be said to contain every description of invention which can be made for the advancement of trade, and it will be clear that, to produce an invention under either of these heads, *it must be the result of art*, and consequently "*a manufacture*," such as was contemplated by the Statute of James the 1st.

CHAPTER III.

ON THE NEW APPLICATION OF KNOWN PRINCIPLES TO MECHANICAL AND MANUFACTURING PURPOSES.

In the preceding chapter, the discovery of the existence of a philosophic principle was spoken of as not being a suitable subject for a patent. It is now proposed to give some few examples of the combining known principles with known instruments or machines, whereby new and useful combinations have been brought into action, which have very properly been secured by patents, and upheld in Courts of Law. By this means a clear distinction will be drawn between the discovery of one of nature's laws, and of its application to some new and useful purpose. It will not be out of place here to remark that our best writers have ever held that "every man is proprietor of the fruit of his labour; and that to whatever extent he may have impressed additional value on any given thing by the work of his own hands, to that extent, at least, he should be held the owner of it," and such is the extent to which patent law protects new inven-

tions. It will be evident to the most moderate understanding, that an individual merely discovering the manner in which a principle or law of nature acts, does not in any way enhance the value of the principle: thus when Galileo discovered that the atmosphere had weight, and that it was by its pressure fluids were caused to rise into a pump on withdrawing the piston, and not, as before supposed, that that operation was the result of a sucking action, he did not thereby impress additional value on the law of nature, he only ascertained the correct manner of its action; had he gone on and invented the barometer,* such an application of the pressure of the atmosphere might have been the subject of

* "Torricelli, in consequence of the previous suggestion of Galileo, with regard to the ascent of water in a pump upon drawing up the piston, proceeded, in 1643, to fill with mercury a glass tube hermetically sealed or closed at one end, the other being open, and immersed in a basin of stagnant mercury. Judging that, in the former case, the water was sustained in the pump by the pressure of the air on the water in the vessel in which its open end was immersed, and that it was the measure of this pressure, he hence concluded, that mercury would in like manner be supported by it in the tube, and at a height which was also the measure of the air's pressure, or about thirteen times less than water. His experiment was completely verified, for he observed that the mercury descended in the tube and finally settled at the perpendicular height of $29\frac{1}{2}$ Roman inches, whether the tube was vertical or inclined according to the known laws of hydrostatical pressure."

a patent, supposing the invention to have taken place at a time when the laws for encouraging inventions were similar to those of this country, and it would have been a good specification if the inventor had described the inverted and vacuous tube to be filled with mercury from a cup of stagnant mercury; he might then have claimed the application of the known law of the pressure of the atmosphere forcing up the mercury and indicating the quantity of its pressure, thereby producing an instrument to measure the heights of mountains, to indicate the changes of the weather, and, indeed, for any use to which it might be applicable. The invention of such an instrument evidences a combination, by the mind of man, of a law of nature (the pressure of the atmosphere) with certain known instruments (the cup and the tube), with a well known fluid (mercury). Such an invention evidently stands contradistinguished from a philosophic principle, which is the work of the Creator of all things. And the inventor, by claiming the right of using such barometer to whatever purpose it might be found useful, would not claim the principle of the pressure of the air or atmosphere, but only the application thereof to a particular purpose, to which it had never been so applied.

Steam may be said to possess three laws or

principles; *first*, its elastic force; *secondly*, the property of condensing or contracting itself into its original bulk, by being exposed to bodies possessing less caloric; *thirdly*, its expansive force, by which it will go on attenuating itself, provided it be not resisted by a greater force. These, however, are all natural laws, and although any one or more of them might not be known at any particular period, the mere discovery of such properties existing in steam would not be an invention,—there would be no adaptation—no new manufacture; but if an inventor had gone a step further, and used steam to press on the surface of water, contained in a vessel, having an ascending-pipe, and thereby have constructed a machine for raising water,* it would have been a good subject for a patent, had the inventor claimed the exclusive right so to use steam. Or an individual might have used the property of steam, by which it contracts itself by having its heat withdrawn, and thus have produced a vacuous vessel, into which water would be pressed by the atmosphere. Such, in reality, were the first applications of steam, these two properties having been used conjointly by Savery.† This last combination of the two

* The Marquis of Worcester's invention.

† Thomas Savery obtained a patent for his invention in July, 1698, which is the first patent on record for a steam-engine.

properties would have formed a good subject for a patent, notwithstanding each property separately, had before been commonly practised; and such would have been the case, if either or both those modes of using steam had separately been the subject of a previous patent; but the last patentee would not have been permitted to use the new combination of the two means of using steam without licence under the previous patent or patents.* It is by such steps we ultimately arrive at perfection, and every additional value that is given to a thing becomes the property of him who produces it. The next step to improvement was the use of a piston in the steam cylinder, together with the pressure of the atmosphere; then came Watt, who used the elastic pressure of steam in conjunction with the property of condensation; after him came Woolf, who used high-pressure steam expansively; all which inventions constituted suitable grounds for patent rights, as new combinations and applications of known principles. In addition to these, a very considerable number of patents have been taken for improvements in the various parts of the engine. Thus it will be seen that

* *Fox* ex parte, 1 Ves. & B. 67; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 274; *Lewis* v. *Davis*, 3 Car. & P. 502; Webs. R. 488; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 471; *Crane* v. *Price*, Webs. R. 377.

though the discovery of any of the laws natural to steam could not be the subject for a patent right, the different applications of those laws to mechanical and manufacturing purposes have been the work of man, and consequently inventions such as should be protected, and should rightfully belong to the parties who first practically apply the principles under new combinations to the uses of man.

It had been long well known that the boiling point of fluids depended on the quantity of pressure to which they were submitted at the time of applying heat, thus the boiling point of water under the ordinary pressure of the atmosphere, is said to be 212°, but if heat be applied to water placed considerably above the ordinary level of the earth, that is, on a high mountain, the water will boil at several degrees below 212°, according to the height. The discovery of this law or principle, though not in itself a suitable subject for a patent, became, when practically applied, a very valuable invention. A patent was taken for evaporating syrups in vacuo, in the process of refining sugar. By the application of this known principle, a very material improvement took place in that branch of our manufactures, owing to the low degree of temperature at which the aqueous parts evaporated, thus preventing the destructive effects of

high temperatures, formerly so injurious in sugar refining.

The principles or laws natural to the lever were known at a very early period, though if such were not the case, and the correct manner of its action were now discovered for the first time, it is evident that such discovery would not enhance the valuable properties really existing; consequently such a discovery could not be the subject matter for a patent right; yet, on the other hand, any new combinations whereby these principles or any of them could be brought into more extensive use, would unquestionably become subjects for patents; such, for instance, as cranes, windlasses, capstans, or, as in a more recent instance, a new combination of levers to the back and seat of a chair, whereby a self-adjusting of the weight was produced to the back and seat.*

In this manner, the discoveries of the known laws of the pendulum, gravitation, and, indeed, almost every law or principle of nature, might be gone through to show that such discoveries should not become the objects of patents, supposing the correct manner of their action to be now ascertained for the first time. An instance from more modern times may be given.

* *Minter* v. *Wells and Hart.* Webs. R. 127; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 622; Rep. Arts, vol. 2, N.S. p. 80.

Dr. Faraday discovered that carbonic acid gas, when under a pressure of several atmospheres, was reduced from an æriform to a liquid state; this was a law or principle consequent on the matter being so circumstanced. Sir H. Davy, when informed of this principle, made a discovery of a further principle in this liquid; he found that it was quickly acted on by heat, which produced great expansive force, and readily gave off such heat when brought in contact with cold surfaces; this led him to observe that probably at no distant period the fluid might be used as a power for working machinery. Here then are two principles discovered to exist in a particular matter by two individuals; let it be supposed that each had taken letters patent for their respective discoveries, up to this point nothing further than philosophic truths would have been obtained, but no practical application thereof. Mr. Brunel invented an engine, to be worked by the expansive force of condensed carbonic acid gas, by alternately bringing heat and cold to act, by a peculiar apparatus, on that fluid, thus taking up the two principles discovered by Faraday and Davy. Now, for the sake of argument, let it be supposed that this engine has been matured, and that it has become of public utility, in superseding the steam-engine, in consequence of the smallness

of space occupied, and other benefits the inventor anticipates. Before he could proceed, he must satisfy the two prior patentees, otherwise he would be liable to an injunction from the Court of Chancery, by either or both the discoverers of the two principles *natural to the matter employed* by the inventor of the engine. It may here be asked, what man would venture on making efforts to produce an engine, thereby subjecting himself to great anxiety and expense, and more particularly to the payment of dues for patent right to others, before he could even enter on the production of his invention, and liable (if he could not agree with these persons) to law suits? thus would the public and the inventor be deprived of all practically useful discoveries. The case would have been very different had the previous parties produced practical and useful results by an application of the principle, and had taken patents for the same, the public would then have been benefited; and had Mr. Brunel required the practical results of either of the said parties, then he would very properly be required to purchase the products of the previous patents, to apply them in a new manner. Let it not however be supposed that the minds of the individuals making such discoveries of principles are underrated, on the contrary, the highest respect is due to both,

but it will be evident that their discoveries are not of that kind which should secure to them the right of toll on all future practical applications of such principles; such a course would lead to endless difficulties, and tend to prevent those rapid strides to improvements by which the existence of the present law has been marked.

The minds of individuals constantly engaged in experimental philosophy, however successful in tracing nature's workings to their source, are seldom found making any practical application of nature's laws to the uses of man; they leave this department to minds of another order. There are some instances to the contrary of this position, but they may be said to form the exception rather than the general rule.

It has been thought desirable to go thus at length into this part of the subject, and incur the hazard of appearing prolix to some readers, rather than that any of those interested should not clearly understand what is meant by the judges who have constantly held that no patent can be supported for a merely philosophic principle. The words of a justly celebrated writer, who most clearly draws the distinction between the discovery of one of nature's laws and an invention, may very properly close this chapter; he says, "We do not accredit man with the

establishment of law for matter. He does not give to matter any of its properties, but he arranges it into parts, and by such arrangement alone does he impress upon his workmanship the incontestable marks of design, not that he has communicated any power to matter, but in that he has intelligently availed himself of these powers, and directed them to an obvious and beneficial result."

CHAPTER IV.

THE PATENT LAW AMENDMENT ACT 1852.

By the new Statute, the mode or practice of granting letters patent for an invention has been materially altered: the first cost has also been greatly reduced, but the law in other respects has been altered only in a few particulars. Heretofore, separate grants for England, Scotland, and Ireland were made; hereafter only one grant is to issue, which is to extend to and give protection in the three kingdoms. Another change is, that provisional protection is to commence on the day of petitioning for letters patent. In order to obtain a grant of protection for an invention, the statute requires that a petition to the Queen, supported by a solemn declaration, and accompanied by a statement of the nature of the invention, should be lodged at the office of the Commissioners. These documents are to be referred to one of the law officers of the Crown, who, if he is satisfied with the statement of the invention, will give a certificate according to the Statute; and, which being filed with the Commissioners, the invention will thereupon

be provisionally protected during six months from the date of the application, pending which period the invention may *be used and published* without prejudice to the validity of any letters patent subsequently granted for the invention.* It will be desirable here to guard inventors against a too ready confidence in this provisional protection, as it, in fact, amounts to very little, for the granting of the letters patent is still open to opposition; and, therefore, if an inventor should confide in the provisional protection, and bring his invention before the public before he has secured his grant, he may at a subsequent period find such an opposition as to prevent his ever getting a patent for his invention: the only safe course to be pursued is to obtain the grant with all speed before the invention is known to the public; by such a course of proceeding, although the application may be opposed by some one who may imagine that he has made a similar discovery, yet a successful opposition will only be likely to take place when another has actually and honestly made a like invention. There will be no reason to fear an opponent moulding his case into that of the petitioner, the opponent will, if the petitioner has kept his invention quiet, know nothing of the nature of the claim set up by the petitioner, he will, in fact, so to speak, be

* 15, 16 Vic., c. 83, s. 8.

opposing in the dark, and can, therefore, only bring forward and describe an invention which he has made independently of any knowledge of the petitioner's invention: in fact, it will be an honest straightforward opposition, prompted only by a desire to stop a grant being made to the petitioner should the inventions turn out to be alike. How different may the case be should the petitioner, after obtaining provisional protection, and before securing his patent, unwisely bring his invention before the public. An opponent, whether he has or has not been pursuing matters of invention in the same branch of manufacture, may, by thus knowing the petitioner's invention, so mould his case into that of the petitioner, that the law officer may be induced to imagine that the opponent has a claim to be considered a joint-inventor. It must be kept in mind that in these cases a petitioner will at all times find it difficult to show that his opponent had no knowledge of the invention before his own was made public: generally, there will only be the statements of the two parties, other evidence will seldom be forthcoming; and therefore, should the opponent be able to show by others that he was engaged in the same direction, though he might have made no such invention as that made by the petitioner, still, if he asserted the claim, the law officer would have great difficulty in deciding against it. The most

important inventions often turn on a very small change from what has been done before, and yet, when suggested, the advantage is so obvious, that a person, informed of the desirableness of the change proposed, and who may have been hovering, so to speak, over the discovery, but who may not have arrived at the alteration necessary, may, on seeing how near he was to the right direction, persuade himself that he had actually made the discovery; both parties in such a case would often be unsupported by external evidence, how, therefore, could a law officer hope to decide correctly? All this hazard on the part of the petitioner will be avoided if he withhold his invention from the public till he has obtained his patent; in such cases the opponent will come before the law officer without any knowledge of the petitioner's invention, and he will only prevent the grant issuing in favour of the petitioner when he fairly and honestly brings forward a similar invention. Not only is it desirable and advantageous for the petitioner to push forward and obtain his patent before publishing his invention, but also to an honest inventor who may become an opponent, for it will be evident that the petitioner will be liable to suspect, and will endeavour to raise a like suspicion in the mind of the law officer, that the opponent has only become possessed of the invention since it has been made public. An inventor will, therefore, be wise not to rest

with any degree of confidence on provisional protection, and be thereby induced to publish his invention before he has obtained absolute protection; for, should he do so, he may find it a pitfall rather than a step towards security.

In place of depositing a provisional specification on making application for letters patent, the petitioner may at once file his complete specification,* by which he will, in addition to obtaining protection for six months, have the right of proceeding at law against any party who may infringe his claim, even before the grant is actually made, and which may never be issued. This is a very questionable privilege, for this specification is at once to be open to the public. What has before been said as to the publication of an invention upon obtaining provisional protection, applies still more strongly to this mode of proceeding: in fact, a petitioner at the outset places himself at the greatest disadvantage; and there can, it is believed, be no benefit derived from thus attempting to file a complete specification at a period when the invention must necessarily be in a more or less crude condition. An inventor, when he has realized in his mind what he considers to be a valuable invention, and feels himself to be in a condition to describe the general nature of it in the character of a provisional specification, should at once petition for

* 15, 16 Vic., c. 83, s. 9.

letters patent, and having obtained provisional protection for his invention, should, without loss of time, and without letting the world know what his invention is, push on and obtain his grant, and then, and not till then, put the invention into public use, and take advantage of the time allowed, by the letters patent for the filing of his specification, to test his invention thoroughly, in order to be the better able to file a satisfactory specification. Every application for a patent, on provisional protection being granted, is to be advertised, and so soon as the petitioner may think fit, he may apply to have the patent proceeded with, when there will be a further advertisement, and parties may come in and oppose.* The petition will then be again referred to the law officer, together with the statements of parties opposing the grant; and if, on hearing the parties, the inventions are not found to be alike, the patent will be allowed to proceed, and the warrant for the grant will be immediately prepared by the law officer, and which being left at the office of the Commissioners, the patent will proceed on the written application of the petitioner, and be completed. Heretofore the only means by which persons interested could get information of any application for a patent, was, by entering a Caveat; now, however, every application is to be advertised in the

* 15, 16 Vic., c. 83, s. 12.

"London Gazette." This mode of proceeding, however, it is imagined, will not supersede the desirableness of Caveats by which a manufacturer or inventor may get a personal notice of every application for a patent relating to the manufacture in which he may be interested.*

A patent may also be opposed at the Great Seal. The Lord Chancellor seldom refuses to pass a patent under the Great Seal when petitioned so to do, and the costs of opposing depend on his decision: in most cases of modern time these expenses have been paid by the opposing party. It is generally considered that a petitioner should not be allowed to go on spending his money in obtaining a patent, and then be opposed at the last stage. There cannot, however, be any reason to doubt, that if a petitioner should be opposed at the Great Seal by the real inventor, and the petitioner falsely represented himself to be so, that the Lord Chancellor would stop the grant: in most cases, however, which have come before the Chancellor the opposing party has, on investigation, been found to possess a different invention to that of the petitioner, and, therefore, the opponents have had no good reason for opposing at the last stage of the grant. It is usual for the

* A Caveat lasts twelve months, and may be renewed from year to year, and entitles a party to notice of every application for a patent relating to a particular manufacture or invention.

Lord Chancellor, when Caveats are entered at the Great Seal against a patent, to send the parties back to one of the law officers to ascertain if he would have allowed the patent to proceed had the opponent come in at the proper time; and if the law officer finds that the opposing party has really made a similar invention as the petitioner, the patent will not be allowed to proceed, unless the parties come to an understanding that the grant is to be for their joint interest in precisely the same manner as if the opposing party had come in and opposed the grant on the first application; the question of costs, however, is for the Chancellor; unless the parties agree. Caveats may also be entered against parties entering disclaimers and alterations to the titles and specifications of patents, and Caveats may also be entered at the Privy Council Office against extending the period for which letters patent have been granted and against the confirmation of letters patent under the Statute.

CHAPTER V.

THE CARE TO BE OBSERVED IN OBTAINING PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS.

In applying for a patent the first thing to be considered is, what is a proper title to be given to an invention. So essential is correctness of the title, that several patents have been set aside in consequence of the inaptness of the description thereby conveyed;* it therefore becomes the more desirable that every inventor should fully comprehend what is required to be understood by the word "*title*." This part of my subject, which has been thought difficult by some, is exceedingly simple when a little consideration is brought into action. The title then should be such a description of the invention, that the public may know the object of the invention. Thus if it be "*Improvements in the slides of steam-engines*," every person having an invention relating to steam-engines, and therefore interested, will readily understand that a certain well-known part of the steam-engine is proposed to

* *Cochrane* v. *Smethurst*, 1 Stark, 205; Dav. P. C. 354; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 311; *The King* v. *Wheeler*, 2 Barn. and Ald. 349; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 394; *The King* v. *Metcalf*, 2 Stark, 249; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 392.

be improved; but if under such a title, a patentee were to claim improvements in other parts of a steam-engine, in addition to his improvements of the slides, this would evidently be a marked disagreement between the title and the specification, and the patent would be bad. In selecting a title for an invention, the precise point—the nature and extent of the invention—should be accurately determined; whether it be a manufacture which has not heretofore been made or used, or for an improvement of a known manufacture, or for a new combination of old mechanical parts for producing an old manufacture in a more advantageous manner, by improving the quality or producing the article of an equal quality but at less cost, or for a new or an improved process or manner of working, to produce some advantageous effect in the material acted on; or whether the invention be for the improvement of a known engine or machine whereby the same may be made to work more beneficially, or produce a useful result which has not been before obtained by it: this should be first clearly ascertained, because either, correctly pursued, may be made the subject of, and secured by, letters patent.

In order more readily to come at a knowledge of what should be the title given to a particular invention, it will be necessary to instance some patents which have been set aside, from bad or improper titles, and by this means to point

out the rocks upon which others have split, and thus produce a chart for future guidance. In a patent, the title of which was, "*An improved method of lighting cities, towns, and villages,*" the specification described an improved lamp for lighting cities, towns, and villages; the patent was declared void, in consequence of the title in the patent, and the description of the invention in the specification not conforming to each other.* In the first instance, the lamp was described as being applicable to lighting light-houses, harbours, shipping, &c., which was beyond the extent of the title, which only named cities, towns, and villages; this would have been a hard case, supposing it to have been the only objection to the validity of the patent; but, in addition to this, there was a more important objection,—it was evident that there was no "*improved method of lighting cities, towns, and villages*"—lamps, of a slightly different construction, had been used for that purpose prior to the granting of the patent; therefore, had the title of the patent been for "*An improved lamp,*" or for "*Improvements in lamps,*" there is no doubt that the patent would have stood, and have been of great value to the patentee, and either of those titles would have protected the patentee *in every application of his invention.* It will be desirable that this title should be more closely considered:

* *Cochrane* v. *Smethurst*, 1 Stark, 205; Dav. P. C. 354; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 311.

it was for "*An improved method of lighting*," &c. A lamp maker receives notice, and his invention being perhaps precisely the same as that for which the patent was applied, the individual, knowing that his invention was an improvement in ordinary lamps, would naturally say, This application for a patent has no relation to mine, —I have no *improved method of lighting*, but only an improvement on a lamp which is in common use. The patent would therefore be permitted to proceed, and be granted in favour of the petitioner, and to the prejudice of the other party; thus would great injury be done if disguised titles were permitted. The law considers any intentional disguise or misleading, either in the title or in the description of the invention, to be a fraud on the Crown, the condition of the grant being, that the public may have the benefit of the invention after the expiration of the patent; also, the public is to be protected against parties taking out letters patent by the titles of which it would appear to be for one object, whilst in the specification other matters are described; were such titles permitted, there would be no protection to others who may be proceeding with similar inventions.

In a patent granted for "*A new or improved method of drying and preparing malt*," the specification described that the invention consisted in submitting *malt* to a high degree of temperature, thus producing a material which was to be

used for colouring beer, &c.: there was no new means of drying malt described; any of the known methods of making malt might be used, but at a higher temperature. This patent was also declared void, for the want of agreement of the specification with the title, the manufacture not being in reality the article known by the name of malt, but a colouring matter produced from malt; * the title should, therefore, have been "*An improved colouring matter for beer and other liquids;*" under such a title the patent would undoubtedly have stood. The consequences which would arise if the title first mentioned had been held sufficient to cover the invention as specified, will become manifest on asking what would have been the case had a party possessing an invention for "improvements in the materials used for colouring beer and other liquids" received notice of this application for a patent; could he for a moment have imagined that an improved colouring matter was behind such words as "A new and improved mode of drying and preparing malt?" The law only uses common sense in adjudicating on these subjects. The common sense of this title is, that there was some new mode of drying the article called malt, and the result to be produced was malt, not a *new substance* to be obtained by old means from malt. There are other instances of a similar kind, but

* *The King* v. *Wheeler*, 2 Barn. and Ald., 349; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 394.

the above will be sufficient; and it has been clearly pointed out by the Judges, that to attempt, by the title or the specification, to claim more than is the actual invention of the patentee, is fatal to the patent; this is also the case where the title and the description contained in the specification are not in conformity with each other.

The Lord Chancellor (Lyndhurst) in giving judgment, said in respect to a *title*, that "The description in the patent must unquestionably give some idea, and, so far as it goes, a true idea, of the alleged invention, though the specification may be brought in aid to explain it. The title in this patent is for '*Certain improvements in copper and other plate printing.*' Copper-plate printing consists of processes involving a great variety of circumstances. The paper must be of a particular description; before it is used it must be damped; it must remain damp a certain time, and must be placed in a certain temperature; the plate must be duly prepared, and duly applied, and various processes must be gone through before the impression is drawn off, and brought to a finished state. An improvement in any one of these circumstances,—in the preparation of the paper, for instance, or in the damping of it, &c.—may truly be called an improvement in copper-plate printing." *

* *Sturtz* v. *De la Rue and others*, 5 Russ. 322; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 467.

In a recent case,* the title was "*Improvements in Carriages.*" The specification described the invention to be applicable only to carriages where German shutters were used. The cause was tried in the Court of Queen's Bench, when a verdict for the plaintiff was returned, excepting in regard to one plea, which stated that the invention was not applicable to all carriages, and that the title was vague and uncertain. On this question, the Jury found that the invention was not applicable to carriages in general, and the Court ultimately decided that the patent was bad. The cause was then carried into the Exchequer Chamber, and the judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench was reversed. *Lord Chief Justice Tindal*, in giving the judgment of the Court, stated, that "the words 'improvements in carriages,' do not necessarily import improvements in *all* carriages, but may be held to apply to some carriages only. Mere vagueness appears to us to be an objection that might be taken on the part of the Crown to the grant of the letters patent, but not after they are granted. If any fraud had appeared to have been practised on the Crown in obtaining it, the patent might be held void. There is no evidence of fraud in this case. But the patent is held bad in the Court below, merely on the ground that the title is so large as to be capable of importing a different invention from that in the specification. We

* *Cook* v. *Pearce and another* 7 Jur. 499.

think it unsafe, without further authority, to lay down a rule so large as that laid down in the Court below, but consider it would be doing an injury to many patents, if we were to hold this void merely because the title is in the same terms as are capable of application to some other invention than that in the specification, and that, too, without any grounds of fraud."

The title, then, should be such a statement that the public may know the object of the invention; the specification should describe the manner of producing the invention, and distinctly point out that which is new and claimed by the patentee, from that which has been before used. The title must not be less comprehensive than the invention, but must be such as to give a general knowledge of the invention, and the specification must define the same.

In addition to the *title* the law now requires that the petitioner should at the outset prepare what is called a provisional specification, setting forth the nature of the invention. This provisional specification will, doubtless, be found to be an important document, and upon its correctness will depend the validity of the patent. The Courts of Law will, doubtless, treat this document as expanding or enlarging the title, and the specification afterwards filed must be found to agree not only with the *title*, but also with the provisional specification. This may be better understood by supposing a case of a petitioner who may say by his

title I have invented "*Improvements in steam-engines*," and by his provisional specification he may ascertain what those improvements are, by saying: "heretofore the steam used in a steam-engine has been condensed in the cylinder in which it has been used, by which the cylinder and piston are necessarily alternately heated and cooled, which cooling is very prejudicial; now my invention consists of causing the steam to pass out of the cylinder, to be condensed in a separate vessel or condensing apparatus, by which the cylinder may be kept at all times hot by the use of a jacket or covering. I also use an air-pump to remove incondensible vapours." * This, it is suggested, would have been a proper provisional specification for the invention of Mr. Watt, had the law in those days been what it now is. The great object of requiring a provisional specification to be deposited is to prevent a patentee putting other inventions into his future specification than that for which the patent was granted, and not to prevent a patentee introducing all the practical improvements into his specification which grow out of, and are dependent on, the invention set forth in the provisional specification. Thus, to continue the supposed case of the steam-engine, the patentee might properly describe the best mode of

* This, as is well known, was the great invention made by the celebrated James Watt.

condensing used by him, together with the mode of connecting and working the air-pump, also the means to be resorted to for keeping the cylinder hot, and also the mode of working the valves and other apparatus employed; but under such a provisional specification it would be a fraud to introduce and claim a new construction of steam boiler, seeing that no boiler is mentioned in the provisional specification.

The inventor, in drawing his provisional specification, should clearly point out the nature of the change he proposes by his invention to make in the particular manufacture to which it relates, leaving the manner of performing the invention to be described in the specification. The requirement by the statute of a provisional specification may be said to assume that the invention is not in a state of practical maturity, and therefore the law allows time for completing the invention, at the same time it is required that all the practical knowledge obtained by the inventor between the granting of the patent and the filing of the specification should be found in the specification.* To introduce any new invention independent of, and separate from that which is set forth in the provisional specification, will be a fraud, and will no doubt be so treated by the Courts of Law.

* *Crossley* v. *Beverley*, 3 C. & P. 513; M. & M. 283; 9 B. & C. 63; 1 Russ. & My, 166; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 480.

CHAPTER VI.

OF THE SPECIFICATION, OR DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

HAVING, in the previous chapters, given an account of the means of obtaining patents, and having more particularly pointed out the care to be observed in drawing the title to be given to the invention, the next and most important point to be considered by the patentee is the proviso contained in the patent which requires that a specification should be enrolled, fully setting forth the nature of the invention and the manner in which the same is to be performed. It has been before remarked that the title is to state, in general terms, the nature of the invention; the specification, on the other hand, must not only fully describe the manner of performing the invention, but should also define the precise point which the grant is intended to secure. The proviso in the letters patent, which renders the enrolment of a specification necessary, declares that the letters patent shall become void if the patentee "*shall not particularly describe*

and ascertain the nature of his said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal and cause the same to be enrolled." Under this proviso it is not enough that the patentee should describe the manner in which his invention is to be performed, but he must also ascertain the nature thereof; for it has been held that although a patentee had most fully and accurately described the manner in which an invention was to be performed, yet as he had omitted to ascertain the nature of the invention, so as to separate it from old matters necessarily described in the specification, the patent was void.* A badly drawn specification will court opposition, whilst a clear, definite, and decided description will be the best preventive of infringement.

In drawing up a description or specification of an invention, there are three things to be carefully attended to:—

First.—That the specification be so clear that a workman, or other qualified person, shall be able to realize the invention by pursuing the description and course pointed out in the specification.

Secondly.—That the invention claimed as new

* *Bovill et als.* v. *Moore*, 2 Marsh, 211; Dav. P. C. 311; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 320; *Macfarland* v. *Price*, 1 Stark. 199; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 309.

shall not be greater than what is indicated by the title contained in the patent.

And, Thirdly.—The extent of the invention claimed must be new and useful, and the invention of the patentee, or that he is the first importer of the same.* What is meant by *new and useful*, is, that should there be two or more points claimed as new in the specification, and either of them be old, or fail to produce the beneficial result described by the specification, the patent would be bad in law.

It is evident, that so much having been done in the arts and manufactures, there will at times be found considerable difficulty in ascertaining what is new in some branches of manufactures; yet it will be equally evident, that when a beneficial result is obtained and a manufacture brought into the market of a better quality, or produced at a less cost, there must be some point of novelty, and this point of novelty in most instances may be the subject of a patent. If the specification describe clearly the means of producing the beneficial result, and claim only for the point of novelty, which is the cause of improving the manufacture, or of reducing the price, such a patent would unquestionably stand. An instance may be given:—a patent was

* There have been patents granted to the executors of inventors.

granted for "*Certain improvements in evaporating sugar, which improvements are also applicable to other purposes.*" The invention consisted of an apparatus for introducing streams of air, into fluids to be evaporated; the air, as it forced its way through the fluid (which was kept heated), carried off the aqueous part, and concentrated the matter which was contained in it. The specification set forth and described a series of small tubes, descending nearly to the bottom of the boiler or pan, they being connected above to main pipes, which were placed over the fluid to be evaporated. The small descending tubes were numerous, and placed at equal distances, over the surface of the bottom of the pan, thus was an equal distribution of streams of air obtained throughout the whole of the fluid, which rapidly carried off the aqueous part, and at a considerably lower temperature than what is necessary for boiling and evaporating in the usual manner. In an action brought by the patentee against a party for an infringement, it was proved in Court, that the forcing air into fluids to facilitate evaporation was not new, but had been practised in the year 1754; a publication of some experiments having been made at that time in the Transactions of the Royal Society; in addition to which, a patent had been granted in 1822 (which was some years before

the plaintiff's patent), wherein the principle was described, amongst other things, to be applicable to sugar: in both these instances the means employed were the same, and consisted of a perforated coiled pipe, placed at the bottom of the pan or boiler.* By a series of extensive experiments, it was found that the air would only pass through a few of the first holes of a coiled pipe, but no even distribution could be made to take place; the coil of pipe, therefore, failed to produce the desired effect, and was wholly inefficient. The patentee of the last invention fully described, and distinctly claimed, a particular apparatus, made up of the descending tubes, from main pipes, placed above the surface of the fluid, by which an even distribution of the air was obtained. The judge (*Lord Tenterden*) at the trial, in giving his opinion, said, although the principle of the invention—that of blowing air

* This patent of 1822 was probably bad in law owing to the publication of Hale's experiment of 1754; it did not, therefore, interfere with the second patent. If, however, there had been no previous publication, and the patent, in 1822, had been the first application of the principle of introducing streams of air into fluids to facilitate evaporation, and the apparatus useful, then the second patent would have been an infringement, notwithstanding the means described in the last patent were far more effective, and the second patentee must have taken a licence under the first patent before he could use his improved mode.

into fluids to facilitate evaporation—was not new in itself or in its application, yet, as there could not be a patent supported for a *principle*, there could consequently be patents granted for any number of means for carrying the known principle into effect, "*so long as there is a distinct and essential difference in each of the means.*" In an application for a new trial, the judges confirmed this judgment, and the patent was held to be good.* Now, had the patentee claimed generally the introduction of streams of air into fluids, and not confined his claim to the particular means or apparatus described in the specification, the patent would have been bad. It will generally be found, that the great object of patentees is so to word their specification as to claim every means of producing a certain result, with a view to shut out all future improvement by other persons: thus are patents made weak by overclaiming, and, consequently, are declared void when they come into a Court of Law. Such a course of claiming is correct when it is certain that the principle has never before been applied to the same purpose; in which case a patentee who first conducts a manufacture, or constructs a machine, according to a particular principle may claim for applying the principle to that

* *Hullett* v. *Hague*, 2 Barn. & Ald., 370; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 501.

manufacture, or to the machine, this would not be claiming a principle, but only the application of it to some described manufacture or machine and the principle would be open to all the world to be applied to other manufactures and to other machines. But when a principle is known to have been applied to a particular manufacture or machine, then the only subject matter open is some different mode of applying the principle, some better combination of mechanical or other means for bringing about a more useful result. The chief care of a patentee should be, so fully to describe the means which he knows to answer, that a workman may make the invention by following the description, and he should claim such means, or only such parts of them, as are his invention, leaving any future invention, for producing a similar result, to be judged of when it comes out, whether it be or be not an infringement of the original patent; if it be proved to be essentially different in the means, although on the same principle, and that principle has been before known and applied to the same purpose, it would be no infringement. If on the contrary, the last means of producing the desired object be proved not to be an essential change, but only a variation, then the same would be an infringement of the original patent, though the patentee had only claimed the particular means specified.

In the instance just given, the infringement was an apparatus, having but one main pipe, for introducing the air to the descending tubes; whereas, the patentee had described, and shewn by drawings, three main pipes; this was a variation, but no new invention, all the essential properties of the patent were retained; there was no substantial change, but it was in reality the same apparatus, and it was so considered by the Court.

A patent was granted for an apparatus for measuring gas, by the revolution of a peculiar wheel, partly immersed in water, the spokes or vanes forming chambers for measuring the gas, *which, according to the patent, was introduced through the axis.* After the patent was specified, another person made a similar apparatus, varying only in the means of introducing the gas into the chambers of the wheel, thus simplifying the apparatus. The patentee afterwards found it desirable to use the means last discovered for introducing the gas. In an action brought for an infringement, the circumstance of the patentee using an improvement discovered by another person was brought against the validity of the patent; but it was proved that the invention as described in the specification would work advantageously, and that the improvement could not exist without the original invention of the

patentee, the patent was therefore held to be good.* From this decision it may be drawn, that where an improvement to a patent is made after the enrolment of the specification, it becomes the property of the patentee, provided that the improvement cannot be used by itself, but, to be useful, must be superadded to the patented invention. This is but doing justice to the original inventor, for every one must feel that few inventions can be brought to such a state of perfection in a few months as to defy improvement; it would be hard indeed if a person, by merely making an improvement, were to have the right of taking the originally patented invention, without the previous existence of which, his improvement would never have been suggested. It should be understood that if the party, who made the important improvement in the gas meter, had taken a patent for such improvement, which he might have done, the original patentee could not have used the improvement without a license under the second patent.† Thus a patent offers no real stop to the

* *Crossley* v. *Beverley*, 3 Car. and P., 513; 9, B. & C. 63.; M. & M., 283; Webs. R. 106; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 480.

† Ex parte *Fox*, 1 Ves. & B. 67; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 274; *Lewis* v. *Davis*, 3 Car. & P. 502; Webs. R. 488; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 471; *Crane* v. *Price*, Webs. R. 377; 4 M. & G. 580.

progress of invention, and it is of great importance in most cases to the original patentee, to have his invention rendered more valuable by subsequent improvements; a patent granted to another person for an improvement of his invention can in no way injure a patentee's right, but it may hasten to bring the original invention into general use. In such cases it has often been the interest of original patentees to become interested in such second patents; and amongst others there is this important advantage resulting from such a course of proceeding,—the original patentee becomes interested in the best means of carrying out his invention, for a more or less considerable period beyond the grant of the original patent. In these cases the improver of a previous patent simply obtains a share of the advantages brought about by his improvements, therefore the original patentee must necessarily be a gainer.

Another error which patentees very often fall into, is, when describing the materials of which certain parts of their machinery or apparatus are to be composed, they use the following, or similar expressions: "*or any other fit and proper material or materials*," after naming one which is known to answer.

In a patent granted for drying paper, by passing it against rollers heated by steam, the

patentee described a machine, the point of invention being the drying the damp paper by conducting it against heated cylinders or rollers. In the machine there was an endless fabric, which conveyed the paper against the heated rollers, and in the specification the patentee, after having described the nature and the description of the fabric used, went on to state, that "*any other fit and proper material might be used.*" It was proved in Court, that no other description of fabric would answer the purpose. The judge held the specification to be bad, as it tended to *mislead the public*, for any description of fabric, other than that described, spoiled the paper, by what is called cockling it.* It should be understood, that the fabric described in the specification formed no part of the claim of invention; therefore, had the patentee confined his description to that which he knew would answer, the patent on that ground would not have failed; at the same time, had any other fabric been found to answer, it could not have been used by others, without the essentials of the patent invention, that invention being an arrangement or combination of known materials, to produce a beneficial result as described, the

* *Crompton* v. *Ibbotson*, Dan. & Lloyd, 33; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 458.

materials themselves forming no part of the claim of invention, they being old.

It would be difficult to point out what quantity of invention is required to support a patent, supposing the specification to be well drawn; yet it may be stated, with some degree of confidence, that the smallness of the quantity of invention is not an objection to a patent, provided it is new and useful; more depends on the utility than the extent of invention. In the case of Lewis and Davis's patent for shearing cloth, before mentioned, there were three improvements claimed, one in the following words: "The described method of shearing cloth *from list to list by a rotatory cutter*;" having, in the specification, fully described a machine for that purpose. It was proved that a rotatory cutter had been used in machines to shear cloth in the *way of its length*, the plaintiffs having put in a former patent of their own containing such a machine.* It was also proved by the defendants, that, before the date of the patent, a model of a machine to shear cloth from list to list by a rotatory cutter was brought from America and shewn to several

* Where a second patent is taken for an improvement on a former patent, as was the case in the above instance, the first patent and specification must be noticed in the pleadings, although the infringement is only on the second patent.

manufacturers. A machine had also been commenced to be constructed, but was destroyed by the Luddites. It was further proved that shearing cloth from list to list by hand, and also by machinery having other descriptions of cutters, were well known. *Lord Chief Justice Tenterden* said, "It appears that a rotatory cutter to shear from end to end was known, and that cutting from list to list by means of shears was also known. However, if before the plaintiffs' patent *the cutting from list to list, and the doing that by means of rotatory cutters, were not combined*, I am of opinion that this is such an invention by the plaintiffs as will entitle them to maintain the present action." On an application for a new trial, it was argued that the novelty of the invention was properly the subject for the verdict of the jury, and that his Lordship had not so put the question. *Lord Tenterden* remarked, "I told the jury that if it could be shown that the plaintiffs had seen the model or specification, that might answer the claim of invention; but there was no evidence of that kind, and I left it to them to say whether it had *been in public use and operation before the granting of the patent.* They found that it had not, and I think there is no reason to find fault with their verdict." *Mr. Justice Bayley* concurred with *Lord Tenterden*, and observed, "If I make a discovery, and am

enabled to produce an effect from my own experiments, judgment, and skill, it is no objection that some one else has made a similar discovery by his mind, unless it has become public."* There was another point of great importance decided in this case; the patentees stated one of the improvements to be "the application of a proper substance fixed on a cylinder to brush the surface of the cloth to be shorn." In the specification a method of performing that operation was described. This part of the invention was afterwards found to be unnecessary, and was abandoned. It was held that that circumstance was not injurious to the patent, the inventors having thought the improvement serviceable at the time of obtaining their patent.

This case strongly supports that of Dollond's patent, where the invention had been made and *privately* used by another person long before the

* *Lewis and another* v. *Marling*, Webs. R. p. 493. Immediately a patent is sealed the invention is considered to be in *public use*, even before the enrolment of the specification. This is important to be known, as many persons, having patents of later dates than others, have imagined by enrolling their specifications first, they thereby obtain the first publication of their inventions. This is incorrect, the priority of the date of the patent itself is the point to be considered; priority of date in the specification is immaterial.

patent. No actual public use or publication could be shewn; the patent was, therefore, held to be valid.*

It may be desirable here to remark, that if it had been found, on coming into Court, that the specification of Lewis and Davis's patent, just mentioned, had omitted to define the extent of their claim,—*that of cutting from list to list by rotatory cutters*,—the judge could not have ascertained the extent of the invention intended to be secured, and the patent would not have been supported. The patentees having, however, confined their claims of novelty to that which could not be shown *to* have been in public use, the patent was sustained. A patent was taken out for a machine for making bobbin-net or twist-lace: in the specification the patentee fully described the machine, but did not in any way point out how much was to be considered as new, and claimed under the patent. The judge (*Lord Chief Justice Gibbs*), in his charge to the jury, said, "If you think Brown (the patentee) has invented a perfectly new combination of parts from the beginning, though all the parts separately might have been used before, his specification would be good; but if you should be of opinion that a combination of a certain number

* *Dollond's Case*, 2 H. Bl., 470 and 487; Webs. R. 43; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 28.

of those parts had previously existed up to a certain point, and that Brown took up his invention from that point only, adding other combinations to it, then his specification, which states the whole machine as his invention, is bad."* *Lord Eldon*, in another case, states the law in similar terms; he said, "There may be a valid patent for a new combination of materials previously in use for the same purpose, or for a new method of applying such materials; but in order to its being effectual, the specification must clearly express that it is in respect to such new combination or application, and of that only."† A patent was taken in the name of Minter for an "improvement in the constructing, making, or manufacturing chairs." The patentee, in the outset, described generally the nature of his invention, he then explained the nature and use of each of the parts shewn in a drawing, disclaiming all parts of a chair known and in use, and concluded his specification with the following words:— "What I claim as my invention is the application of a self-adjusting leverage to the back and seat of a chair, whereby the weight on the seat acts as a counterbalance to the pressure against

* *Bovill et als.* v. *Moore*, 2 Marsh 211; Dav. Pat. C, 311; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 347.

† *Hill* v. *Thompson and Forman*, 3 Meriv. 622; Webs. R. 229; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 377.

the back of such chair as above described." The patentee having obtained a verdict against parties for infringement, an application was made to set aside the verdict. It was argued by *Mr. Godson*, on behalf of the defendants, that they did not use the same arrangement of parts as those described in the specification.

Lord Chief Baron Lyndhurst asked whether it was not a colourable evasion.

His Lordship remarked, that any application of the self-adjusting principle to the back and seat of a chair producing this effect, that the one acts as a counterbalance to the other, would be an infringement of this patent, but nothing short of that.

Mr. Godson. Yes, my Lord, and therefore every application of a lever to the back of a chair would be an infringement.

The Lord Chief Baron. No, a self-adjusting lever.

Mr. Godson. He has claimed by the specification the use of the lever, for fourteen years, to the backs of chairs.

The Lord Chief Baron. It is not a leverage only, but the self-adjusting leverage; and it is not the self-adjusting leverage only, but it is the self-adjusting leverage producing a particular effect, by means of which the weight on the seat counterbalances the pressure against the back.

Their Lordships refused the application, and sustained the patent.

From what has been said in respect to the construction put on the various specifications mentioned, a tolerably correct judgment may be arrived at as to what ought to be the style in which any invention should be claimed. It is true that there may and often do arise cases of considerable difficulty, particularly where great progress has been already made in any particular branch of our manufactures,—where, from a slight improvement, very considerable results are found to be obtained, and yet, from the simplicity of the change, the cause of the improvement can scarcely be pointed out in the way of a claim to invention;—in such cases, the judgment of those only who have constantly made the drawing of specifications their particular study and profession, should be depended on. The following cases may be instanced, *Galloway* v. *Bleaden** (for paddle-wheels), *Elliott* v. *Aston*† (for buttons), *Russell* v. *Cowley and Dixon*‡ (for gas tubes), *Derosne* v. *Fairrie*§ (for sugar), and

* Webs. R. 521; Rep. Arts. vol. 15, N.S. p. 220.

† Webs. R. 222; Rep. Arts. vol. 14, N.S. p. 285.

‡ 1, C. M. & R. 864; Webs. R. 463; Carp. R., vol. 1, p. 532; Rep. Arts. vol. 1, N.S. p. 166.

§ 1, M. & R. 457; 2, C. M. & R. 476; 5 Tyr. 293; 1, Gale 109; Webs. R. 154; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 664; Rep. Arts. vol. 4, N.S. p. 77.

Crane v. *Price* * (for iron), where the inventions depended each on a very small change, producing however important results, and they were accurately defined and proved to be useful, and the patents were sustained.

The Court of Common Pleas, by their unanimous judgment in the case of *Crane* v. *Price*,† very fully described the class of inventions which might be secured by letters patent. This patent, it should be kept in mind, claimed the making of iron, by combining the use of anthracite coal with hot blast. The Court said, "We are of opinion, that if the result produced by such a combination is either a new article, or a better article, or a cheaper article to the public, than that produced by the old method, that such combination is an invention or manufacture intended by the Statute, and may well become the subject of a patent. Such an assumed state of facts falls clearly within the principle exemplified by *Lord Chief Justice Abbott*,‡ where he is determining what is, and what is not, the subject of a patent, namely, 'It may, perhaps, extend to a new process, to be carried on by known implements or elements acting upon known substances,

* Webs. R. 377 ; 4 M. & G. 580. † Webs. R. 409.

‡ *The King* v. *Wheeler*, 2 Barn. and Ald. 349 ; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 394.

and ultimately producing some other known substance, but producing it in a cheaper or more expeditious manner, or a better or more useful kind.' And it falls also within the doctrine laid down by *Lord Eldon*,* 'that there may be a valid patent for a new combination of materials previously in use for the same purpose, or for a new method of applying such materials; but the specification must clearly express that it is in respect of such new combination or application.'" There are numerous instances of patents which have been granted, where the invention consisted in no more than in the use of things already known, and acting with them in a manner already known, and producing effects already known, but producing those effects so as to be more economically or beneficially enjoyed by the public. It will be sufficient to refer to a few instances, some of which patents have failed on other grounds, but none on the grounds that the invention itself was not the subject of a patent. We may first instance Hall's patent,† for applying the flame of gas to singe off the superfluous fibres of lace, where a flame of oil had been used before for

* *Hill* v. *Thompson and Forman*, 3 Meriv. 622; Webs. R. 229; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 377.

† *Hall* v. *Boot*, Webs. R. 100; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 423.

the same purpose. Derosne's patent,* in which the invention consisted in filtering the syrup of sugar through a filter, to act with animal charcoal, and charcoal from bituminous schistus, where charcoal had been used before in the filtering of almost every other liquor except the syrup of sugar. Hill's patent, above referred to, for improvements in the smelting and working of iron; there the invention consisted only in the use and application of the slags or cinders thrown off by the operation of smelting, which had been previously considered useless, for the production of good and serviceable metal, by the admixture of mine rubbish. Again, Daniell's patent, † taken out for improvements in dressing woollen cloth, where the invention consisted in immersing a roll of cloth, manufactured in the usual manner, into hot water.

According to the law, patents are granted to persons at their own risk, whether or not the inventions will be beneficial to the public; and exclusive rights are given to individuals for any new inventions which they have made, or first introduced into this kingdom from abroad, on condition that such inventions shall be so described, that the public at large may, from the specifications, be able to make and use the inventions after the expiration of fourteen years; and patents are granted as a matter of course, should

* *Derosne* v. *Fairrie*, 1 M. & R. 457; 2 C. M. & R. 476; 5 Tyr. 393; 1 Gale, 109; Webs. R. 154; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 664; Rep. Arts, vol. 4, N. S. p. 77.

† *The King* v. *Daniell*, Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 453.

there be no opposition by Caveats. The whole responsibility of making a patent valid is thrown on the patentee. It will be almost unnecessary, after what has been already said as to the fully describing the invention, to state that any withholding, or keeping secret an essential part of an invention, is fatal to the validity of a patent.*

The time allowed for specifying an invention is six months. The patentee being, however, secure on the sealing of the grant, may make and sell his invention prior to the filing of the specification. By the new statute (sec. viii. and sec. ix.) it is provided that six months' protection shall be granted from the day on which the petition is deposited at the Office of the Commissioners. Many persons may imagine from this that they can safely *sell* articles of manufacture made according to their inventions before the sealing of their patents; this, however, is not the case, the protection offered by the statute is against *any use or publication* which may take place between the application and the making of the grant. If a patentee contemplates taking patents abroad the proper time for doing so is before putting the invention into public use in this country, and the usual mode is to send copies of the specification to the foreign countries before filing it in England, and consequently before any publication can take place. The reason for allowing the length of time for drawing up the specification, is to give the patentee full opportunity to try his in-

* *Sturtz* v. *De la Rue and others,* 5 Russ. 322; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 463.

vention, that he may call in the aid of practical men, and make a perfect description. In the case of the gas-meter patent, before spoken of, there was an objection taken to the validity of the patent, that the inventor, after the sealing the patent, and before the enrolment of the specification, made some changes in the arrangement of the parts of his invention; these he put in his specification as part of the invention secured by the patent. *Lord Tenterden* said, "The objection really is, whether a patent is void, when the inventor, having had in his mind at the time of applying for it, an invention capable of producing the effect he represented it to be capable of producing, but having brought that invention to a greater degree of perfection within the time allowed by his patent for making the specification, he introduces into that specification a different species of mechanical parts from those he first conceived. No case has ever decided that, and I think it would be extremely dangerous to lay down any such doctrine; I do not see why time is allowed to prepare a specification, except upon the idea, that the inventor has not, at the time of obtaining his patent, brought his invention to the degree of perfection that he may be thought capable of doing, and therefore he is allowed further time to do it. If, in the interval, the invention is perfected, so as to

approach a perfect accomplishment of the object originally in view, I do not see that it can be any objection to the patent." It has also been decided that an inventor may call in the aid of scientific men to complete his invention ; * but a patentee must not claim the invention of another, although the *title* is large enough to include not only the patentee's invention, but also the invention of another. The introducing of the invention of another into the specification of a patent which was not granted for it, would be a fraud on the Crown, and the patent would thereby become void. It is important that patentees should well understand this, because a very improper practice has lately grown up. A party writes to a patentee, stating that he has, or that he is engaged for a party who has, an invention which would come within the title of the patent, offering that such invention shall be specified under the patent, provided the patentee will come to an agreement; and in some few cases, patentees have been unwise enough to specify other persons' inventions, as well as the invention for which the patent was granted. In all such cases the patents are unquestionably void.

* *Bloxam* v. *Else*, 6 B. & C. 169; 9 Dow. & Ry. 215; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 437; *Minter* v. *Wells and Hart*, Webs. R. 127; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 622; Rep. Arts, vol. 2, N.S. p. 80.

The specifications, when enrolled, are open to the inspection of the public, and copies may be had. The best means of ascertaining whether a patent has been granted, is to examine the books kept at the office,* for which no charge is made. Here may also be ascertained the date of the grant, by which much trouble will be saved, as well as expense otherwise incurred for examining the books at each of the Inrolment Offices.

* 4, Old Square, Lincoln's Inn.

CHAPTER VII.

AN ACT TO AMEND THE LAW TOUCHING LETTERS PATENT FOR INVENTIONS.

HAVING, in the preceding portion of this work, treated of the Law of Patents for Inventions, according to the construction which has been put on the Statute of James I., it now becomes desirable to speak of the law as amended by Lord Brougham's Act.*

Before the passing of this Statute, the specification must ever remain in the precise form in which it was originally enrolled; if that deed contained any flaw which injured the validity of the patent, in that state it must be judged.† In case of infringement, the patentee, with a specification bad in part, could not safely proceed against the parties, and where proceedings have been instituted under such circumstances, the objections taken to the specification have prevailed against the validity of the patent. If we examine into the various patent causes which are reported, it will be found that, in the larger

* 5 and 6 William IV., c. 83.

† Clerical errors only could be altered, with the sanction of the Master of the Rolls.

portion of the cases where the patentees have not been successful in keeping to themselves the exclusive right of their inventions, the cause of failure is to be attributed to the patentee's claiming more than was new at the time of sealing their patents, or otherwise, that they had not properly specified the invention. The number of these instances which are published, do not exhibit more than a very small part of those patentees who have suffered great injury by the strictness of the old law, which declared the whole of a patent invalid, if it were found to be bad in part. Very few of the many patents so circumstanced have come to the knowledge of the public, by far the larger number of patentees having before coming in Court been advised not to attempt to defend their patents. That a patentee should be restricted in his specification to claim, and have secured to him, only so much as is actually new, is highly necessary to the protection of the public; at the same time, that a patentee should lose every part of his invention, because he has been anticipated in any, and, in some cases, a very small portion thereof, is a severity in the law which ought to be and has been mitigated, by permitting a patentee, at any period of his patent, to disclaim parts of the invention contained in his specification; and, in addition to

disclaiming part of the specification, or of the title of the patent, he may amend the title of the invention, and also the description contained in the specification, where the same are found to be defective. This, it should be observed, applies to patents sealed before, as well as those passed after, the Statute.

In thus giving more security to patent property, the rights of the public have not been overlooked. The permission to amend the title of the invention, or the specification of a patent, is very properly guarded with the necessity of obtaining the sanction of the Law Officers of the Crown before any alteration or disclaimer can be made; and, further, the disclaimers or alterations must not extend the quantity of invention previously contained in the specification. The words of the Statute are,—"Any person who, as grantee, assignee, or otherwise, hath obtained or who shall hereafter obtain letters patent, for the sole making, exercising, vending, or using of any invention, may, if he think fit, enter with the Clerk of the Patents of England, Scotland, or Ireland, respectively, as the case may be, having first obtained the leave of His Majesty's Attorney-General or Solicitor-General in case of an English patent, of the Lord Advocate or Solicitor-General of Scotland in the case of a Scotch patent, or of His Majesty's Attorney-

General or Solicitor-General for Ireland in the case of an Irish patent, certified by his fiat and signature, a disclaimer of any part of either the title of the invention or of the specification, stating the reason for such disclaimer; or may, with such leave as aforesaid, enter a memorandum of any alteration in the said title or specification, not being such disclaimer or such alteration as shall extend the exclusive right granted by the said letters patent; and such disclaimer or memorandum of alteration, being filed by the said Clerk of the Patents, and enrolled with the specification, shall be deemed and taken to be part of such letters patent or such specification, in all Courts whatever."

This clause of the Act will doubtlessly prove of the highest value to the patentee, or the holders of a patent. By it he has an opportunity of striking out any part of the invention ascertained to be bad in law, in consequence of want of novelty or want of utility, and which would otherwise be fatal to the validity of the patent itself. The patentee has also, as before remarked, the power of making any alteration in the description of the invention, which may be thought incorrect, or wanting in clearness.

It may be stated, with some degree of confidence, from what has already been done in

amending specifications * and titles of patented inventions, under the above clause, that there will be no doubt of obtaining the consent of the Law Officers of the Crown, unless there should appear to have been a fraud on the part of the patentee, by his knowingly enrolling a specification which did not fairly and fully explain his invention, and clearly point out what he conceived to be new; hence arises the necessity for a patentee to be honest and careful in drawing up the title and also the specification of his invention, otherwise on application to amend he will be refused: in which case the patent would remain liable to the same strict construction which has heretofore been the practice, and the patentee or holder of the patent would fail to recover against infringement. In addition to the necessity of obtaining the sanction of the Attorney or Solicitor-General to an amendment of an English patent, the first clause of the Statute states that Caveats may be entered against alterations or disclaimers, and the parties entering the same may be heard in opposition; thus is another guard raised to prevent a patentee intentionally enrolling a specification

* For the form of documents, and other information requisite in making application to the Attorney or Solicitor-General to enrol a disclaimer or memorandum of alteration, see Appendix.

deficient in description or with too extensive claims, merely with the hope of being able at any time to amend the same, which would unquestionably have often been the case, had not the Legislature very properly put such checks as are contained in the first clause of the Statute. It has been decided that a patentee having had a bad specification, can only recover damages for such infringements as are made after enrolling a disclaimer and alteration, and not for any infringements, however extensive, committed before making the specification good in law.* In a case the jury found that one part claimed was not useful, and the Judges held that the patent was thereby rendered void altogether; at the same time they expressed great pleasure in knowing that the patentee could, by entering a disclaimer, set up all the useful part of the patent; and a disclaimer was afterwards allowed. In the same case considerable doubts were raised as to the sufficiency of the description of the specification, but the jury found, after contending evidence, that it was sufficient. The patentee, subsequent to the trial, was permitted to enter a memorandum of alteration, more fully explaining the mode of performing the invention, by giving a fresh drawing and description; and this was allowed, after the strongest op-

* *Perry* v. *Skinner*, 2 M. and W. 471; Webs. R. 250.

position, by the defendants in the original cause,* as well as by other manufacturers,—Counsel being heard on both sides by Mr. Solicitor-General *(Rolfe)*.

In another case, where the patentee at a trial obtained a verdict, there was considerable doubt whether the specification should not have set forth, how iron was to be separated from the schistus employed in the process; the mode of doing so was not known to chemists or sugar refiners in this country, and on application to the Court, the Judges granted a new trial.† But the patentee was advised to make certain alterations and disclaimers, and no new trial was had, because there was then no doubt of the validity of the patent, and it has never again been questioned. It has been decided that a patentee after having entered one disclaimer and memorandum of alteration, may, even after an adverse verdict in a trial at law, (because of the still defective state of the specification,) enter another disclaimer or alteration, in order more fully to correct that document,‡ and such is the proper desire on the part of the Judges to give a

* *Morgan* v. *Seaward & others*, Rep. Arts, vol. 7, N.S. p. 182.

† *Derosne* v. *Fairrie*, Webs. R. 154; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 664.

‡ *Losh* v. *Hague*, Webs. R. 200; 7 Dowl. 495; Rep. Arts, vol. 9, N.S. p. 224; *Rubery* v. *Barrs*, Rep. Arts, vol. 3, E.S. 246.

patentee every proper support in order that he may fully enjoy the exclusive right of any invention that he has made, that even after verdict against the validity of a patent in a writ of *scire facias* to repeal letters patent, it was stated by *Lord Chief Justice Tindal*, that the proper course would be, if the patentee "thinks that the judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench will be against him, to enter a disclaimer before such judgment is actually given, and pray the Court to suspend their judgment." *

The second clause of the new law gives to the Crown the power of re-granting or confirming a patent in the event of its being discovered that the invention had been in slight previous use. The words are,—"If in any suit or action it shall be proved, or specially found by the verdict of a jury, that any person who shall have obtained letters patent for any invention or supposed invention was not the first inventor thereof, or of some part thereof, by reason of some other person or persons having invented or used the same, or some part thereof, before the date of such letters patent, or if such patentee or his assigns shall discover that some other person had, unknown to such patentee, invented or used the same, or some part thereof, before the date of such letters patent, it shall and may be

* *The Queen* v. *Bynner*, "Law Times," vol. 7, p. 408.

lawful for such patentee or his assigns to petition His Majesty in Council to confirm the said letters patent or to grant new letters patent, the matter of which petition shall be heard before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council; and such Committee, upon examining the said matter, and being satisfied that such patentee believed himself to be the first and original inventor, and being satisfied that such invention or part thereof had not been publicly and generally used before the date of such first letters patent, may report to His Majesty their opinion that the prayer of such petition ought to be complied with, whereupon His Majesty may, if he think fit, grant such prayer; and the said letters patent shall be available in law and equity to give to such petitioner the sole right of using, making, and vending such invention as against all persons whatsoever, any law, usage, or custom to the contrary thereof notwithstanding: Provided, that any person opposing such petition shall be entitled to be heard before the said Judicial Committee: Provided also, that any person, party to any former suit or action touching such first letters patent, shall be entitled to have notice of such petition before presenting the same." *

* For the rules of practice before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, see Appendix.

This clause was evidently inserted to meet several cases which have heretofore occurred, such as Arkwright, for spinning, where the patent was declared void in consequence of some of the mechanical parts described in the specification, and not disclaimed, being on the trial proved to have been in previous use. There was also, amongst other cases, that of Daniells for dressing or finishing woollen cloths. At the trial of this patent slight previous use was proved; in both these instances the inventions were of the greatest possible benefit to the public, owing to the perfection to which the patentees had brought the respective manufactures. In speaking of these cases, it is taken for granted that the evidence on which the patents were set aside was the truth; but there are instances in which perjury has been more than suspected. Under such circumstances, it is highly desirable the Crown should have the power contained in the new law, more particularly as it is in no way to be feared that the public will be injured by the Privy Council advising the Crown to re-grant letters patent, without a strong and equitable case being made out in behalf of the patentee or his assigns. There have been only three applications under this clause of the Statute, the first * was in consequence of the invention having been

* Baron Heurteloup's Patent.

published in a foreign scientific work, which was to be found at the British Museum, and other libraries and places in this country, their Lordships thought that that would not in law invalidate the grant, but confirmed the patent. In another case the application was made, because of a previous publication in an English scientific work; but it was further proved that a description of the invention was to be found in the specifications of previous patents; their Lordships refused to advise the Crown to confirm the letters patent.*

By the fourth clause the Crown has now the right, with the advice of a Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, to extend the grant *seven* years † beyond the original term of fourteen years, for which patents are in the first instance granted. This clause was inserted to enable the patentee or his assigns to obtain such an extension without the expense of an Act of Parliament, (which it was formerly necessary to obtain,) in cases where fourteen years could be proved not to be sufficient for giving a just recompense for the benefit derived by the public from the improvements brought about by an

* *Westrupp and Gibbins's Patent,* Webs. R. 554; Rep. Arts, vol. 5, N.S. p. 227.

† The Privy Council have now the power, under the Act 7 & 8 Vic. c. 69, to advise the Crown to extend a patent for a further term of fourteen years.

invention. Several patents have been extended under this clause, and in all cases, however opposed, where the patentees have not, in the opinion of the Privy Council, been benefited in a manner commensurate with the benefits the public have obtained by the use of the patents. In a case,* where the parties holding the patent had made a very large sum of money, but where it was shewn, that for four or five years the patentees had had to contend at law and in equity to defend the patent against infringement,† *Lord Brougham* in giving judgment expressly stated, in granting an extension of six years, that it was not because the patentees had not derived large profits, but because other manufacturers had unfairly attempted to obtain possession of the invention before the patent expired, and that they ought to be taught to respect the rights of others. In this, and several other cases, the new letters patent were granted to the assignees of the original grant, and doubts were raised whether the Crown under the Statute had power to grant to assignees, and a further Act was granted with respect to this point and also to correct other matters.‡

There are other clauses in Lord Brougham's

* *Whitehouse's* Patent for tubing.

† *Russell* v. *Cowley*.

‡ 7 & 8 Vic. c. 69. For this and the other Statutes, see Appendix.

Act of great value to the holders of patent property, but the consideration of which will be found in the chapter where the law proceedings to be taken under a patent are explained. The only other part of the Statute to which attention need be called in this chapter is the last clause, which is to the intent that all persons are restrained by penalty from using any words with a view to have it supposed that they are the patentees of some patented inventions, when in reality they have no such grants. Thus it will be seen that the Legislature, in making alterations in the Law of Patents for Inventions, has with great care ensured to the patentee every possible protection, at the same time it has secured the public against being injured, by a patentee having a grant to which he is not strictly entitled; and it may be stated, with some degree of confidence, that if a patentee has in his specification described a really new and useful invention, should he have had the misfortune to have described it badly, or to have claimed more than was new and useful at the date of his patent; if he be subsequently well advised, he will be able to retain full and exclusive right to so much of the invention contained in his specification as is justly due to him. Indeed it appears next to impossible to upset a patent containing a new invention.

What has been said in regard to Lord Brougham's Act applies to patents taken before the 1st of October, 1852; in regard to patents taken after this date, there will be modifications of some of the sections of that Act come into force. In future, as all patents will be granted in England, and extend to England, Scotland, and Ireland, the sections of the former Act which relate to disclaimers and alterations of titles and specifications, is made applicable to such grants, but is varied accordingly, as will be seen on reference to the Act. Those sections also which relate to extending the period of the grant, and to the confirming the grant under the advice of the Privy Council, are modified and made applicable to the new grants.* By the new statute an important change has been made, and which will tend to reduce the expense of protecting patents, and this is, that in future the Common Law Judges will have the power of granting injunctions;* so that, in place of going from Court to Court as heretofore, and which rendered it necessary to instruct new counsel and make out fresh briefs and papers, the proceedings may commence and end in the same Court, the Judges will be better informed of the facts, and will probably only cail in the aid of a Jury when there are doubts on which side the facts incline.

* 15 & 16 Vic. c. 83, s. 42.

In addition to which the parties resisting the validity of a patent will have to state at the outset with more certainty and clearness the objections they propose to establish.*

* 15 & 16 Vic. c. 83, s. 41.

CHAPTER VIII.

ON THE CLAUSES AND PROVISOS CONTAINED IN LETTERS PATENT.

HAVING given information as to the means to be employed in obtaining letters patent for an invention, and the care to be taken in drawing a title, and the description or specification of an invention, it will be desirable next to consider the clauses and provisos contained in the patent, they forming part of the law by which these grants are judged. The form of the patent being given in the Appendix, it will not be necessary to repeat the clauses here.

The first part of the patent recites the petition, and sets forth the *title* which has been given to the invention.

The second part relates to the granting of the sole use of the invention for fourteen years, whereby all persons are restricted from using the invention, without licence first had and obtained from the patentee; and persons are restrained from counterfeiting or imitating the invention, and from making any addition thereunto, or subtraction from the same.

The third part directs, that the patent shall be void, if contrary to law, or prejudicial and inconvenient to the public in general, *or not the*

invention of the patentee, or not first introduced into this country by him.

The fourth part declares, that letters patent shall not give privilege to the patentee to use inventions for which patents have been already obtained by others.*

The next clause in the patent relates to the specification, which has been already explained. This clause also declares that the patent shall become void should the patentee refuse to supply the articles of the patent to Her Majesty's service on such reasonable terms as Commissioners, administering the particular department of the service to which the invention applies, may determine. This clause also provides for and requires that the patentee shall, before the end of three years, pay the sum of 50*l.*, and the further sum of 100*l.* before the end of the seventh year of the grant.

The last clause directs, that the patent shall be construed in the most favourable manner for the patentee. It will be desirable to observe, that this last clause has sometimes been construed to relate to the enrolment of the specification, which is erroneous. It is the duty of the Great Seal Clerk to enrol the Privy Seal Bill, from which the patent is copied; the clause, therefore,

* In the event of a new patent requiring the use of a previously patented invention, or any part thereof, the new patentee must obtain leave, in writing, of the previous patentee; otherwise he cannot work his invention till the previous patent has expired.

relates to that document, and has no reference to the specification, which the patentee is bound to enrol within the time named in a prior clause, otherwise the patent becomes void.

CHAPTER IX.

ON THE LEGAL PROCEEDINGS TO BE TAKEN TO PROTECT LETTERS PATENT.

It has been before remarked, that a well-drawn specification is the best preventive to infringement, whilst the contrary is the greatest inducement to those who are desirous of pirating an invention, to do so. It is constantly the practice, as soon as a new and useful invention comes out, for persons in the particular branch of trade to which it relates, to get copies of the specification, with a view to take the opinions of legal and scientific individuals acquainted with the law of patents, to ascertain whether the specification is so drawn as safely to secure the invention, or whether the same might be infringed, with the possibility of setting up a good defence, in case of proceedings being taken by the patentee. Many instances might here be stated where a whole trade have expressed themselves determined to use a particular patent, and have only waited for the enrolling of the specification, to judge whether it might be infringed with impunity. In a recent instance, a patent was obtained,

and the trade had generally intimated that they were determined to use the invention without the consent of the patentee, unless he came into such terms as they might offer for their working under the patent. The patentee was naturally alarmed, and took every advice to make the patent secure; as soon as the specification was enrolled, a number of copies were obtained by persons in the trade, and opinions taken; but the specification being considered good, the trade found that their only course was to come into the terms of the patentee, or be prevented manufacturing the invention; and this would be the case with the largest portion of patents, were the patentees to take proper care in securing themselves, by fully and actually describing their inventions, and by claiming only that which is new and useful; and on no consideration to include in the specification an invention of another person, or an invention other than that for which the patent was granted.

Some persons imagine that they may put any invention into a specification which will come within the title of the patent, whether such invention was originally contemplated or not. This is erroneous, and it should be fully understood by patentees, that the introduction into a specification of any new invention, other than that for which the patent was granted, would be

a fraud on the Crown, and would render the patent void; and it is believed that an Attorney-General would not help a patentee, thus acting fraudulently, by allowing him to disclaim such parts of his specification, as had been obtained from others, and improperly introduced and claimed. The time allowed for enrolling the specification to a patent, is for maturing the invention under protection of the patent, and, if necessary, to allow the patentee to call in the aid of scientific and practical men, in order to make the invention as perfect as possible, that the specification may be complete for the benefit of the public as well as for the patentee.

In case of infringement, the patentee may either at once proceed by action to recover damages, or he may apply to the Court of Chancery, to grant an injunction to restrain the parties from making, selling, or using the invention.

In bringing an action against a party for an infringement, the patentee should be prepared with proof of the grant of the letters patent, for which purpose the producing the deed itself, or proving an official copy, is sufficient; next there must be proof of the due enrolment of the specification which is usually done by an official copy, and it must be shewn that the

description in the specification is such that a person might produce the invention by pursuing the same, and the infringement must be clearly proved.*

Mr. Justice Buller, in an action tried before him, stated—"Wherever the patentee brings an action on his patent, if the novelty or effect of the invention be disputed, he must shew in what his invention consists, and that he produced the effect proposed by the patent, in the manner specified. Slight evidence of this on his part is sufficient, and it is then incumbent on the defendant to falsify the specification." † The patentee having proved his case, the defendant may call evidence to shew that he has not infringed the patent, or he may put in evidence to prove that the invention is not new, or that the patentee was not the first inventor, or the first who introduced the invention into this kingdom, or that the title in the patent, and the description in the specification do not conform to each other, or that the specification is otherwise defective. If the defendant succeed in

* Circumstantial evidence will be sufficient to prove an infringement. *Hall* v. *Boot*, Webs. R. 100; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 423.

† *Turner* v. *Winter*, 1 T. R. 602; Dav. P. C. 145; Webs. R. 77; Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 105.

establishing either of these positions, the patentee will not support his action; on the other hand, should the defendant fail, then such damages will be awarded by the jury as they may think just.* By the fifth clause of Lord Brougham's Act, a very important alteration has been made in the law, so far as regards the pleadings to be resorted to by a defendant. Formerly, in an action for infringement of a patented invention, the defendant, under a plea called the "general issue," that is, by generally denying the infringement, as well as every other right, might give evidence against the validity of the patent in every way in which a patent is vulnerable; nor could the plaintiff ascertain on what points the defendant intended to ground the defence; but now he will be obliged to give the plaintiff notice of the description of objections on which he intends to rely, and evidence will not be received at the trial which is not strictly within the notice so given. By this means the patentee will be in a position to judge at once as to what evidence it will be desirable for him to call, in order to anticipate the defence. Be-

* It is not usual to ask more than nominal damages in a Court of Law, because the Court of Chancery will require the defendant to render an account of the quantity of infringement, and damages will be awarded accordingly.

fore this Act was passed, a plaintiff was obliged to prepare himself with evidence and argument on every point.

In order as much as possible to prevent the vexatious infringements of patents which have so commonly attended every valuable discovery, the third clause of the Statute enacts, that in case "a verdict shall pass for a patentee or his assigns, or if a final decree or decretal order shall be made for him or them upon the merits of the suit, it shall be lawful for the judge who shall make such decree or order to give a certificate under his hand, that the validity of the patent came in question before him, which record or certificate being given in evidence in any suit or action whatever touching such patent, if a verdict shall pass, or a decree or decretal order be made in favour of such patentee or his assigns, he or they shall receive treble costs in such suit or action."* It has often been con-

* Since the passing of the Act, many certificates have been given, and in one patent, the plaintiffs in three subsequent actions recovered treble costs. By the Statute, 5 and 6 Vic., c. 97, this has been altered, and a patentee can now only obtain full costs. The words of this section of the Statute are as follows:—"And be it enacted, That so much of any Clause, Enactment, or Provision, in any public Act or Acts, not local or personal, whereby it is enacted or provided, that either double or treble costs, or any other than the usual costs between party and party, shall or may be recovered, shall be, and the same are, hereby repealed. Pro-

sidered that, when a patentee has failed in obtaining a verdict in an action for an infringement, the patent is void; yet such is not the case; a patent is not legally void, unless it be declared so when tried by a writ of *scire facias*; consequently, if a patentee fail in an action for infringement (which may often happen for want of some particular evidence), he may proceed to bring other actions, and the former want of success would not injure any new cause of action.

In many instances, it will be desirable to prefer proceeding in the Court of Chancery, which will often be found a more summary mode, more particularly where the object is rather to put a stop to the infringement than to seek damages. It will here be desirable to explain, in the words of *Lord Eldon*, the principle on which the Court of Chancery proceeds, in case of an application for an injunction. His Lordship said,—"The principle upon which the Court acts in cases of this description is the following:—where a patent

vided always, that instead of such costs, the party or parties heretofore entitled, under such last-mentioned Acts, to such double, treble, or other costs, shall receive such full and reasonable indemnity as to all costs, charges, and expenses incurred in and about any action, suit, or other legal proceeding, as shall be taxed by the proper officer in that behalf, subject to be reviewed in like manner, and by the same authority, as any other taxation of costs by such officer."

has been granted, and an exclusive possession of some duration under it, the Court will interpose its injunction, without putting the party previously to establish the validity of his patent by an action at law. But where the patent is but of yesterday, and upon an application being made for an injunction, it is endeavoured to be shewn in opposition to it, that there is no good specification, or otherwise, that the patent ought not to have been granted, the Court will not, from its own notions respecting the matter in dispute, act upon the presumed validity or invalidity of the patent, without the right having been ascertained by a previous trial; but will send the patentee to law, and oblige him to establish the validity of his patent in a Court of Law. It will, however, grant him the benefit of an injunction." *

In applying to the Court of Chancery, a bill is filed, praying an injunction to restrain the infringement. In the bill, the patent and specification are set forth, and affidavits are filed in support of the application. The evidence to be contained in these affidavits should go to shew that a proper specification has been enrolled, that the patentee is the first inventor, and the infringement must be clearly pointed out, in

* *Hill* v. *Thompson and Forman*, Carp. R. vol. 1, p. 374.

which case the injunction is usually granted on an *exparte* application.

The defendant, in putting in his answer, may shew that he has not infringed the patent; or he may attempt to shew that the invention is not new, or that the specification is not correct, or that the patentee is not the inventor or first introducer of the invention into this country. It will then be for the Lord Chancellor to say whether the injunction shall remain in force, or whether there shall be an issue tried in a Court of Common Law. Or the defendant may apply to have the injunction removed on evidence, without first putting in his answer.

There have been cases where it was difficult to obtain evidence as to the actual infringement, in consequence of not being able to see the defendant's means of making a particular manufacture; in such cases, where good evidence is given in support of the application for an injunction, the Court will direct an examination of the works, or will grant an injunction, leaving it to the defendant to shew that he does not use the patentee's invention; but this course has only been pursued where the article produced has been so similar in appearance to that made by the patented invention, that the natural inference was, that the means of manufacture were the same.

It has been shewn, that by the Statute of James I. the validity of patents should be tried and determined by the common law of the realm, consequently a patent must not be considered void, unless it be declared so in a suit at law expressly to try the validity of the grant; and the description or process of law proceedings is termed a writ of *scire facias;* it is prosecuted in the name of the Queen, it being considered, that as a patent cannot be declared void, except contrary to law; or that the Queen, as grantor, has been deceived either by the patentee being proved not to be the first inventor, or the first introducer of the invention; or that the patentee has not, by the specification, complied with the provisos contained in the letters patent, or that the grant has been made for one object, whilst in the specification another object is described; or that the specification is in other respects imperfect. In any of these cases, the Queen is considered to have been deceived in making her grant of letters patent; and therefore the Queen's name is used in the inquiry, to ascertain whether the patent has been properly made; yet, although the name of the Queen is used in the proceedings, the party who originates such proceedings pays the costs of the action; that is, so much of them as fall to the share of the plaintiff in the cause. And he has also to give

a bond to secure the defendant's costs in the event of the writ failing.

It is considered in law, that all the Queen's subjects are injured by an illegal grant of letters patent, therefore any person may petition Her Majesty to direct a writ of *scire facias* to try the validity of a patent. In a trial of this description, similar evidence in support of the novelty and utility of the invention, and that it is properly described, will be required by the patentee, as in actions for infringements.

In case the verdict is for the Crown the patent is void, and the Court directs the patent to be cancelled; but if the verdict be for the defendant (the patentee), then the patent is declared valid. It may be desirable here to add, that patents are now treated with the greatest attention in all the Courts of Law and Equity; and the Judges will not permit frivolous objections to be taken against the validity of a patent; but, on the contrary, they put the most favourable construction both on the grant and the specification. And in the event of a verdict passing for the Crown, and consequently against the validity of a patent, the Court, on a reasonably strong case being made, would probably suspend their judgment, and allow the patentee to amend his specification, by disclaimer and alteration, and thus save any valuable matter

of invention which might be found in the patent.*

Before a patentee takes any proceeding, either at Law or in Equity, to stop an infringement, he should carefully consult his Counsel, and also scientific men, to ascertain whether the specification may not be amended under the Statute (5 & 6 W. 4, c. 83), by enrolling a disclaimer or memorandum of alteration, according to the first clause; for should the specification contain a claim of more than was new at the sealing of the patent, or any other flaw, and there be no disclaimer or alteration enrolled before the commencement of proceedings in law, the patentee would be non-suited, and have to pay the costs of the action. The first clause, amongst other things, enacts, "*that no such disclaimer or alteration shall be receivable in evidence in any action or suit* (*save and except in any proceeding by* scire facias) *pending at the time when such disclaimer or alteration was enrolled, but in every such action or suit, the original title and specification alone shall be given in evidence.*"

In case a patentee should fail in any suit or action brought for an infringement, owing to its being proved at the trial that some part of the specification or the title given to the invention was bad in law, this would not destroy

* *The Queen* v. *Bynner*, Law Times, vol. 7, page 408.

the validity of the patent; on the contrary, the specification and title might subsequently be amended by disclaimer or memorandum of alteration, and the patent thereby rendered perfectly valid and good in law, provided the specification really contained a new and useful invention, and in case the same defendant continued to infringe, fresh proceedings might be taken against him; thus will a defendant no longer be permitted to use a patented invention because he may be able in the first instance at a trial to prove a legal objection to some portion of the specification. A case of this description may with advantage be given. Mr. Brunton took a patent, in which he specified an invention for improvements in chain cables, in capstans, and in anchors. At the trial it was proved that the anchors were not new, at the same time it was allowed by all parties that the invention, so far as it related to cables was not only new but highly useful. The patentee failed in supporting his patent, owing to the want of novelty in the anchors; thus was he deprived of the whole of his invention. According to the law, as it is now constituted, he might, even after the trial, have entered a disclaimer to so much of the specification as related to anchors, and the patent would then have been good for the remainder.

In drawing up a disclaimer and memorandum

of alteration great care must be observed, as on the wording of such documents much will often depend. A patentee should not, therefore, be induced hastily to pursue such a course, but should have the advice of those best acquainted with patent law, before he determines on any alteration.

CHAPTER X.

OBSERVATIONS ON THE PATENT LAWS AND ON THE MANNER OF TRYING PATENT CAUSES.

THERE have been, at various times, objections raised to the laws relating to patents, and many propositions have been made for amending those laws. One objection against them is, that the knowledge of science being possessed by only a few, a patent right ought not to be tried by a judge and jury, as is the present practice; but, in place thereof, it has been recommended that such causes should be tried by a commission of scientific persons, chosen according to the particular object of the invention.

When it is found, which has often been the case, that a large number of persons engaged in a particular trade or manufacture, combine together with a view to upset a patent, in consequence either of their profits being lowered, or their business taken away altogether, unless they obtain a licence from the patentee to use the new invention; knowing that this has often

occurred, it would not be from persons engaged in the particular branch of manufacture, that a commission should be chosen for trying a patent right; they are interested in the result, and, though parties might be highly honourable, yet it would be against the general principles of the Laws of England to place persons, so situated, in the judgment-seat.

It would generally be supposed, that persons engaged in any manufacture would be the first to estimate the value of a new invention which related to their particular branch; yet this is not the case; on the contrary, it will be found that in many instances, where extensive change has been introduced, it has been by persons before unconnected with the particular branch of manufacture; old customs and prejudices have such an effect on the mind, that it will be generally found that those before engaged in the particular manufacture are often the last to conform to an improvement in the means of production; and hence is the great difficulty which is found in making general any new invention. Had the case of Watt's steam engine been tried by the engineers of the day, such was their jealousy and prejudice, that it would inevitably have been thrown open to the public; even the great Smeaton, after having examined the engine, preferred, when constructing a large

work, to build an engine on the old plan, rather than use Watt's engine, although the only payment demanded by the patentee for his engine, was a share of the profits made by saving a large quantity of fuel, which would be consumed by an engine of equal power on the old construction.

The manner of trying a patent right, according to the laws as they are at present constituted, will be found less objectionable than any of the projects for amending them; and it may be observed, that the same description of objections might be raised to every department of English Law, and the much and justly honoured trial by jury must be got rid of. The parties raising such objections forget that the duty of the jury is to give a verdict on the evidence brought before them, and under no circumstances are they required to know the law of the case; a jury might as well be expected to examine and understand the goodness of materials in an action brought on a contract for building a house, as that it is necessary that they should understand the value or goodness of a patented invention, from their own knowledge of the previous state of a particular manufacture. The evidence produced in a patent cause consists of scientific men and manufacturers, who are open to any question from the Counsel, who are aided

by scientific persons and manufacturers, and thus may be elicited and shewn to the jury any prejudice which a witness may entertain on the subject in question; by such means may twelve persons, before unacquainted with the matter, be able to come to a just verdict, which, in most instances, would not be the case if the scientific and manufacturing men were made judges, in place of witnesses. Such is the jealousy of individuals in every branch of manufactures and trades, that few persons would wish to have their works judged of and decided on by others engaged in the same branches of trade or manufactures. How much more, then, must this feeling apply to a patentee, more particularly when he has not been before engaged in the same branch of manufacture, and comes into the trade for the first time, armed with a grant securing an invention which produces a competing manufacture of a better quality, or at less cost. Few would expect justice to be done to a patentee, if those most interested in destroying the patent were made judges in place of witnesses. At present the duty of the judge is equally clear; nor does it require that he should possess more extensive scientific knowledge than falls to the share of those who are generally found on the bench. The judge has to give his opinion of the language of the spe-

cification, whether the same has complied with the provisos contained in the letters patent—as to whether the title given to the invention in the patent, and the claim of originality in the specification are in conformity with each other; and it will be for the jury to say, from the evidence, whether the invention is new and useful; whether an infringement has been proved; and also whether the invention may be performed, from the description given of it in the specification; consequently, evidence should always be given in support of the patent, by persons who, having read the specification, could make the invention from the specification without other aid. There may at all times arise questions where it will be for the jury to say whether or not, by the evidence, the specification is so clear as is required by law; when the evidence on that head is conflicting, the judge will leave such points to the jury to say whether or not the parties concerned have conformed to certain provisos.

There have also been objections raised to the manner of granting letters patent, more particularly that part which is the province of the Attorney and Solicitor-General: and, as a substitute, it has been recommended, that there should be a Board of Commissioners of scientific persons to examine inventions, to say whether

patents should be granted. There are so many objections to such a course of proceeding, that it may by some be thought unnecessary to enter into a refutation of such propositions; yet, as there should at all times be confidence in the power of the laws, that they are capable of giving to every one his equitable and just right, it follows, that any doubt raised as to the possibility of the laws having such power, must weaken that confidence which it is desirable every one should feel, otherwise parties would permit an injury in preference to submitting their claim to a tribunal whose power they doubt.

It will not, therefore, be considered out of place here to state, that the observations which have been made with respect to the appointment of a scientific commission for trying the validity of patent rights, apply, but with much greater force, to a similar commission being appointed to decide whether a patent should or should not be granted for any new invention. Such commissioners would be chosen from manufacturers, engineers, chemists, or individuals directly, or indirectly, concerned in constructing or working engines and machines and processes for producing the various manufactures, at present known: now, in case a patent should be applied for, it would follow that it might be for some new invention or discovery, by which a new

and cheaper means of producing a known manufacture would be brought about, or for a new manufacture, which, if brought fully into use might supersede some known manufacture. Should such a case come before a commission so constituted, it is evident there might and probably would be some one or more of the commission who would give an interested judgment, or be obliged to give an award, whereby a patent might be granted, and a monopoly given to some individual which would lead to the destruction of the profits of the trade or manufacture in which such commissioners were directly or indirectly interested. Besides which, there are often cases of patents applied for, where the inventions are so different from all others heretofore known that the commissioners would become incompetent to decide, and patents for important inventions would probably be refused. That of the means of lighting streets with gas might be instanced; had a patent been applied for some time prior to its first introduction for that purpose, it might have been refused by a commission so constituted; for, so great was the prejudice against the possibility of beneficially using gas for lighting streets, that the scientific world ridiculed the idea of such an application. Watt's engine may be again named, the prejudice was equally strong against its being capable of super-

seding the old fire-engines, as they were called. These, with many other instances which have occurred, and are constantly taking place, will be sufficient to show that a scientific commission is not the means of judging whether a patent should be granted. The expense, also, of a commission would be far greater than the whole sum paid for patents, of which sum upwards of two-thirds go into the public purse.

By the present practice it is impossible an inventor can be injured by a refusal to grant letters patent, from a supposition that he is mistaken as to the utility of his invention. The Queen, when petitioned, grants Her letters patent as a matter of course, and at the hazard of the petitioner, whether the invention be new and useful, and produce the desired effect. And it may be stated with confidence that under the present state of the law a person having made a new invention applicable to a manufacture, if he use reasonable care and caution in taking his patent and drawing his specification, may secure the same in such manner as to prevent any other person using the invention without his consent.

APPENDIX.

STATUTES.

18 HEN. VI. c. 1.

An Act that Letters Patent shall bear the date of the King's Warrant delivered into the Chancery.

FIRST, Whereas by suit made to the King by divers persons, it hath been desired by their petitions to have offices, ferms, and other things of the gift and grant of the King, by his gracious Letters Patents thereof to them to be made, desiring by the same petitions the same Letters Patents of the King to bear date at a certain day, limited in the same, the which day is often long before, the King's grant to them thereupon made have borne the same date, by reason whereof divers of the King's liege people, having such offices, ferms, and other things, of the gift or grant of the King, by his gracious Letters Patents thereof to them long time before duly made by such subtil imagination of such antedates desired by such petitions of such offices, ferms, and other things, often have been put out, amoved, and expelled, against right good conscience and reason. Our said Lord the King, willing to put out such imaginations by the advice and assent of the Lords spiritual and temporal aforesaid, and at the special request of the said Commons, hath ordained, by authority of the same Parliament, That of every warrant hereafter sent by the same, our Lord the King, or his heirs, to the Chancellor of *England* for the time being; the day of

the delivery of the same to the Chancellor shall be entered of record in the Chancery. And that the Chancellor do cause Letters Patents to be made upon the same warrant, bearing date the day of the said delivery in the Chancery, and not before in any wise. And if any Letters Patents be from henceforth made to the contrary, they shall be void, frustrate, and holden for none.

---

13 Eliz. c. 6.

An Act that the Exemplification or Constat of Letters Patents shall be as good and available as the Letters Patents themselves.

A supply of the Statute of 3 & 4 Edw. VI. c. 4.

For the avoiding of all such doubts, questions, and ambiguities, as heretofore have risen and been moved, and of such as hereafter might rise and be moved in and upon the Statute made in the Parliament begun and holden at Westminster the fourth day of November, in the third year of the reign of our late Sovereign Lord King *Edward* the Sixth, intituled, "*An Act concerning grants and gifts made by Patentees out of Letters Patents, and for a due and full supply of all such wants as may be thought to be therein.*"

An exemplification of letters patent, to be of the same force as the letters patent themselves.

II. Be it enacted and declared by the authority of this present Parliament, That all and every patentee and patentees, their heirs, successors, executors, and assigns, and all and every other person and persons, having by or from them or any of them or under their title any estate or interest of, in, or to any lands, tenements, or hereditaments, or any other thing whatsoever to such patentee or patentees heretofore granted by any letters patents, either of the most famous Princes King *Henry* the Eighth, King *Edward* the

Sixth, Queen *Mary*, King *Philip* and Queen *Mary*, or by any of them, or by the Queen's Most Excellent Majesty that now is, at any time sithence the fourth day of February in the twenty-seventh year of the reign of the said late King *Henry* the Eighth, or else by the Queen's Majesty that now is, her heirs or successors at any time hereafter to be granted, shall and may at all times hereafter, in any of the Queen's Highness Courts, her heirs and successors, or elsewhere by the authority of this present Act, make and convey and be allowed and suffered to make and convey to and for him, them, and every of themselves, such claim or title by way of declaration, plaint, avowry, bar, replication, or other pleading whatsoever, as well against the Queen's Highness, her heirs and successors and every of them, as against all and every other person and persons whatsoever, for or concerning the lands, tenements, hereditaments, or other things whatsoever specified or contained in any such letters patents, or of, for, or concerning any part or parcel thereof, by showing forth an exemplification or *constat* under the Great Seal of *England*, or of the enrolment of the same letters patents or of so much thereof as shall and may serve to or for such title, claim or matter, the same letters patents then being and remaining in force, not lawfully surrendered nor cancelled for or concerning so much and such part and parcel of such lands, tenements, hereditaments, or other thing whereunto such title or claim shall be made, as if the same letters patents' self were pleaded and showed forth, any law, usage, or other thing whatsoever to the contrary notwithstanding.

21 Jac. I. c. 3.

An Act concerning Monopolies and Dispensations with Penal Laws, and the Forfeitures thereof.

All monopolies, &c., shall be void.

"Forasmuch as your Most Excellent Majesty, in your royal judgment, and of your blessed disposition to the weal and quiet of your subjects, did in the year of our Lord God one thousand six hundred and ten, publish in print to the whole realm, and to all posterity, that all grants of monopolies, and of the benefit of any penal laws, or of power to dispense with the law, or to compound for the forfeiture, are contrary to your Majesty's laws, which your Majesty's declaration is truly consonant and agreeable to the ancient and fundamental laws of this your realm: And whereas your Majesty was further graciously pleased expressly to command, that no suitor should presume to move your Majesty for matters of that nature; yet nevertheless upon misinformations and untrue pretences of public good, many such grants have been unduly obtained, and unlawfully put in execution, to the great grievance and inconvenience of your Majesty's subjects, contrary to the laws of this your realm, and contrary to your Majesty's most royal and blessed intention, so published as aforesaid:" For avoiding whereof, and preventing of the like in time to come, may it please your Excellent Majesty, at the humble suit of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal and the Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, That it may be declared and enacted: and be it declared and enacted, by authority of this present Parliament, That all monopolies, and all commissions, grants, licences, charters, and letters patents heretofore made or granted, or hereafter to be made or granted, to any person or persons, bodies politic or corporate whatsoever, of or for the sole buying, selling, making, working, or using of any thing within this realm, or the dominion of *Wales*, or of any other monopo-

lies, or of power, liberty, or faculty to dispense with any others, or to give licence or toleration to do, use, or exercise anything against the tenor or purport of any law or Statute: or to give or make any warrant for any such dispensation, licence, or toleration to be had or made; or to agree or compound with any others for any penalty or forfeitures limited by any Statute; or of any grant or promise of the benefit, profit or commodity of any forfeiture, penalty, or sum of money, that is or shall be due by any Statute, before judgment thereupon had: and all proclamations, inhibitions, restraints, warrants of assistance, and all other matters and things whatsoever, any way tending to the instituting, erecting, strengthening, furthering, or countenancing of the same or any of them; are altogether contrary to the laws of this realm, and so are and shall be utterly void and of none effect, and in no wise to be put in ure or execution.

Monopolies, &c., shall be tried by the common laws of this realm.

II. And be it further declared and enacted by the authority aforesaid, That all monopolies, and all such commissions, grants, licences, charters, letters patents, proclamations, inhibitions, restraints, warrants of assistance, and all other matters and things tending as aforesaid, and the force and validity of them, and of every of them, ought to be and shall be for ever hereafter examined, heard, tried, and determined, by and according to the common laws of this realm, and not otherwise.

All persons disabled to use monopolies, &c.

III. And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That all person and persons, bodies politic and corporate whatsoever, which now are or hereafter shall be, shall stand and be disabled and uncapable to have, use, exercise, or put in ure any monopoly, or any such commission, grant, licence, charter, letters patents, proclamation, inhibition, restraint, warrant of assistance, or other matter or thing tending as aforesaid, or any liberty, power, or faculty, grounded or pretended to be grounded upon them, or any of them.

The party grieved by pretext of a monopoly, &c., shall recover treble damages and double costs.

IV. And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That if any person or persons at any time after the end of forty days next after the end of this present session of Parliament, shall be hindered, grieved, disturbed, or disquieted, or his or their goods or chattels any way seized, attached, distrained, taken, carried away or detained, by occasion or pretext of any monopoly, or of any such commission, grant, licence, power, liberty, faculty, letters patents, proclamation, inhibition, restraint, warrant of assistance, or other matter or thing tending as aforesaid, and will sue to be relieved in or for any of the premises; That then and in every such case, the same person and persons shall and may have his and their remedy for the same at the common law, by any action or actions to be grounded upon the Statute; the same action and actions to be heard and determined in the Courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer, or in any of them, against him or them by whom he or they shall be so hindered, grieved, disturbed, or disquieted, or against him or them by whom his or their goods or chattels shall be so seized, attached, distrained, taken, carried away, or detained; wherein all and every such person and persons which shall be so hindered, grieved, disturbed, or disquieted, or whose goods or chattels shall be so seized, attached, distrained, taken, carried away, or detained, shall recover three times so much as the damages which he or they sustained by means or occasion of being so hindered, grieved, disturbed, or disquieted, or by means of having his or their goods or chattels seized, attached, distrained, taken, carried away, or detained, and double costs; and in such suits, or for the staying or delaying thereof, no essoin, protection, wager of law, aid, prayer, privilege, injunction, or order of restraint, shall be in any wise prayed, granted, admitted, or allowed, nor any more than one imparlance: And if any person or persons shall, after notice given that the action depending is

He that delayeth an action

grounded upon this Statute, cause or procure any action at the common law, grounded upon this Statute, to be stayed or delayed before judgment, by colour or means of any order, warrant, power, or authority, save only of the Court wherein such action as aforesaid shall be brought and depending, or after judgment had upon such action, shall cause or procure the execution of or upon any such judgment to be stayed or delayed by colour or means of any order, warrant, power, or authority, save only by writ of error or attaint; That then the said person and persons so offending shall incur and sustain the pains, penalties, and forfeitures ordained and provided by the Statute of Provision and *Præmunire* made in the sixteenth year of the reign of King *Richard* the Second.

grounded upon this Statute incurs a Præmunire.

16 Rich. II. c. 5.

V. Provided nevertheless, and be it declared and enacted, That any declaration before mentioned shall not extend to any letters patents and grants of privilege for the term of one and twenty years or under, heretofore made, of the sole working or making of any manner of new manufacture within this realm, to the first and true inventor or inventors of such manufactures, which others at the time of the making of such letters patents and grants did not use, so they be not contrary to the law, nor mischievous to the State, by raising of the prices of commodities at home, or hurt of trade, or generally inconvenient, but that the same shall be of such force as they were or should be, if this Act had not been made, and of none other: and if the same were made for more than one and twenty years, that then the same for the term of one and twenty years only, to be accounted from the date of the first letters patents and grants thereof made, shall be of such force as they were or should have been, if the same had been made but for term of one and twenty years only, and as if this Act had never been had or made, and of none other.

Letters patents to use new manufactures, saved.

Exception of future letters patents.

VI. Provided also, and be it declared and enacted, That any declaration before mentioned shall not extend to any letters patents and grants of privilege for the term of fourteen years or under, hereafter to be made, of the sole working or making of any manner of new manufactures within this realm, to the true and first inventor and inventors of such manufactures, which others, at the time of making such letters patents and grants, shall not use, so as also they be not contrary to the law, nor mischievous to the State, by raising prices of commodities at home, or hurt of trade, or generally inconvenient: the said fourteen years to be accounted from the date of the first letters patents, or grant of such privilege hereafter to be made, but that the same shall be of such force as they should be, if this Act had never been made, and of none other.

VII. [Not to extend to any Grants made by Parliament.]

VIII. [Nor to Warrants granted to Justices.]

IX. [Nor to Charters granted to Corporations.]

Letters patents that concern printing, saltpetre, gunpowder, great ordnance, shot, or offices, saved.

X. Provided also, and be it enacted, That this Act, or any declaration, provision, disablement, penalty, forfeiture, or other thing before mentioned, shall not extend to any letters patents or grants of privilege heretofore made, or hereafter to be made, of, for, or concerning printing, nor to any commission, grant, or letters patents, heretofore made, or hereafter to be made, of, for, or concerning the digging, making, or compounding of saltpetre or gunpowder, or the casting or making of ordnance, or shot for ordnance, nor to any grant or letters patents heretofore made, or hereafter to be made, of any office or offices heretofore erected, made, or ordained, and now in being, and put in execution, other than such offices as have been decried by any his Majesty's proclamation or proclamations: but that all and every the same grants, commissions, and letters patents, and all other matters and things tending to the maintaining, strengthening, and furtherance of the

same, or of any of them, shall be and remain of the like force and effect, and no other, and as free from the declarations, provisions, penalties, and forfeitures contained in this Act, as if this Act had never been had nor made, and not otherwise.

This Act shall *not extend to* commissions for allum mines.

XI. Provided also, and be it enacted, That this Act, or any declaration, provision, disablement, penalty, forfeiture, or other thing before mentioned, shall not extend to any commission, grant, letters patents, or privilege, heretofore made, or hereafter to be made, of, for, or concerning the digging, compounding, or making of allum or allum mines, but that all and every the same commissions, grants, letters patents, and privileges, shall be and remain of the like force and effect, and no other, and as free from the declarations, provisions, penalties, and forfeitures contained in this Act, as if this Act had never been had nor made, and not otherwise.

XII. [Not to extend to the liberties of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, nor to licences of keeping taverns.]

XIII. [Nor to letters patents granted to Sir Robert Mansel, Knt., or to James Maxewell, Esq.]

XIV. [Nor to those granted to Abraham Baker, or Lord Dudley.]

---

5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 62.

An Act to repeal an Act of the present Session of Parliament, intituled, "An Act for the more effectual Abolition of Oaths and Affirmations taken and made in various Departments of the State, and to substitute Declarations in lieu thereof; and for the more entire Suppression of volun-

tary and extra-judicial Oaths and Affidavits;" and to make other Provisions for the Abolition of unnecessary Oaths.

Whereas an Act was passed in the present session of Par-
5 W. IV. c. 8. liament, intituled, "*An Act for the more effectual abolition of oaths and affirmations taken and made in various departments of the State, and to substitute declarations in lieu thereof; and for the more entire suppression of voluntary and extra-judicial oaths and affidavits;*" and it was thereby enacted that the said Act should commence and take effect from and after the first day of *June* in this present year, the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty-five, it not being intended that the said recited Act should take effect before the same received the Royal Assent: And whereas the said recited Act did not receive the Royal Assent till after the said first day of *June*, one thousand eight hundred and thirty-five: And whereas it was enacted by the said recited Act, that from and after the first day of *June* next ensuing, it should not be lawful for any Justice of the Peace to administer or receive such voluntary oaths as are therein mentioned, it being intended that the said prohibition should take effect from the time of the commencement of the said recited Act: And whereas it is expedient to amend the said Act, and to make some further provisions for the better effecting the object thereof, and to consolidate all the provisions relating thereto into one Act: Be it therefore enacted by the King's Most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by
Recited Act repealed. the authority of the same, That from and after the passing of this Act the said recited Act shall be, and the same is, hereby repealed.

Declaration XI. And be it enacted, That whenever any person or

persons shall seek to obtain any patent under the great seal, for any discovery or invention, such person or persons shall, in lieu of any oath, affirmation, or affidavit which heretofore has or might be required to be taken or made upon or before obtaining any such patent, make and subscribe, in the presence of the person before whom he might, but for the passing of this Act, be required to take or make such oath, affirmation, or affidavit, a declaration to the same effect as such oath, affirmation, or affidavit; and such declaration, when duly made and subscribed, shall be to all intents and purposes as valid and effectual as the oath, affirmation, or affidavit in lieu whereof it shall have been so made and subscribed.

Declarations substituted for oaths and affidavits heretofore required on taking out a Patent.

XIX. And be it enacted, That whenever any declaration shall be made and subscribed by any person or persons under or in pursuance of the provisions of this Act, or any of them, all and every such fees or fee as would have been due and payable on the taking or making any legal oath, solemn affirmation, or affidavit, shall be in like manner due and payable upon making and subscribing such declaration.

Fees on oaths payable on declarations substituted in lieu thereof.

XX. And be it further enacted, That in all cases where a declaration in lieu of an oath shall have been substituted by this Act, or by virtue of any power or authority hereby given, or where a declaration is directed or authorized to be made and subscribed under the authority of this Act, or of any power hereby given, although the same be not substituted in lieu of an oath heretofore legally taken, such declaration, unless otherwise directed under the powers hereby given, shall be in the form prescribed in the schedule hereunto annexed.

Declarations to be in the form prescribed by Schedule.

XXI. And be it further enacted, That in any case where a declaration is substituted for an oath under the authority of this Act, or by virtue of any power or authority hereby given, or is directed and authorized to be made and sub-

Persons making false declaration deemed guilty of a misdemeanor.

scribed under the authority of this Act, or by virtue of any power hereby given, any person who shall wilfully and corruptly make and subscribe any such declaration, knowing the same to be untrue in any material particular, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor.

Act to take effect after 1st October, 1835.

XXII. And be it enacted, That this Act shall commence and take effect from and after the first day of *October*, in this present year, the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty-five.

SCHEDULE REFERRED TO BY THE FOREGOING ACT.

I, A. B. do solemnly and sincerely declare, That
and I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and by virtue of the provisions of an Act made and passed in the
year of the reign of his present Majesty, intituled, "*An Act*" [*here insert the title of this Act*].

---

5 & 6 WILL. IV. c. 83.

An Act to amend the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions.

Whereas it is expedient to make certain additions to, and alterations in the present law touching letters patent for inventions, as well for the better protecting of patentees in the rights intended to be secured by such letters patent, as for the more ample benefit of the public from the same: Be it enacted by the King's Most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled,

Any person having ob-

and by the authority of the same, That any person who, as grantee, assignee, or otherwise, hath obtained, or who shall

hereafter obtain letters patent, for the sole making, exercising, vending, or using of any invention, may, if he think fit, enter with the Clerk of the Patents of *England*, *Scotland*, or *Ireland*, respectively, as the case may be, having first obtained the leave of his Majesty's Attorney-general or Solicitor-general, in case of an *English* patent, of the Lord Advocate or Solicitor-general of *Scotland* in the case of a *Scotch* patent, or of his Majesty's Attorney-general or Solicitor-general for *Ireland* in the case of an *Irish* patent, certified by his fiat and signature, a disclaimer of any part of either the title of the invention or the specification, stating the reason for such disclaimer, or may, with such leave as aforesaid, enter a memorandum of any alteration in the said title or specification, not being such disclaimer or such alteration as shall extend the exclusive right granted by the said letters patent; and such disclaimer or memorandum of alteration, being filed by the said Clerk of the Patents, and enrolled with the specification, shall be deemed and taken to be part of such letters patent or such specification in all Courts whatever: Provided always, that any person may enter a Caveat, in like manner as Caveats are now used to be entered, against such disclaimer or alteration; which Caveat being so entered shall give the party entering the same a right to have notice of the application being heard by the Attorney-general or Solicitor-general, or Lord Advocate respectively: Provided also, that no such disclaimer or alteration shall be receivable in evidence in any action or suit (save and except in any proceeding by Scire facias) pending at the time when such disclaimer or alteration was enrolled, but in every such action or suit the original title and specification alone shall be given in evidence, and deemed and taken to be the title and specification of the invention for which the letters patent have been or shall have been granted: Provided also, that it shall be lawful for the Attorney-

tained Letters Patent for any invention may enter a disclaimer of any part of his specification, or a memorandum of any alteration therein, which, when filed, to be deemed part of such specification.

Caveat may be entered as heretofore.

Disclaimer not to affect actions pending at the time.

general may require the party to advertise his disclaimer.

Attorney-general or Solicitor-general or Lord Advocate, before granting such fiat, to require the party applying for the same to advertise his disclaimer or alteration in such manner as to such Attorney-general or Solicitor-general or Lord Advocate shall seem right, and shall, if he so require such advertisement, certify in his fiat that the same has been duly made.

Mode of proceeding where patentee is proved not to be the real *inventor*, though he believed himself to be so.

II. And be it enacted, That if in any suit or action it shall be proved or specially found by the verdict of a jury, that any person who shall have obtained letters patent for any *invention* or *supposed invention*, *was not the first inventor* thereof, or of some part thereof, by reason of some other person or persons having invented or used the same, or some part thereof, before the date of such letters patent, or if such patentee or his assigns shall discover that some other person had, unknown to such patentee, invented or used the same, or some part thereof, before the date of such letters patent, it shall and may be lawful for such patentee or his assigns to petition his Majesty in Council to confirm the said letters patent or to grant new letters patent, the matter of which petition shall be heard before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council; and such Committee, upon examining the said matter, and being satisfied that such patentee believed himself to be the first and original inventor, and being satisfied that such invention, or part thereof, had not been publicly and generally used before the date of such *first letters patent*, may report to his Majesty their opinion that the prayer of such petition ought to be complied with, whereupon his Majesty may, if he think fit, grant such prayer; and the said letters patent shall be available in law and equity to give to such petitioner the sole right of using, making, and vending such invention as against all persons whatsoever, any law, usage, or custom to the contrary thereof notwithstanding: Provided, that any person opposing such petition shall be entitled to be heard before the said Judicial

Committee: Provided also, that any person, party to any former suit or action touching such first letters patent, shall be entitled to have notice of such petition, before presenting the same.

If in any action or suit a verdict or decree shall pass for the patentee, the judge may grant a certificate, which being given in evidence in any other suit shall entitle the patentee, upon a verdict in his favour, to receive treble costs.

III. And be it enacted, That if any action at law, or any suit in equity for an account, shall be brought in respect of any alleged infringement of such letters patent heretofore or hereafter granted, or any Scire facias to repeal such letters patent, and if a verdict shall pass for the patentee or his assigns, or if a final decree or decretal order shall be made for him or them, upon the merits of the suit, it shall be lawful for the judge before whom such action shall be tried to certify on the record, or the judge who shall make such decree or order to give a certificate under his hand, that the validity of the patent came in question before him, which record or certificate being given in evidence in any other suit or action whatever touching such patent, if a verdict shall pass, or decree or decretal order be made, in favour of such patentee or his assigns, he or they shall receive treble costs in such suit or action, to be taxed at three times the taxed costs, unless the judge making such second or other decree or order, or trying such second or other action, shall certify that he ought not to have such treble costs.

Mode of proceeding in case of application for the prolongation of the term of a patent.

IV. And be it further enacted, That if any person who now hath or shall hereafter obtain any letters patent as aforesaid shall advertise in the "London Gazette," three times, and in three London papers, and three times in some country paper published in the town where or near to which he carried on any manufacture of anything made according to his specification, or near to or in which he resides in case he carried on no such manufacture, or published in the County where he carries on such manufacture or where he lives in case there shall not be any paper published in such town, that he intends to apply to his Majesty in Council for

a prolongation of his term of sole using and vending his invention, and shall petition his Majesty in Council to that effect, it shall be lawful for any person to enter a Caveat at the Council office; and if his Majesty shall refer the consideration of such petition to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, and notice shall first be by him given to any person or persons who shall have entered such Caveats, the petitioner shall be heard by his Counsel and witnesses to prove his case, and the persons entering Caveats shall likewise be heard by their Counsel and witnesses; whereupon, and upon hearing and inquiring of the whole matter, the Judicial Committee may report to his Majesty that a further extension of the term in the said letters patent should be granted, not exceeding seven years; and his Majesty is hereby authorized and empowered, if he shall think fit, to grant new letters patent for the said invention for a term not exceeding seven years after the expiration of the first term, any law, custom, or usage to the contrary in anywise notwithstanding: Provided that no such extension shall be granted if the application by petition shall not be made and prosecuted with effect before the expiration of the term originally granted in such letters patent.

In case of action, &c. Notice of objections to be given.

V. And be it enacted, That in any action brought against any person for infringing any letters patent the defendant on pleading thereto shall give to the plaintiff, and in any Scire facias to repeal such letters patent the plaintiff shall file with his declaration, a notice of any objections on which he means to rely at the trial of such action, and no objection shall be allowed to be made in behalf of such defendant or plaintiff respectively at such trial unless he prove the objections stated in such notice: Provided always, that it shall and may be lawful for any judge at chambers, on summons served by such defendant or plaintiff on such plaintiff or defendant respectively to show cause why he

should not be allowed to offer other objections whereof notice shall not have been given as aforesaid, to give leave to offer such objections, on such terms as to such Judge shall seem fit.

As to costs in Actions for infringing letters patent.

VI. And be it enacted, That in any action brought for infringing the right granted by any letters patent, in taxing the costs thereof regard shall be had to the part of such case which has been proved at the trial, which shall be certified by the Judge before whom the same shall be had, and the costs of each part of the case shall be given according as either party has succeeded or failed therein, regard being had to the notice of objections, as well as the counts in the declaration, and without regard to the general result of the trial.

Penalty for using, unauthorized, the name of a patentee, &c.

VII. And be it enacted, That if any person shall write, paint, or print, or mould, cast, or carve, or engrave or stamp, upon any thing made, used, or sold by him, for the sole making or selling of which he hath not or shall not have obtained letters patent, the name or any imitation of the name of any other person who hath or shall have obtained letters patent for the sole making and vending of such thing, without leave in writing of such patentee or his assigns, or if any person shall upon such thing, not having been purchased from the patentee or some person who purchased it from or under such patentee, or not having had the licence or consent in writing of such patentee or his assigns, write, paint, print, mould, cast, carve, engrave, stamp, or otherwise mark the word "Patent," the words "Letters Patent," or the words "By the King's Patent," or any words of the like kind, meaning, or import, with a view of imitating or counterfeiting the stamp, mark, or other device of the patentee, or shall in any other manner imitate or counterfeit the stamp or mark or other device of the patentee, he shall for every such offence be liable to a penalty of fifty

pounds, to be recovered by action of debt, bill, plaint, process, or information in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record at *Westminster* or in *Ireland*, or in the Court of Session in *Scotland*, one half to his Majesty, his heirs and successors, and the other to any person who shall sue for the same: Provided always, that nothing herein contained shall be construed to extend to subject any person to any penalty in respect of stamping or in any way marking the word "Patent" upon anything made, for the sole making or vending of which a patent before obtained shall have expired.

2 & 3 Victoria, c. 67.

An Act to amend an Act of the Fifth and Sixth Years of the Reign of King William the Fourth, intituled "An Act to amend the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions."

Whereas by an Act passed in the fifth and sixth years of the reign of his Majesty King William the Fourth, intituled "*An Act to amend the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions*," it is amongst other things enacted, that if any person having obtained any letters patent as therein mentioned shall give notice as thereby required of his intention to apply to his Majesty in Council for a prolongation of his term of sole using and vending his invention, and shall petition His Majesty in Council to that effect, it shall be lawful for any person to enter a Caveat at the Council office, and if his Majesty shall refer the consideration of such petition to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, and notice shall be first given to any person or persons who shall

5 & 6 W. 4. c. 83.

have entered such Caveats, the petitioner shall be heard by his Counsel and witnesses to prove his case, and the persons entering Caveats shall likewise be heard by their Counsel and witnesses, whereupon, and upon hearing and inquiry of the whole matter, the Judicial Committee may report to his Majesty that a further extension of the term in the said letters patent shall be granted, not exceeding seven years, and his Majesty is thereby authorized and empowered, if he shall think fit, to grant new letters patent for the said invention for a term not exceeding seven years after the expiration of the first term, any law, custom, or usage to the contrary notwithstanding; provided that no such extension shall be granted if the application by petition shall not be made and prosecuted with effect before the expiration of the term originally granted in such letters patent: And whereas it has happened since the passing of the said Act, and may again happen, that parties desirous of obtaining an extension of the term granted in letters patent of which they are possessed, and who may have presented a petition for such purposes in manner by the said recited Act directed, before the expiration of the said term, may nevertheless be prevented by causes over which they have no control from prosecuting with effect their application before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council; and it is expedient therefore that the said Judicial Committee should have power, when under the circumstances of the case they shall see fit, to entertain such application, and to report thereon, according to the provisions of the said recited Act, notwithstanding that before the hearing of the case before them the terms of the letters patent sought to be renewed or extended may have expired: Be it therefore enacted by the Queen's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the

Repealing provision requiring the application by petition to be prosecuted

with effect before the expiration of the term of the patent.

same, That so much of the said recited Act as provides that no extension of the term of letters patent shall be granted as therein mentioned if the application by petition for such extension be not prosecuted with effect before the expiration of the term originally granted in such letters patent, shall be and the same is hereby repealed.

Term of patent right may be extended in certain cases though the application for such extension be not prosecuted with effect before the expiration thereof.

II. And be it further enacted, That it shall be lawful for the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, in all cases where it shall appear to them that any application for an extension of the term granted by any letters patent, the petition for which extension shall have been referred to them for their consideration, has not been prosecuted with effect before the expiration of the said term from any other causes than the neglect or default of the petitioner, to entertain such application, and to report thereon as by the said recited Act provided, notwithstanding the term originally granted in such letters patent may have expired before the hearing of such application; and it shall be lawful for Her Majesty, if she shall think fit, on the report of the said Judicial Committee recommending an extension of the term of such letters patent, to grant such extension, or to grant new letters patent for the invention or inventions specified in such original letters patent, for a term not exceeding seven years after the expiration of the term mentioned in the said original letters patent: Provided always, that no such extension or new letters patent shall be granted if a petition for the same shall not have been presented as by the said recited Act directed before the expiration of the term sought to be extended, nor in case of petitions presented after the thirtieth day of *November*, one thousand eight hundred and thirty-nine, unless such petition shall be presented six calendar months at the least before the expiration of such term, nor in any case unless sufficient reason shall be shown to the satisfaction of the said Judicial Com-

mittee for the omission to prosecute with effect the said application by petition before the expiration of the said term.

---

3 & 4 Victoria, c. 24.

An Act to repeal Part of an Act of the Forty-third Year of the Reign of Queen Elizabeth, intituled "An Act to avoid trifling and frivolous Suits in Law in Her Majesty's Courts in Westminster," and of an Act of the Twenty-second and Twenty-third Year of the Reign of King Charles the Second, intituled "An Act for laying Impositions on Proceedings at Law;" and to make further Provisions in lieu thereof.

WHEREAS an Act passed in the forty-third year of the reign of Queen *Elizabeth*, intituled "*An Act to avoid trifling and frivolous Suits in Law in Her Majesty's Courts in Westminster*," and another Act in the Twenty-second and Twenty-third Years of the Reign of King *Charles* the Second, intituled "*An Act for laying Impositions on Proceedings at Law*," which recites that many good subjects of this realm have been and daily are undone by such suits, contrary to the intention of the said Statute of Queen *Elizabeth*; but the same evil, notwithstanding, doth still prevail and increase, and it is expedient to make further provisions for the prevention thereof: Now be it enacted by the Queen's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, that the said recited Act of the Forty-third of *Elizabeth*, so far as it relates to costs in actions of trespass, or trespass on the case, and so much of the Twenty-second

43 Eliz. c. 6.

22 & 23 Car. 2. c. 9.

Recited Acts in part repealed.

and Twenty-third of *Charles* the Second as relates to costs in personal actions, be and they are hereby repealed.

Costs not to be recovered in action of trespass, or of trespass on the case, where damages recovered are less than 40*s.*, unless upon Judge's certificate, &c.

II. And be it enacted, That if the plaintiff in any action of trespass, or of trespass on the case, brought or to be brought in any of Her Majesty's Courts at *Westminster*, or in the Court of Common Pleas at *Lancaster*, or in the Court of Common Pleas at *Durham*, shall recover by the verdict of a Jury less damages than forty shillings, such plaintiff shall not be entitled to recover or obtain from the defendant, in respect of such verdict, any costs whatever, whether it shall be given upon any issue or issues tried, or judgment shall have passed by default, unless the Judge or presiding officer before whom such verdict shall be obtained, shall immediately afterwards certify on the back of the record, or on the writ of trial or writ of inquiry, that the action was really brought to try a right besides the mere right to recover damages for the trespass or grievance for which the action shall have been brought, or that the trespass or grievance in respect of which the action was brought was wilful and malicious.

---

5 & 6 Victoria, c. 97.

An Act to amend the Law relating to Double Costs, Notices of Action, Limitations of Actions, and Pleas of the General Issue, under certain Acts of Parliament.

Whereas divers Acts of Parliament, public, local, and personal, contain enactments or provisions relating to the recovery of double, treble, or other costs in certain cases, and to the pleading of the general issue and the giving any special matter in evidence at any trial to be had for any

matter done in pursuance of or under the authority of the said Acts, and to the giving of notice of action before any action shall be commenced: And whereas it is expedient that the law should be altered in such respects: Be it therefore enacted by the Queen's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, That so much of any clause, enactment, or provision in any Act or Acts commonly called public local and personal, or local and personal, or in any Act or Acts of a local or personal nature, whereby it is enacted or provided that either double or treble costs, or any other than the usual costs between party and party, shall or may be recovered, shall be, and the same are hereby repealed: Provided always, that in lieu thereof the usual costs between party and party shall and may be recovered, and no more.

Repeal of provision in local and personal Acts giving double and treble costs.

II. And be it enacted, That so much of any clause, enactment, or provision in any public Act or Acts, not local or personal, whereby it is enacted or provided that either double or treble costs, or any other than the usual costs between party and party, shall or may be recovered, shall be, and the same are hereby repealed: Provided always, that instead of such costs, the party or parties heretofore entitled under such last-mentioned Acts to such double, treble, or other costs, shall receive such full and reasonable indemnity as to all costs, charges, and expences incurred in and about any action, suit, or other legal proceeding, as shall be taxed by the proper officer in that behalf, subject to be reviewed in like manner and by the same authority as any other taxation of costs by such officer.

Repealing provision in public Acts giving double and treble costs.

VI. Provided always, and be it enacted, That nothing herein contained shall extend or be construed to extend to any action, bill, plaint, or information, or any legal pro-

Act not to extend to actions, &c., brought before passing of this Act.

ceeding of any kind whatsoever, commenced before the passing of this Act, but such proceedings may be thereupon had and taken in all respects as if this Act had not passed.

---

7 & 8 Victoria, c. 69.

An Act for amending an Act passed in the Fourth Year of the Reign of His late Majesty, intituled, "An Act for the better Administration of Justice in His Majesty's Privy Council; and to extend its Jurisdiction and Powers."

3 & 4 W. IV. c. 41.

5 & 6 W. IV. c. 83.

Whereas the Act passed in the fourth year of the reign of His late Majesty, intituled, "*An Act for the better Administration of Justice in His Majesty's Privy Council,*" hath been found beneficial to the due administration of justice: and whereas another Act, passed in the sixth year of the said reign, intituled, "*An Act to amend the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions,*" hath been also found advantageous to inventors and to the public: And whereas the Judicial Committee, acting under the authority of the said Acts, hath been found to answer well the purposes for which it was so established by Parliament, but it is found necessary to improve its proceedings in some respects, for the better despatch of business, and expedient also to extend its jurisdiction and powers: And whereas by the laws now in force in certain of Her Majesty's Colonies and possessions abroad, no appeals can be brought to Her Majesty in Council for the reversal of the judgments, sentences, decrees, and orders of any Courts of Justice within such Colonies, save only of the Courts of Error or Courts of Appeal within the same, and it is expedient that Her

Majesty in Council should be authorized to provide for the admission of appeals from other Courts of Justice within such Colonies or possessions: Be it therefore enacted, &c. &c.

On Petition Her Majesty may grant an extension of patent term in certain cases.

II. And whereas it is expedient, for the further encouragement of inventions in the useful arts, to enable the time of monopoly in patents to be extended in cases in which it can be satisfactorily shown that the expense of the invention hath been greater than the time now limited by law will suffice to reimburse; be it enacted, That if any person, having obtained a patent for any invention, shall, before the expiration thereof, present a petition to Her Majesty in Council, setting forth that he has been unable to obtain a due remuneration for his expense and labour in perfecting such invention, and that an exclusive right of using and vending the same for the further period of seven years, in addition to the term in such patent mentioned, will not suffice for his reimbursement and remuneration, then, if the matter of such petition shall be by Her Majesty referred to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, the said Committee shall proceed to consider the same after the manner and in the usual course of its proceedings touching patents, and if the said Committee shall be of opinion, and shall so report to Her Majesty, that a further period, greater than seven years extension of the said patent term, ought to be granted to the petitioner, it shall be lawful for Her Majesty, if she shall so think fit, to grant an extension thereof for any time not exceeding fourteen years, in like manner, and subject to the same rules as the extension for a term not exceeding seven years is now granted under the powers of the said Act of the sixth year of the reign of His late Majesty.

Her Majesty may grant extension for a

III. Provided always, and be it enacted, That nothing herein contained shall prevent the said Judicial Committee

lesser term than that prayed.

from reporting that an extension for any period not exceeding seven years should be granted, or prevent Her Majesty from granting an extension for such lesser term than the Petition shall have prayed.

As to extension of term where patentees have assigned their patent rights.

IV. And whereas doubts have arisen touching the power given by the said recited Act of the sixth year of the reign of His late Majesty in cases where the patentees have wholly or in part assigned their right; be it enacted, That it shall be lawful for Her Majesty, on the Report of the Judicial Committee, to grant such extension as is authorized by the said Act and by this Act, either to an assignee or assignees, or to the original patentee or patentees, or to an assignee or assignees and original patentee or patentees conjointly.

Disclaimer and memorandum of alteration under 5 & 6 W. IV. c. 83 may be made notwithstanding original patentee may have assigned his patent right.

V. And be it enacted, That in case the original patentee or patentees hath or have departed with his or their whole or any part of his or their interest by assignment to any other person or persons, it shall be lawful for such patentee, together with such assignee or assignees, if part only hath been assigned, and for the assignee or assignees, if the whole hath been assigned, to enter a disclaimer and memorandum of alteration under the powers of the said recited Act; and such disclaimer and memorandum of such alteration, having been so entered and filed as in the said recited Act mentioned, shall be valid and effectual in favour of any person or persons in whom the rights under the said letters patent may then be or thereafter become legally vested; and no objection shall be made in any proceeding whatsoever on the ground that the party making such disclaimer or memorandum of such alteration had not sufficient authority in that behalf.

Disclaimer and memorandum of alteration already

VI. And be it enacted, That any disclaimer or memorandum of alteration before the passing of this Act, or by virtue of the said recited Act, by such patentee with such assignee

or by such assignee as aforesaid, shall be valid and effectual to bind any person or persons in whom the said letters patent might then be or have since become vested; and no objection shall be made in any proceeding whatsoever that the party making such disclaimer or memorandum of alteration had not authority in that behalf.

made to be deemed valid.

VII. And be it enacted, That any new letters patent which before the passing of this Act may have been granted, under the provisions of the above-recited Act of the sixth year of the reign of His late Majesty, to an assignee or assignees, shall be as valid and effectual as if the said letters patent had been made after the passing of this Act, and the title of any party to such new letters patent shall not be invalidated by reason of the same having been granted to an assignee or assignees: Provided always, that nothing herein contained shall give any validity or effect to any letters patent heretofore granted to any assignee or assignees where any action or proceeding in *Scire facias* or suit in equity shall have been commenced at any time before the passing of this Act, wherein the validity of such letters patent shall have been or may be questioned.

New letters patent granted under 5 & 6 W. IV. to assignees before passing of this Act declared valid.

Proviso.

---

14 & 15 Victoria, c. 82.

An Act to simplify the Forms of Appointments to certain Offices, and the Manner of passing Grants under the Great Seal.

Whereas by an Act of the twenty-seventh year of King *Henry* the Eighth, chapter eleven, provision is made that all writings to be passed under the Great Seals therein mentioned should be passed through the offices of the Signet and Privy Seal respectively, by such warrants as therein described: And whereas it is expedient to simplify

27 H. 8. c. 11.

the manner of appointment to offices held at the pleasure of the Crown, and the mode of granting such charters and letters patent, as hereinafter mentioned: Be it enacted, therefore, by the Queen's Most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

So much of 27 H. 8. c. 11. as relates to warrants for passing grants, &c. under the Great Seal repealed.

I. So much of the said Act of the twenty-seventh year of King *Henry* the Eighth as relates to the warrants and other writings for or preparatory to the passing of any gift, grant, or other writing under the Great Seal of *England* therein mentioned, shall, after the commencement of this Act, be repealed.

Authority for passing grants under the Great Seal.

II. In every case where, under the said Act of the twenty-seventh year of King *Henry* the Eighth, or according to the law or usage subsisting before the passing of this Act, any gift, grant, or writing whatsoever to be passed under the Great Seal of the United Kingdom would have required a Queen's Bill, or Bills from the offices of the Signet and the Privy Seal respectively, it shall be lawful for Her Majesty after the commencement of this Act by warrant under Her Royal Sign Manual, addressed to the Lord High Chancellor, or Lord Keeper, or Lord Commissioners of the Great Seal of the United Kingdom, to command such Lord Chancellor, Lord Keeper, or Lords Commissioners, (as the case may be,) to cause letters patent to be passed under the Great Seal of the United Kingdom according to such warrant; and every such warrant shall be prepared by Her Majesty's Attorney and Solicitor General for the time being, or one of them, and shall set forth the tenor and effect of the letters patent thereby authorized to be granted, and shall be countersigned by one of Her Majesty's principal Secretaries of State, and shall be sealed with the Privy Seal, for which sealing such Royal

Sign Manual, so countersigned as aforesaid, shall be sufficient warrant to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal; and such warrant under the Royal Sign Manual, so countersigned and sealed as aforesaid, shall be a sufficient authority to the said Lord High Chancellor, or Lord Keeper, or Lords Commissioners of the Great Seal, for passing letters patent under such Great Seal, according to the tenor of the same warrant, any law or usage to the contrary in anywise notwithstanding; and no Queen's Bill, Signet Bill, Privy Seal Bill, or other warrant or authority whatsoever, save as herein provided, shall be necessary for or preparatory to the passing of such letters patent.

Signet and Privy Seal bills, &c. dispensed with.

III. From and after the commencement of this Act the several offices of clerks of the Signet and clerks of the Privy Seal shall be abolished.

Offices of clerks of Signet and Privy Seal abolished.

IV. It shall be lawful for the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury to grant to the persons holding offices hereby abolished, and to all persons who may sustain any loss of fees or emolument by reason of the passing of this Act, such compensation as, having regard to the tenure and nature of their respective offices, such Commissioners deem just and proper to be awarded.

Compensation to persons holding abolished offices, or sustaining loss of emolument.

V. All powers and duties whatever now exercised or performed by the clerks of Her Majesty's Signet or otherwise in the office of Her Majesty's Signet, not superseded or otherwise provided for by this Act, shall after the commencement of this Act be exercised and performed, in the office and under the direction of Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for the Home Department, by such persons as such Secretary of State shall from time to time appoint.

Duties of Signet Office (not superseded by this Act) to be performed in office of Secretary of State.

VI. It shall be lawful for the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury, from time to time after the passing of this Act, to determine and regulate the establishment

Power to Treasury to regulate the Privy Seal office, and fix salaries.

to be maintained after the commencement of this Act for executing the duties of the Privy Seal office, and to fix the salaries to be paid to the several officers of such establishment.

Lord Chancellor and Secretary of State may make rules concerning the passing of letters patent.

VII. It shall be lawful for the Lord High Chancellor, or Lord Keeper, or Lords Commissioners of the Great Seal of the United Kingdom, from time to time after the passing of this Act, to frame and establish such further rules and regulations to be observed in the passing of letters patent under the Great Seal of the United Kingdom as shall seem to them expedient.

Act not to affect letters patent, &c. not passed through Signet and Privy Seal offices;

VIII. Provided also, That nothing in this Act contained shall extend to or affect any letters patent, writ, commission, or other writing which may now be passed under the Great Seal by the fiat or under the authority or directions of the Lord High Chancellor, or Lord Keeper, or Lords Commissioners of the Great Seal of the United Kingdom, or otherwise, without passing through the offices of the Signet and the Privy Seal.

nor rights, &c. of Lord Chancellor.

IX. Nor shall the duties, rights, patronage, privileges of nomination, or other privileges belonging to or exercised by the Lord Chancellor, Lord Keeper, and Lords Commissioners of the Great Seal, in the name or on the behalf of Her Majesty, or otherwise, be by this Act in any way or respect prejudiced, affected or varied, except so far as is herein specifically enacted.

Commencement of Act.

X. This Act shall, save where herein otherwise provided, commence from and after the Thirty-first day of *December*, One thousand eight hundred and fifty-one.

---

15 & 16 Victoria, c. 83.

An Act for amending the Law for granting Patents for Inventions.

Whereas it is expedient to amend the law concerning

letters patent for inventions: Be it enacted by the Queen's Most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords spiritual and temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

Certain persons constituted Commissioners of patents for inventions, three of whom may act, the Chancellor or Master of the Rolls being one.

I. The Lord Chancellor, the Master of the Rolls, Her Majesty's Attorney-General for *England*, Her Majesty's Solicitor-General for *England*, the Lord Advocate, Her Majesty's Solicitor-General for *Scotland*, Her Majesty's Attorney-General for *Ireland*, and Her Majesty's Solicitor-General for *Ireland*, for the time being respectively, together with such other person or persons as may be from time to time appointed by Her Majesty, as hereinafter mentioned, shall be Commissioners of patents for inventions; and it shall be lawful for Her Majesty from time to time, by warrant under Her Royal Sign Manual, to appoint such other person or persons as she may think fit to be a Commissioner or Commissioners as aforesaid; and every person so appointed shall continue such Commissioner during Her Majesty's pleasure; and all the powers hereby vested in the Commissioners may be exercised by any three or more of them, the Lord Chancellor or Master of the Rolls being one.

Seal of the Commissioners.

II. It shall be lawful for the Commissioners to cause a seal to be made for the purposes of this Act, and from time to time to vary such seal, and to cause to be sealed therewith all the warrants for letters patent under this Act, and all instruments and copies proceeding from the office of the Commissioners, and all courts, judges, and other persons whomsoever shall take notice of such seal, and receive impressions thereof in evidence, in like manner as impressions of the Great Seal are received in evidence, and shall also take notice of and receive in evidence, without further proof or production of the originals, all copies or extracts,

certified under the seal of the said office, of or from documents deposited in such office.

Power to Commissioners to make rules and regulations, which shall be laid before Parliament.

III. It shall be lawful for the Commissioners from time to time to make such rules and regulations (not inconsistent with the provisions of this Act) respecting the business of their office, and all matters and things which under the provisions herein contained are to be under their control and direction, as may appear to them necessary and expedient for the purposes of this Act; and all such rules shall be laid before both Houses of Parliament within fourteen days after the making thereof, if Parliament be sitting, and if Parliament be not sitting, then within fourteen days after the next meeting of Parliament; and the Commissioners shall cause a report to be laid annually before Parliament of all the proceedings under and in pursuance of this Act.

Commissioners to report annually to Parliament.

Treasury to provide offices.

IV. It shall be lawful for the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury to provide and appoint from time to time proper places or buildings for an office or offices for the purposes of this Act.

Commissioners, with consent of the Treasury, to appoint clerks, &c.

V. It shall be lawful for the Commissioners, with the consent of the Commissioners of the Treasury, from time to time to appoint for the purposes of this Act such clerks and officers as the Commissioners may think proper; and it shall be lawful for the Commissioners from time to time to remove any of the clerks and officers so appointed.

Petition and declaration to be accompanied with a provisional specification.

VI. Every petition for the grant of letters patent for an invention, and the declaration required to accompany such petition, shall be left at the office of the Commissioners, and there shall be left therewith a statement in writing, hereinafter called the provisional specification, signed by or on behalf of the applicant for letters patent, describing the nature of the said invention; and the day of the delivery of every such petition, declaration, and provisional specification shall be recorded at the said office, and endorsed on

such petition, declaration, and provisional specification, and a certificate thereof given to such applicant or his agent; and all such petitions, declarations, and provisional specifications shall be preserved in such manner as the Commissioners may direct, and a registry thereof and of all proceedings thereon kept at the office of the Commissioners.

Every application to be referred to one of the law officers.

VII. Every application for letters patent made under this Act shall be referred by the Commissioners, according to such regulations as they may think fit to make, to one of the law officers.

The provisional specification to be referred to the law officer, who, if satisfied, may give a certificate of his allowance, which shall be filed.

VIII. The provisional specification shall be referred to the law officer, who shall be at liberty to call to his aid such scientific or other person as he may think fit, and to cause to be paid to such person by the applicant such remuneration as the law officer shall appoint; and if such law officer be satisfied that the provisional specification describes the nature of the invention, he shall allow the same, and give a certificate of his allowance, and such certificate shall be filed in the office of the Commissioners, and thereupon the invention therein referred to may, during the term of six months from the date of the application for letters patent for the said invention, be used and published without prejudice to any letters patent to be granted for the same, and such protection from the consequences of use and publication is hereinafter referred to as provisional protection: Provided always, that in case the title of the invention or the provisional specification be too large or insufficient, it shall be lawful for the law officer to whom the same is referred to allow or require the same to be amended.

Inventor may deposit, in lieu of a provisional specification, a complete specification, such deposit to confer for a limited time

IX. The applicant for letters patent for an invention, instead of leaving with the petition and declaration a provisional specification as aforesaid, may, if he think fit, file with the said petition and declaration an instrument in writing under his hand and seal (hereinafter called a complete specification), particularly describing and ascertaining

the like rights as letters patent.

the nature of the said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, which complete specification shall be mentioned in such declaration, and the day of the delivery of every such petition, declaration, and complete specification shall be recorded at the office of the Commissioners, and endorsed on such petition, declaration, and specification, and a certificate thereof given to such applicant or his agent, and thereupon, subject and without prejudice to the provisions hereinafter contained, the invention shall be protected under this Act for the term of six months from the date of the application, and the applicant shall have during such term of six months the like powers, rights, and privileges as might have been conferred upon him by letters patent for such invention, issued under this Act, and duly sealed as of the day of the date of such application; and during the continuance of such powers, rights, and privileges under this provision, such invention may be used and published without prejudice to any letters patent to be granted for the same; and where letters patent are granted in respect of such invention, then in lieu of a condition for making void such letters patent in case such invention be not described and ascertained by a subsequent specification, such letters patent shall be conditioned to become void if such complete specification, filed as aforesaid, does not particularly describe and ascertain the nature of the said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed; and a copy of every such complete specification shall be open to the inspection of the public, as hereinafter provided, from the time of depositing the same, subject to such regulation as the Commissioners may make.

Letters patent granted to the first inventor not to be invalidated by protection obtained in

X. In case of any application for letters patent for any invention, and the obtaining upon such application of provisional protection for such invention, or of protection for the same, by reason of the deposit of a complete specification as aforesaid in fraud of the true and first inventor,

any letters patent granted to the true and first inventor of such invention shall not be invalidated by reason of such application, or of such provisional or other protection as aforesaid, or of any use or publication of the invention subsequent to such application, and before the expiration of the term of such provisional or other protection.

fraud of the first inventor.

XI. Where any invention is provisionally protected under this Act, or protected by reason of the deposit of such complete specification as aforesaid, the Commissioners shall cause such provisional protection or such other protection as aforesaid to be advertised in such manner as they may see fit.

Commissioners to cause protections to be advertised.

XII. The applicant for letters patent, so soon as he may think fit after the invention shall have been provisionally protected under this Act, or where a complete specification has been deposited with his petition and declaration, then so soon as he may think fit after such deposit, may give notice at the office of the Commissioners of his intention of proceeding with his application for letters patent for the said invention, and thereupon the said Commissioners shall cause his said application to be advertised in such manner as they may see fit; and any persons having an interest in opposing the grant of letters patent for the said invention shall be at liberty to leave particulars in writing of their objections to the said application at such place and within such time and subject to such regulations as the Commissioners may direct.

Application for letters patent to be advertised, and also oppositions to the same.

XIII. So soon as the time for the delivery of such objections shall have expired, the provisional specification or complete specification (as the case may be) and particulars of objection (if any) shall be referred to the law officer to whom the application has been referred.

Specification and objections to be referred to law officer.

XIV. It shall be lawful for the law officer to whom any application for such letters patent is referred, if he see

Power to law officer to order

by or to whom costs shall be paid.

fit, by certificate under his hand, to order by or to whom the costs of any hearing or inquiry upon any objection, or otherwise in relation to the grant of such letters patent, or in relation to the provisional (or other) protection acquired by the applicant under this Act, shall be paid, and in what manner and by whom such costs are to be ascertained; and if any costs so ordered to be paid be not paid within four days after the amount thereof shall be so ascertained, it shall be lawful for such law officer to make an order for the payment of the same, and every such order may be made a rule of one of Her Majesty's Superior Courts at *Westminster* or *Dublin*, and may be recorded in the books of Council and Session in *Scotland* to the effect that execution may pass thereupon in common form.

Power to law officer to cause a warrant to be made for sealing of letters patent.

XV. It shall be lawful for such law officer, after such hearing, if any, as he may think fit, to cause a warrant to be made for the sealing of letters patent for the said invention, and such warrant shall be sealed with the seal of the Commissioners, and shall set forth the tenor and effect of the letters patent thereby authorized to be granted, and such law officer shall direct the insertion in such letters patent of all such restrictions, conditions, and provisoes as he may deem usual and expedient in such grants, or necessary in pursuance of the provisions of this Act; and the said warrant shall be the warrant for the making and sealing of letters patent under this Act according to the tenor of the said warrant: Provided always, that the Lord Chancellor shall and may have and exercise such powers, authority and discretion in respect to the said warrant, and the letters patent therein directed to be made under this Act, as he now has and might now exercise with respect to the warrant for the issue under the Great Seal of letters patent for any invention, and with respect to the making and issuing of such letters patent; and the writ of *Scire facias*

Chancellor to have same powers in respect to warrant, &c. as he now has.

Writ of *Scire facias*.

shall lie for the repeal of any letters patent issued under this Act, in the like cases as the same would lie for the repeal of letters patent which may now be issued under the Great Seal.

Nothing to affect the prerogative of the Crown in granting or withholding grant of letters patent.

XVI. Provided also, That nothing herein contained shall extend to abridge or affect the prerogative of the Crown in relation to the granting or withholding the grant of any letters patent; and it shall be lawful for Her Majesty, by warrant under her Royal Sign Manual, to direct such law officer to withhold such warrant as aforesaid, or that any letters patent for the issuing whereof he may have issued a warrant as aforesaid shall not issue, or to direct the insertion in any letters patent to be issued in manner herein provided of any restrictions, conditions, or provisoes which Her Majesty may think fit in addition to or in substitution for any restrictions, conditions, or provisoes which would otherwise be inserted therein under this Act; and it shall also be lawful for Her Majesty, by like warrant, to direct any complete specification which may have been filed under the provision hereinbefore contained, and in respect of the invention described in which no letters patent may have been granted, to be cancelled, and thereupon the protection obtained by the filing of such complete specification shall cease.

Letters patent to be made subject to avoidance on nonfulfilment of certain conditions.

XVII. All letters patent for inventions granted under the provisions hereinbefore contained shall be made subject to the condition that the same shall be void, and that the powers and privileges thereby granted shall cease and determine, at the expiration of three years and seven years respectively from the date thereof, unless there be paid, before the expiration of the said three and seven years respectively, the sum or sums of money and stamp duties in the schedule to this Act annexed; and the payment of the said sums of money and stamp duties respectively

shall be endorsed on the warrant for the said letters patent; and such officer of the Commissioners as may be appointed for this purpose shall issue under the seal of the Commissioners a certificate of such payment, and shall endorse a receipt for the same on any letters patent issued under the authority of the said warrant; and such certificate, duly stamped, shall be evidence of the payment of the several sums respectively.

Letters patent issued under the Great Seal to be valid for the whole of the United Kingdom, the Channel Islands, and the Isle of Man.

XVIII. The Commissioners, so soon after the sealing of the said warrant as required by the applicant for the letters patent, shall cause to be prepared letters patent for the invention, according to the tenor of the said warrant, and it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor to cause such letters patent to be sealed with the Great Seal of the United Kingdom, and such letters patent so sealed shall extend to the whole of the United Kingdom of *Great Britain* and *Ireland*, the Channel Islands, and the *Isle of Man*; and in case such warrant so direct, such letters patent shall be made applicable to Her Majesty's colonies and plantations abroad, or such of them as may be mentioned in such warrant; and such letters patent shall be valid and effectual as to the whole of such United Kingdom, and the said islands and isle, and the said colonies or plantations, or such of them as aforesaid, and shall confer the like powers, rights, and privileges as might, in case this Act had not been passed, have been conferred by several letters patent of the like purport and effect passed under the Great Seal of the United Kingdom, under the Seal appointed to be used instead of the Great Seal of *Scotland*, and under the Great Seal of *Ireland* respectively, and made applicable to *England*, the dominion of *Wales*, the town of *Berwick-upon-Tweed*, the Channel Islands, and *Isle of Man*, and the said colonies and plantations, or such of them as aforesaid, to *Scotland*, and to *Ireland* respectively, save as herein otherwise provided: Provided always, that nothing in this

Nothing to

Act contained shall be deemed or taken to give any effect or operation to any letters patent to be granted under the authority of this Act in any colony in which such or the like letters patent would be invalid by the law in force in the same colony for the time being: Provided always, that a transcript of such letters shall, so soon after the sealing of the same and in such manner as the Commissioners shall direct, be transmitted to the Director of Chancery in *Scotland*, and be recorded in the Records of Chancery in *Scotland*, upon payment of such fees as the Commissioners shall appoint, in the same manner and to the same effect in all respects as letters patent passing under the Seal appointed by the treaty of union to be used in place of the Great Seal of *Scotland* have heretofore been recorded, and extracts from the said records shall be furnished to all parties requiring the same, on payment of such fees as the Commissioners shall direct, and shall be received in evidence in all Courts in *Scotland* to the like effect as the letters patent themselves.

give effect to any letters patent granted in any colony.

XIX. Provided always, That no letters patent, save as hereinafter mentioned in the case of letters patent destroyed or lost, shall issue on any warrant granted as aforesaid, unless application be made to seal such letters patent within three months after the date of the said warrant.

No letters patent to be issued after three months from date of warrant.

XX. Provided also, That no letters patent (save letters patent issued in lieu of others destroyed or lost) shall be issued or be of any force or effect unless the same be granted during the continuance of the provisional protection under this Act, or, where a complete specification has been deposited under this Act, then unless such letters patent be granted during the continuance of the protection conferred under this Act by reason of such deposit, save that where the application to seal such letters patent has been made during the continuance of such provisional or

No letters patent (except in lieu of those lost, &c.) to be issued after expiration of protection given by this Act.

other protection as aforesaid, and the sealing of such letters patent has been delayed by reason of a caveat or an application to the Lord Chancellor against or in relation to the sealing of such letters patent, then such letters patent may be sealed at such time as the Lord Chancellor shall direct.

Letters patent may be granted to personal representatives of the applicant during the term of protection or within three months after applicant's decease.

XXI. Provided also, That where the applicant for such letters patent dies during the continuance of the provisional protection, or the protection by reason of the deposit of a complete specification, (as the case may be,) such letters patent may be granted to the executors or administrators of such applicant during the continuance of such provisional or other protection, or at any time within three months after the death of such applicant, notwithstanding the expiration of the term of such provisional or other protection, and the letters patent so granted shall be of the like force and effect as if they had been granted to such applicant during the continuance of such provisional or other protection.

If letters patent be destroyed or lost, other letters patent may be issued.

XXII. Provided also, That in case any such letters patent shall be destroyed or lost, other letters patent of the like tenor and effect, and sealed and dated as of the same day, may, subject to such regulations as the Commissioners may direct, be issued under the authority of the warrant in pursuance of which the original letters patent were issued.

Letters patent may be dated as of the day of the application.

XXIII. It shall be lawful (the Act of the eighteenth year of King *Henry* the Sixth, chapter one, or any other Act, to the contrary notwithstanding,) to cause any letters patent to be issued in pursuance of this Act to be sealed and bear date as of the day of the application for the same, and in case of such letters patent for any invention provisionally registered under the "Protection of Inventions Act, 1851," as of the day of such provisional registration, or where the law officer to whom the application was referred, or the Lord Chancellor, thinks fit and directs, any such letters patent as aforesaid

may be sealed and bear date as of the day of the sealing of such letters patent, or of any other day between the day of such application or provisional registration and the day of such sealing.

Letters patent where antedated to be of the same validity as if sealed on the day of the date.

XXIV. Any letters patent issued under this Act sealed and bearing date as of any day prior to the day of the actual sealing thereof shall be of the same force and validity as if they had been sealed on the day as of which the same are expressed to be sealed and bear date: Provided always, that save where such letters patent are granted for any invention, in respect whereof a complete specification has been deposited upon the application for the same under this Act, no proceeding at law or in equity shall be had upon such letters patent in respect of any infringement committed before the same were actually granted.

Letters patent obtained in United Kingdom for patented foreign inventions not to continue in force after the expiration of the foreign patent.

XXV. Where, upon any application made after the passing of this Act, letters patent are granted in the United Kingdom for or in respect of any invention first invented in any foreign country or by the subject of any foreign Power or State, and a patent or like privilege for the monopoly or exclusive use or exercise of such invention in any foreign country is there obtained before the grant of such letters patent in the United Kingdom, all rights and privileges under such letters patent shall (notwithstanding any term in such letters patent limited) cease and be void immediately upon the expiration or other determination of the term during which the patent or like privilege obtained in such foreign country shall continue in force, or where more than one such patent or like privilege is obtained abroad, immediately upon the expiration or determination of the term which shall first expire or be determined of such several patents or like privileges: Provided always, that no letters patent for or in respect of any invention for which any such patent or like privilege as aforesaid shall have been obtained

in any foreign country, and which shall be granted in the said United Kingdom after the expiration of the term for which such patent or privilege was granted or was in force, shall be of any validity.

Letters patent not to prevent the use of inventions in foreign ships resorting to British ports;

XXVI. No letters patent for any invention (granted after the passing of this Act) shall extend to prevent the use of such invention in any foreign ship or vessel, or for the navigation of any foreign ship or vessel, which may be in any port of Her Majesty's dominions, or in any of the waters within the jurisdiction of any of Her Majesty's Courts, where such invention is not so used for the manufacture of any goods or commodities to be vended within or exported from Her Majesty's dominions: Provided always, that this enactment shall not extend to the ships or vessels of any foreign State of which the laws authorize subjects of such foreign State, having patents or like privileges for the exclusive use or exercise of inventions within its territories, to prevent or interfere with the use of such inventions in *British* ships or vessels, or in or about the navigation of *British* ships or vessels, while in the ports of such foreign State, or in the waters within the jurisdiction of its Courts, where such inventions are not so used for the manufacture of goods or commodities to be vended within or exported from the territories of such foreign State.

except ships of foreign States in whose ports British ships are prevented from using foreign inventions.

Specifications to be filed instead of being enrolled.

XXVII. All letters patent to be granted under this Act (save only letters patent granted after the filing of a complete specification) shall require the specification thereunder to be filed in the High Court of Chancery, instead of requiring the same to be enrolled, and no enrolment shall be requisite.

Specifications, &c. to be filed in such office as Lord Chancellor shall direct.

XXVIII. Every specification to be filed in pursuance of the condition of any letters patent shall be filed in such office of the Court of Chancery as the Lord Chancellor shall from time to time appoint, and every provisional

specification and complete specification left or filed at the office of the Commissioners on the application for any letters patent, shall forthwith after the grant of the letters patent, or if no letters patent be granted then immediately on the expiration of six months from the time of such application, be transferred to and kept in the said office appointed for filing specifications in Chancery; and in case reference is made to drawings in any specification deposited or filed under this Act, an extra copy of such drawings shall be left with such specification.

As to filing extra copies of drawings.

XXIX. The Commissioners shall cause true copies of all specifications (other than provisional specifications), disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations filed under or in pursuance of this Act, and of all provisional specifications after the term of the provisional protection of the invention has expired, to be open to the inspection of the public at the office of the Commissioners, and at an office in *Edinburgh* and *Dublin* respectively, at all reasonable times, subject to such regulations as the Commissioners may direct; and the Commissioners shall cause a transcript of the said letters patent to be transmitted for enrolment in the Court of Chancery, *Dublin*, and shall cause the same to be enrolled therein, and the transcript or exemplification thenceforward shall have the like effect to all intents and purposes as if the original letters patent had been enrolled in the Court of Chancery in *Dublin*, and all parties shall have all their remedies by *Scire facias* or otherwise, as if the letters patent had been granted to extend to *Ireland* only.

Copies of Specifications to be open to inspection at office of Commissioners, and at Edinburgh and Dublin.

XXX. The Commissioners shall cause to be printed, published, and sold, at such prices and in such manner as they may think fit, all specifications, disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations deposited or filed under this Act, and such specifications (not being provisional specifications), dis-

Specifications and other documents to be printed and published.

claimers, and memoranda respectively shall be so printed and published as soon as conveniently may be after the filing thereof respectively, and all such provisional specifications shall be so printed and published as soon as conveniently may be after the expiration of the provisional protection obtained in respect thereof; and it shall be lawful for the Commissioners to present copies of all such publications to such public libraries and museums as they may think fit, and to allow the person depositing or filing any such specification, disclaimer, or memorandum of alteration to have such number, not exceeding twenty-five, of the copies thereof so printed and published, without any payment for the same, as they may think fit.

As to presenting copies of publications to public libraries, &c.

Enrolments, &c., may be removed to the office for specifications.

XXXI. It shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor and the Master of the Rolls to direct the enrolment of specifications, disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations heretofore or hereafter enrolled or deposited at the Rolls Chapel Office, or at the Petty Bag Office, or at the Enrolment Office of the Court of Chancery, or in the custody of the Master of the Rolls as Keeper of the Public Records, to be transferred to and kept in the office appointed for filing specifications in Chancery under this Act.

Commissioners to cause indexes to be made to old specifications, &c.; such specifications, &c. may be printed and published.

XXXII. The Commissioners shall cause indexes to all specifications, disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations heretofore or to be hereafter enrolled or deposited as last aforesaid to be prepared in such form as they may think fit, and such indexes shall be open to the inspection of the public at such place or places as the Commissioners shall appoint, and subject to the regulations to be made by the Commissioners, and the Commissioners may cause all or any of such indexes, specifications, disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations to be printed, published, and sold in such manner and at such prices as the Commissioners may think fit.

XXXIII. Copies, printed by the printers to the Queen's Majesty, of specifications, disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations shall be admissible in evidence, and deemed and taken to be *primâ facie* evidence of the existence and contents of the documents to which they purport to relate in all Courts and in all proceedings relating to letters patent.

Copies of specifications, &c. as printed by Queen's Printers to be evidence.

XXXIV. There shall be kept at the office appointed for filing specifications in Chancery under this Act a book or books, to be called "The Register of Patents," wherein shall be entered and recorded in chronological order all letters patent granted under this Act, the deposit or filing of specifications, disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations filed in respect of such letters patent, all amendments in such letters patent and specifications, all confirmations and extensions of such letters patent, the expiry, vacating, or cancelling such letters patent, with the dates thereof respectively, and all other matters and things affecting the validity of such letters patent as the Commissioners may direct, and such register, or a copy thereof, shall be open at all convenient times to the inspection of the public, subject to such regulations as the Commissioners may make.

Register of Patents to be kept.

XXXV. There shall be kept at the office appointed for filing specifications in Chancery under this Act a book or books entitled "The Register of Proprietors," wherein shall be entered, in such manner as the Commissioners shall direct, the assignment of any letters patent, or of any share or interest therein, any licence under letters patent, and the district to which such licence relates, with the name or names of any person having any share or interest in such letters patent or licence, the date of his or their acquiring such letters patent, share, and interest, and any other matter or thing relating to or affecting the proprietorship in such letters patent or licence; and a copy of any entry in such book, certified under such seal as may have

A Register of Proprietors to be kept at the office for filing specifications.

been appointed or as may be directed by the Lord Chancellor to be used in the said office, shall be given to any person requiring the same, on payment of the fees hereinafter provided; and such copies so certified shall be received in evidence in all Courts and in all proceedings, and shall be *primâ facie* proof of the assignment of such letters patent, or share or interest therein, or of the licence or proprietorship, as therein expressed: Provided always, that until such entry shall have been made the grantee or grantees of the letters patent shall be deemed and taken to be the sole and exclusive proprietor or proprietors of such letters patent, and of all the licences and privileges thereby given and granted; that certified duplicates of all entries made in the said Register of Proprietors shall forthwith be transmitted to the office of the Commissioners in *Edinburgh* and *Dublin*, where the same shall also be open to the inspection of the public; and any writ of *Scire facias* to repeal such letters patent may be issued to the Sheriff of the county or counties in which the grantee or grantees resided at the time when the said letters patent were granted; and in case such grantee or grantees do not reside in the United Kingdom it shall be sufficient to file such writ in the Petty Bag Office, and serve notice thereof in writing at the last known residence or place of business of such grantee or grantees; and such register or a copy shall be open to the inspection of the public at the office of the Commissioners, subject to such regulations as the Commissioners may make: Provided always, that in any proceeding in *Scotland* to repeal any letters patent service of all writs and summonses shall be made according to the existing forms and practice; provided also, that the grantee or grantees of letters patent to be hereafter granted may assign the letters patent for *England*, *Scotland*, or *Ireland* respectively, as effectually as if the letters patent had been originally granted to extend to

England or *Scotland* or *Ireland* only, and the assignee or assignees shall have the same rights of action and remedies, and shall be subject to the like actions and suits as he or they should and would have had and been subject to upon the assignment of letters patent granted to *England*, *Ireland*, or *Scotland* before the passing of this Act.

Power for more than twelve persons to have a legal interest in letters patent.

XXXVI. Notwithstanding any proviso that may exist in former letters patent, it shall be lawful for a larger number than twelve persons hereafter to have a legal and beneficial interest in such letters patent.

Falsification or forgery of entries a misdemeanor.

XXXVII. If any person shall wilfully make or cause to be made any false entry in the said Register of Proprietors, or shall wilfully make or forge, or cause to be made or forged, any writing falsely purporting to be a copy of any entry in the said book, or shall produce or tender, or cause to be produced or tendered, in evidence any such writing, knowing the same to be false or forged, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be punished by fine and imprisonment accordingly.

Entries may be expunged.

XXXVIII. If any person shall deem himself aggrieved by any entry made under colour of this Act in the said Register of Proprietors, it shall be lawful for such person to apply, by motion, to the Master of the Rolls, or to any of the Courts of Common Law at *Westminster* in term time, or by summons to a Judge of any of the said Courts in vacation, for an order that such entry may be expunged, vacated, or varied; and upon any such application the Master of the Rolls, or such Court or Judge respectively, may make such order for expunging, vacating, or varying such entry, and as to the costs of such application, as to the said Master of the Rolls or to such Court or Judge may seem fit; and the officer having the care and custody of such register, on the production to him of any such order for expunging, vacating, or varying any such entry, shall expunge, vacate,

or vary the same, according to the requisitions of such order.

Provisions of 5 & 6 W. 4. c. 83. and of 7 & 8 Vict. c. 69. as to disclaimers and memoranda of alterations to apply to patents under this Act.

XXXIX. All the provisions of the Acts of the Session holden in the fifth and sixth years of King William the Fourth, chapter eighty-three, and of the Session holden in the seventh and eighth years of Her Majesty, chapter sixty-nine, respectively, relating to disclaimers and memoranda of alterations in letters patent and specifications, except as hereinafter provided, shall be applicable and apply to any letters patent granted, and to any specification filed under the provisions of this Act: Provided always, that all applications for leave to enter a disclaimer or memorandum of alteration shall be made, and all caveats relating thereto shall be lodged at the office of the Commissioners, and shall be referred to the respective law officers in the said first-recited Act mentioned: Provided also, that every such disclaimer or memorandum of alteration shall be filed in the office appointed for filing specifications in Chancery under this Act, with the specification to which the same relates, in lieu of being entered or filed and enrolled as required by the said first-recited Act, or by the Act of the Session holden in the twelfth and thirteenth years of Her Majesty, chapter one hundred and nine, and the said Acts shall be construed accordingly: Provided also, that such filing of any disclaimer or memorandum of alteration, in pursuance of the leave of the law officer in the first-recited Act mentioned, certified as therein mentioned, shall, except in cases of fraud, be conclusive as to the right of the party to enter such disclaimer or memorandum of alteration under the said Acts and this Act; and no objection shall be allowed to be made in any proceedings upon or touching such letters patent, specification, disclaimer, or memorandum of alteration, on the ground that the party entering such disclaimer or memorandum of alteration had not sufficient authority in that

Applications for disclaimers and caveats to be at office of Commissioners.

behalf: provided also, that no action shall be brought upon any letters patent in which or on the specification of which any disclaimer or memorandum of alteration shall have been filed in respect of any infringement committed prior to the filing of such disclaimer or memorandum of alteration, unless the law officer shall certify in his fiat that any such action may be brought, notwithstanding the entry or filing of such disclaimer or memorandum of alteration.

Provisions of 5 & 6 W. 4. c. 83.; 2 & 3 Vict. c. 67.; and 7 & 8 Vict. c. 69. as to confirmation and prolongation to apply to patents under this Act.

XL. All the provisions of the said Act of the fifth and sixth years of King *William* the Fourth, for the confirmation of any letters patent, and the grant of new letters patent, and all the provisions of the said Act, and of the Acts of the Session holden in the second and third years of Her Majesty, chapter sixty-seven, and of the Session holden in the seventh and eighth years of Her Majesty, chapter sixty-nine, respectively, relating to the prolongation of the term of letters patent, and to the grant of new letters patent for a further term, shall extend and apply to any letters patent granted under the provisions of this Act, and it shall be lawful for Her Majesty to grant any new letters patent, as in the said Acts mentioned; and in the granting of any such new letters patent Her Majesty's Order in Council shall be a sufficient warrant and authority for the sealing of any new letters patent, and for the insertion in such new letters patent of any restrictions, conditions, and provisions in the said order mentioned; and the Lord Chancellor on the receipt of the said Order in Council, shall cause letters patent, according to the tenor and effect of such Order, to be made and sealed in the manner herein directed for letters patent issued under the warrant of the law officer: Provided always, that such new letters patent shall extend to and be available in and for such places as the original letters patent extended to and were available in: Provided also, that such new letters patent shall be sealed and bear

date as of the day after the expiration of the term of the original letters patent which may first expire.

In actions for infringement of letters patent, particulars to be delivered, and no evidence allowed not mentioned therein.

XLI. In any action in any of Her Majesty's Superior Courts of Record at *Westminster* or in *Dublin* for the infringement of letters patent, the plaintiff shall deliver with his declaration particulars of the breaches complained of in the said action, and the defendant, on pleading thereto, shall deliver with his pleas, and the prosecutor in any proceedings by *Scire facias* to repeal letters patent shall deliver with his declaration, particulars of any objections on which he means to rely at the trial in support of the pleas in the said action or of the suggestions of the said declaration in the proceedings by *Scire facias* respectively; and at the trial of such action or proceeding by *Scire facias* no evidence shall be allowed to be given in support of any alleged infringement or of any objection impeaching the validity of such letters patent which shall not be contained in the particulars delivered as aforesaid: Provided always, that the place or places at or in which and in what manner the invention is alleged to have been used or published prior to the date of the letters patent shall be stated in such particulars: Provided also, that it shall and may be lawful for any Judge at chambers to allow such plaintiff or defendant or prosecutor respectively to amend the particulars delivered as aforesaid, upon such terms as to such Judge shall seem fit: Provided also, that at the trial of any proceeding by *Scire facias* to repeal letters patent the defendant shall be entitled to begin and to give evidence in support of such letters patent, and in case evidence shall be adduced on the part of the prosecutor impeaching the validity of such letters patent, the defendant shall be entitled to the reply.

Courts of Common Law may grant injunction in

XLII. In any action in any of Her Majesty's Superior Courts of Record at *Westminster* and in *Dublin* for the infringement of letters patent, it shall be lawful for the

Court in which such action is pending, if the Court be then sitting, or if the Court be not sitting then for a Judge of such Court, on the application of the plaintiff or defendant respectively, to make such order for an injunction, inspection, or account, and to give such direction respecting such action, injunction, inspection, and account, and the proceedings therein respectively, as to such Court or Judge may seem fit. case of infringement.

XLIII. In taxing the costs in any action in any of Her Majesty's Superior Courts at *Westminster* or in *Dublin*, commenced after the passing of this Act for infringing letters patent, regard shall be had to the particulars delivered in such action, and the plaintiff and defendant respectively shall not be allowed any costs in respect of any particular unless certified by the Judge before whom the trial was had to have been proved by such plaintiff or defendant respectively, without regard to the general costs of the cause; and it shall be lawful for the Judge before whom any such action shall be tried to certify on the record that the validity of the letters patent in the declaration mentioned came in question; and the record, with such certificate, being given in evidence in any suit or action for infringing the said letters patent, or in any proceeding by *Scire facias* to repeal the letters patent, shall entitle the plaintiff in any such suit or action, or the defendant in such proceeding by *Scire facias* on obtaining a decree, decretal order, or final judgment, to his full costs, charges, and expenses, taxed as between attorney and client, unless the Judge making such decree or order, or the Judge trying such action or proceeding, shall certify that the plaintiff or defendant respectively ought not to have such full costs: Provided always, that nothing herein contained shall affect the jurisdiction and forms of process of the Courts in *Scotland* in any action for the infringement of letters patent, or in any action or proceeding respecting letters patent Particulars to be regarded in taxation of costs.

hitherto competent to the said Courts: Provided also, that when any proceedings shall require to be taken in *Scotland* to repeal any letters patent, such proceedings shall be taken in the form of an action of reduction at the instance of Her Majesty's Advocate, or at the instance of any other party having interest with concurrence of Her Majesty's Advocate, which concurrence Her Majesty's Advocate is authorized and empowered to give upon just cause shown only.

Payments and stamp duties on letters patent to be as in schedule.

XLIV. There shall be paid in respect of letters patent applied for or issued as herein mentioned, the filing of specifications and disclaimers, certificates, entries, and searches, and other matters and things mentioned in the schedule to this Act, such fees as are mentioned in the said schedule; and there shall be paid unto and for the use of Her Majesty, her heirs and successors, for or in respect of the warrants and certificates mentioned in the said schedule, or the vellum, parchment, or paper on which the same repectively are written, the stamp duties mentioned in the said schedule; and no other stamp duties shall be levied, or fees, except as hereinafter mentioned, taken in respect to such letters patent and specifications, and the matters and things in such schedule mentioned.

Duties to be under management of Commissioners of Inland Revenue.

XLV. The stamp duties hereby granted shall be under the care and management of the Commissioners of Inland Revenue; and the several rules, regulations, provisions, penalties, clauses, and matters contained in any Act now or hereafter to be in force with reference to stamp duties shall be applicable thereto.

All moneys received to be paid to the Consolidated Fund.

XLVI. The fees to be paid as aforesaid shall from time to time be paid into the receipt of the Exchequer, and be carried to and made part of the Consolidated Fund of the United Kingdom.

Not to prevent payment of fees to law

XLVII. Provided always, That nothing herein contained shall prevent the payment as heretofore to the law officers in

cases of opposition to the granting of letters patent, and in cases of disclaimers and memoranda of alterations, of such fees as may be appointed by the Lord Chancellor and Master of the Rolls as the fee, to be paid on the hearing of such oppositions, and in the case of disclaimers and memoranda of alterations respectively, or of such reasonable sums for office or other copies of documents in the office of the Commissioners, as the Commissioners may from time to time appoint to be paid for such copies, and the Lord Chancellor and Master of the Rolls, and the Commissioners, are hereby respectively authorized and empowered to appoint the fees to be so paid in respect of such oppositions, disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations respectively, and for such office or other copies.

officers in cases of opposition, &c.

XLVIII. It shall be lawful for the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury from time to time to allow such fees to the law officers and their clerks (for duties under this Act in respect of which fees may not be payable to them under the provisions lastly hereinbefore contained) as the Lord Chancellor and Master of the Rolls may from time to time appoint, and to allow such salaries and payments to any clerks and officers to be appointed under this Act, and such additional salaries and payments to any other clerks and officers in respect of any additional duties imposed on them by this Act, as the said Commissioners of the Treasury may think fit.

Fees and salaries of officers.

XLIX. It shall be lawful for the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury to allow from time to time the necessary sums for providing offices under this Act, and for the fees, salaries, and payments allowed by them as aforesaid, and for defraying the current and incidental expenses of such office or offices; and the sums to be so allowed shall be paid out of such moneys as may be provided by Parliament for that purpose.

Sums for defraying salaries and expenses under this Act to be paid out of moneys to be provided by Parliament.

Power to Treasury to grant compensation to persons affected by this Act.

L. And whereas divers persons by virtue of their offices or appointments are entitled to fees or charges payable in respect of letters patent as heretofore granted within the United Kingdom of *Great Britain* and *Ireland*, or have and derive in respect of such letters patent, or the procedure for the granting thereof, fees or other emoluments or advantages:

It shall be lawful for the said Commissioners of the Treasury to grant to any such persons who may sustain any loss of fees, emoluments, or advantages by reason of the passing of this Act, such compensation as, having regard to the tenure and nature of their respective offices and appointments, such Commissioners deem just and proper to be awarded; and all such compensations shall be paid out of such moneys as may be provided by Parliament for that purpose: *Provided always, that in case any person* to whom any yearly sum by way of compensation shall be awarded and paid shall, after the passing of this Act, be appointed to any office or place of emolument under the provisions of this Act, or in the public service, then and in every such case the amount of such yearly sum shall in every year be diminished by so much as the emoluments of such person for such year from such office or place shall amount to, and provision in that behalf shall be made in the award to him of such yearly sum.

Account of salaries, fees, and compensationallowances to be laid before Parliament.

LI. An account of all salaries, fees, allowances, sums, and compensations to be appointed, allowed, or granted under this Act shall, within fourteen days next after the same shall be so appointed, allowed, or granted respectively, be laid before both Houses of Parliament, if Parliament be then sitting, or if Parliament be not then sitting, then within fourteen days after the next meeting of Parliament.

Not to extend to patents applied for before

LII. Letters patent may be granted in respect of applications made before the commencement of this Act, in like

manner and subject to the same provisions as if this Act had not been passed. commencement of Act.

LIII. Where letters patent for *England* or *Scotland* or *Ireland* have been granted before the commencement of this Act, or are in respect of any application made before the commencement of this Act hereafter granted for any invention, letters patent for *England* or *Scotland* or *Ireland* may be granted for such invention in like manner as if this Act had not been passed: Provided always, that in lieu of all the fees or payments and stamp duties now payable in respect of such letters patent, or in or about obtaining a grant thereof, there shall be paid in respect of such letters patent for *England* or *Scotland* or *Ireland* on the sealing of such respective letters patent a sum equal to one-third part of the fees and stamp duties which would be payable according to the schedule to this Act in respect of letters patent issued for the United Kingdom under this Act, on or previously to the sealing of such letters patent; and at or before the expiration of the third year and the seventh year respectively of the term granted by such letters patent for *England* or *Scotland* or *Ireland*, sums equal to one-third part of the fees and stamp duties payable at the expiration of the third year and the seventh year respectively of the term granted by letters patent issued for the United Kingdom under this Act; and the condition of such letters patent for *England* or *Scotland* or *Ireland* shall be varied accordingly; and such fees shall be paid to such persons as the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury shall appoint, and shall be carried to and form part of the said Consolidated Fund. As to letters patent granted before commencement of this Act for England, Scotland, or Ireland.

LIV. The several forms in the schedule to this Act may be used for and in respect of the several matters therein mentioned, and the Commissioners may, where they think fit, vary such forms as occasion may require, and cause to Forms in schedule may be used.

be printed and circulated such other forms as they may think fit to be used for the purposes of this Act.

Interpretation of terms.

LV. In the construction of this Act the following expressions shall have the meanings hereby assigned to them, unless such meanings be repugnant to or inconsistent with the context; (that is to say,)

The expression "Lord Chancellor" shall mean the Lord Chancellor, or Lord Keeper of the Great Seal, or Lords Commissioners of the Great Seal:

The expression "The Commissioners" shall mean the Commissioners for the time being acting in execution of this Act:

The expression "Law Officer" shall mean Her Majesty's Attorney-General or Solicitor-General for the time being for *England*, or the Lord Advocate, or Her Majesty's Solicitor-General for the time being for *Scotland*, or Her Majesty's Attorney-General or Solicitor-General for the time being for *Ireland*:

The expression "invention" shall mean any manner of new manufacture the subject of letters patent and grant of privilege within the meaning of the Act of the twenty-first year of the reign of King *James* the First, chapter three.

The expressions "Petition," "Declaration," "Provisional Specification," "Warrant," and "Letters Patent" respectively, shall mean instruments in the form and to the effect in the schedule hereto annexed, subject to such alterations as may from time to time be made therein under the powers and provisions of this Act.

Short title.

LVI. In citing this Act in other Acts of Parliament, instruments, and proceedings, it shall be sufficient to use the expression "The Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852."

Commencement of Act.

LVII. This Act shall commence and take effect from the first day of October One thousand eight hundred and fifty-two.

THE SCHEDULE TO WHICH THIS ACT REFERS.

Fees to be Paid.

	£	s.	d.
On leaving Petition for Grant of Letters Patent .	5	0	0
On Notice of Intention to proceed with the Application	5	0	0
On sealing of Letters Patent	5	0	0
On filing Specification	5	0	0
At or before the expiration of the third year .	40	0	0
At or before the expiration of the seventh year .	80	0	0
On leaving Notice of Objections . . .	2	0	0
Every search and inspection	0	1	0
Entry of Assignment or Licence . . .	0	5	0
Certificate of Assignment or Licence . . .	0	5	0
Filing Application for Disclaimer . . .	5	0	0
Caveat against Disclaimer	2	0	0

Stamp Duties to be paid.

On warrant of law-officer for letters patent .	5	0	0
On certificate of payment of the fee payable at or before the expiration of the third year .	10	0	0
On certificate of payment of the fee payable at or before the expiration of the seventh year .	20	0	0

FORMS.

Petition.

No.

TO THE QUEEN'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

The humble Petition of [*here insert name and address of Petitioner*] for, &c.

Sheweth,

That your Petitioner is in possession of an invention for

[*the Title of the Invention,*]

which invention he believes will be of great public utility; that he is the true and first inventor thereof; and that the same is not in use by any other person or persons, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Your Petitioner therefore humbly prays, that your Majesty will be pleased to grant unto him, his executors, administrators, and assigns, your Royal letters patent for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, the Channel Islands, and Isle of Man [*Colonies to be mentioned, if any*], for the term of fourteen years, pursuant to the statutes in that case made and provided.

And your Petitioner will ever pray, &c.

Declaration.

No.

I of in the county of do solemnly and sincerely declare, That I am in possession of an invention for, &c.

[*the Title as in Petition.*]

which invention I believe will be of great public utility; that I am the true and first inventor thereof; and that the same is not in use by any other person or persons, to the best of my knowledge and belief; [*where a complete Specification is to be filed with the Petition and Declaration, insert these words:*—"and that the instrument in writing under my hand and seal, hereunto annexed, particularly describes and ascertains the nature of the said invention and the manner in which the same is to be performed;"] and I make this declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and by virtue of the provisions of an Act made and passed in the session of Parliament held in the fifth and sixth years of the reign of his late Majesty King William the Fourth, intituled, "An Act to repeal an Act of the "present session of Parliament, intituled, 'An Act for the "'more effectual abolition of oaths and affirmations taken "'and made in various departments of the State, and to "'substitute declarations in lieu thereof, and for the more "'entire suppression of voluntary and extra-judicial oaths "'and affidavits,' and to make other provisions for the "abolition of unnecessary oaths."

A. B.

Declared at this day of
A.D. before me,

A Master in Chancery,
or
Justice of the Peace.

Provisional Specification.

No.

I do hereby declare the nature of the said invention for

[*insert Title as in Petition.*]

to be as follows:

[*here insert description.*]

Dated this day of A.D.

(*To be signed by applicant or his agent.*)

Reference.

(*To be endorsed on the Petition.*)

Her Majesty is pleased to refer this Petition to to consider what may be properly done therein.

Clerk of the Commissioners.

Warrant.

In humble obedience to Her Majesty's command referring to me the Petition of of , to consider what may be properly done therein, I do hereby certify as follows: That the said Petition sets forth that the Petitioner

[*Allegations of the Petition.*]

And the Petitioner most humbly prays,

[*Prayer of the Petition.*]

That in support of the allegations contained in the said

Petition the declaration of the Petitioner has been laid before me, whereby he solemnly declares, that

[*Allegations of the declaration.*]

That there has also been laid before me [a Provisional Specification signed , and also a certificate ,] *or* [a complete specification, and a certificate of the filing thereof], whereby it appears that the said invention was provisionally protected [*or* protected] from the day of A.D. in pursuance of the Statute:

That it appears that the said application was duly advertised:

Upon consideration of all the matters aforesaid, and as it is entirely at the hazard of the said Petitioner whether the said invention is new or will have the desired success, and as it may be reasonable for Her Majesty to encourage all arts and inventions which may be for the public good, I am of opinion, that Her Majesty may grant her Royal letters patent unto the Petitioner, his executors, administrators, and assigns, for his said invention within the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, the Channel Islands, and Isle of Man, [*Colonies to be mentioned, if any,*] for the term of fourteen years, according to the Statute in that case made and provided, if Her Majesty shall be graciously pleased so to do, to the tenor and effect following:

[*See next Form.*]

Given under my hand, this day of A.D.

Seal of the Commissioners.

Letters Patent.

VICTORIA, by the grace of God, of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland Queen, Defender of the Faith; To all to whom these presents shall come greeting:

Whereas hath by his Petition humbly represented unto us that he is in possession of an invention for which the Petitioner conceives will be of great public utility; that he is the true and first inventor thereof; and that the same is not in use by any other person or persons, to the best of his knowledge and belief: The Petitioner therefore most humbly prayed that We would be graciously pleased to grant unto him, his executors, administrators, and assigns, Our Royal letters patent for the sole use, benefit, and advantage of his said invention within Our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, the Channel Islands, and Isle of Man, [*Colonies to be mentioned, if any,*] for the term of fourteen years, pursuant to the Statutes in that case made and provided:

[And whereas the said hath particularly described and ascertained the nature of the said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal, and has caused the same to be duly filed in :]

And We, being willing to give encouragement to all arts and inventions which may be for the public good, are graciously pleased to condescend to the Petitioner's request: Know ye, therefore, that We, of Our especial grace, certain knowledge, and mere motion, have given and granted, and by these presents, for Us, Our heirs and successors, do give and grant unto the said his executors, administrators, and assigns, Our especial licence, full power, sole privilege, and authority, that he, the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, and every of

them, by himself and themselves, or by his and their deputy or deputies, servants or agents, or such others as he, the said , his executors, administrators, or assigns, shall at any time agree with, and no others, from time to time, and at all times hereafter during the term of years herein expressed, shall and lawfully may make, use, exercise, and vend his said invention within Our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, the Channel Islands, and Isle of Man, in such manner as to him, the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, or any of them, shall in his or their discretion seem meet; and that he, the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, shall and lawfully may have and enjoy the whole profit, benefit, commodity, and advantage from time to time coming, growing, accruing, and arising by reason of the said invention, for and during the term of years herein mentioned; to have, hold, exercise, and enjoy the said licences, powers, privileges, and advantages hereinbefore granted or mentioned to be granted unto the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, for and during and unto the full end and term of fourteen years, from the day of A.D. next and immediately ensuing, according to the Statute in such case made and provided; and to the end that he, the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, and every of them, may have and enjoy the full benefit and the sole use and exercise of the said invention, according to Our gracious intention hereinbefore declared, We do by these presents, for Us, Our heirs and successors, require and strictly command all and every person and persons, bodies politic and corporate, and all other Our subjects whatsoever, of what estate, quality, degree, name, or condition soever they be, within Our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, the Channel Islands, and Isle of

Man, [*Colonies to be mentioned, if any,*] that neither they nor any of them, at any time during the continuance of the said term of fourteen years hereby granted, either directly or indirectly do make, use, or put in practice the said invention, or any part of the same, so attained unto by the said , as aforesaid, nor in anywise counterfeit, imitate, or resemble the same, nor shall make or cause to be made any addition thereunto or subtraction from the same, whereby to pretend himself or themselves the inventor or inventors, devisor or devisors thereof, without the consent, licence, or agreement of the said , his executors, administrators, or assigns, in writing under his or their hands and seals, first had and obtained in that behalf, upon such pains and penalties as can or may be justly inflicted on such offenders for their contempt of this Our Royal command, and further to be answerable to the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, according to law, for his and their damages thereby occasioned: And moreover We do by these presents, for Us, our heirs and successors, will and command all and singular the justices of the peace, mayors, sheriffs, bailiffs, constables, headboroughs, and all other officers and ministers whatsoever of Us, Our heirs and successors, for the time being, that they or any of them do not nor shall at any time during the said term hereby granted in anywise molest, trouble, or hinder the said , his executors, administrators, or assigns, or any of them, or his or their deputies, servants, or agents, in or about the due and lawful use or exercise of the aforesaid invention, or anything relating thereto: Provided always, and these Our letters patent are and shall be upon this condition, that if at any time during the said term hereby granted it shall be made appear to Us, Our heirs or successors, or any six or more of Our or their Privy Council, that this Our grant is contrary to law, or prejudicial or

inconvenient to Our subjects in general, or that the said invention is not a new invention as to the public use and exercise thereof, or that the said is not the true and first inventor thereof within this realm as aforesaid, these Our letters patent shall forthwith cease, determine, and be utterly void to all intents and purposes, anything hereinbefore contained to the contrary thereof in anywise notwithstanding: Provided also, that these Our letters patent, or anything herein contained, shall not extend or be construed to extend to give privilege unto the said , his executors, administrators, or assigns, or any of them, to use or imitate any invention or work whatsoever which hath heretofore been found out or invented by any other of Our subjects whatsoever, and publicly used or exercised, unto whom Our like letters patent or privileges have been already granted for the sole use, exercise, and benefit thereof: It being Our will and pleasure that the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, and all and every other person and persons to whom like letters patent or privileges have been already granted as aforesaid, shall distinctly use and practise their several inventions by them invented and found out, according to the true intent and meaning of the same respective letters patent and of these presents: Provided likewise nevertheless, and these Our letters patent are upon this express condition [that if the said shall not particularly describe and ascertain the nature of his said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal, and cause the same to be filed in within calendar months next and immediately after the date of these Our letters patent;] [and also if the said instrument in writing filed as aforesaid does not particularly describe and ascertain the nature of the said invention, and in what

manner the same is to be performed;] and also if the said , his executors, administrators, or assigns, shall not pay or cause to be paid at the office of Our Commissioners of patents for inventions the sums following, that is to say, the sum of pounds on or before the day of A.D. , and the stamp-duty payable in respect of the certificate of such payment, and the sum of pounds on or before the day of A.D. , and the stamp-duty payable in respect of the certificate of such payment; and also if the said , his executors, administrators, or assigns, shall not supply or cause to be supplied for Our service all such articles of the said invention as he or they shall be required to supply by the Officers or Commissioners administering the department of Our service for the use of which the same shall be required, in such manner, at such times, and at and upon such reasonable prices and terms as shall be settled for that purpose by the said Officers or Commissioners requiring the same; that then and in any of the said cases these Our letters patent, and all liberties and advantages whatsoever hereby granted, shall utterly cease, determine, and become void, anything hereinbefore contained to the contrary thereof in anywise notwithstanding: Provided that nothing herein contained shall prevent the granting of licences in such manner and for such considerations as they may by law be granted; And, lastly, We do by these presents, for Us, Our heirs and successors, grant unto the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, that these Our letters patent, or the filing thereof, shall be in and by all things good, firm, valid, sufficient, and effectual in the law according to the true intent and meaning thereof, and shall be taken, construed, and adjudged in the most favourable and beneficial sense, for

the best advantage of the said , his executors, administrators, and assigns, as well in all Our Courts of Record as elsewhere, and by all and singular the officers and ministers whatsoever of Us, Our heirs and successors, in Our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, the Channel Islands, and Isle of Man, [*Colonies to be mentioned, if any,*] and amongst all and every the subjects of Us, Our heirs and successors, whatsoever and wheresoever, notwithstanding the not full and certain describing the nature or quality of the said invention, or of the materials thereunto conducing and belonging. In witness whereof We have caused these Our letters to be made patent, this day of A.D. , and to be sealed and bear date of the said day of A.D. , in the year of Our reign.

Specification.

To all to whom these presents shall come;
I of send greeting:

Whereas Her Most Excellent Majesty Queen Victoria, by Her letters patent bearing date the day of A.D. , in the year of Her reign, did for Herself, Her heirs and successors, give and grant unto me, the said , Her special licence that I, the said , my executors, administrators, and assigns, or such others as I, the said , my executors, administrators, and assigns, should at any time agree with, and no others, from time to time and at all times thereafter during the term therein expressed, should and lawfully might make, use, exercise, and vend, within the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, the

Channel Islands, and Isle of Man, [*Colonies to be mentioned, if any,*] an invention for

[*insert title as in letters patent*]

upon the condition (amongst others) that I, the said , by an instrument in writing under my hand and seal, should particularly describe and ascertain the nature of the said invention, and in what manner the same was to be performed, and cause the same to be filed in within calendar months next, and immediately after the date of the said letters patent: Now know ye, that I, the said , do hereby declare the nature of my said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, to be particularly described and ascertained in and by the following statement; (that is to say,)

[*describe the invention.*]

In witness whereof I, the said *A. B.*, have heretofore set my hand and seal, this day of A.D.

A. B.

Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852.

First Set of Rules and Regulations under the Act 15 *and* 16 *Vict. c.* 83, *for the passing of Letters Patent for Inventions from and after the* 1st *day of October next.*

By the Right Honourable Edward Burtenshaw Lord St. Leonard's Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain, the Right Honourable Sir John Romilly Master of the Rolls, Sir Frederic Thesiger Her Majesty's Attorney General, and Sir Fitzroy Kelly Her Majesty's Solicitor General, being four of the Commissioners of Patents for Inventions under the said Act.

Whereas a commodious office is forthwith intended to be provided by the Crown as the Great Seal Patent Office;

and the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury have, under the powers of the said Act, appointed such office as the office also for the purposes of the said Act.

All petitions for the grant of letters patent, and all declarations and provisional specifications, shall be left at the said Commissioners' office, and shall be respectively written upon sheets of paper of twelve inches in length by eight inches and a half in breadth, leaving a margin of one inch and a half on each side of each page, in order that they may be bound in the books to be kept in the said office.

Every provisional protection of an invention allowed by the Law Officer shall be forthwith advertised in the London Gazette, and the advertisement shall set forth the name and address of the Petitioner, the title of his invention, and the date of the application.

Every invention protected by reason of the deposit of a complete specification shall be forthwith advertised in the London Gazette, and the advertisement shall set forth the name and address of the Petitioner, the title of the invention, the date of the application, and that a complete specification has been deposited.

Where a Petitioner applying for letters patent after provisional protection, or after deposit of a complete specification, shall give notice in writing at the office of the Commissioners of his intention to proceed with his application for letters patent, the same shall forthwith be advertised in the London Gazette, and the advertisement shall set forth the name and address of the Petitioner and the title of his invention; and that any persons having an interest in opposing such application are to be at liberty to leave particulars in writing of their objections to the said application at the office of the Com-

missioners within twenty-one days after the date of the Gazette in which such notice is issued.

The charge for office or other copies of documents in the office of the Commissioners shall be at the rate of two-pence for every ninety words.

Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852, 15 and 16 Vict. c. 83.

By the Right Honourable Edward Burtenshaw Lord St. Leonard's Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain, and the Right Honourable Sir John Romilly Master of the Rolls.

Ordered, That there shall be paid to the Law Officers and to their clerks the following fees :—

By the Person opposing a Grant of Letters Patent.

	£	s.	d.
To the Law Officer	2	12	6
To his clerk	0	12	6
To his clerk for summons	0	5	0

By the Petitioner on the Hearing of the Case of Opposition.

To the Law Officer	2	12	6
To his clerk	0	12	6
To his clerk for summons	0	5	0

By the Petitioner for the Hearing, previous to the Fiat of the Law Officer allowing a Disclaimer or Memorandum of Alteration in Letters Patent and Specification.

To the Law Officer	2	12	6
To his clerk	0	12	6

By the Person opposing the Allowance of such Disclaimer or Memorandum of Alteration, on the Hearing of the Case of Opposition.

	£	s.	d.
To the Law Officer	2	12	6
To his clerk	0	12	6

By the Petitioner for the Fiat of the Law Officer allowing a Disclaimer or Memorandum of Alteration in Letters Patent and Specification.

To the Law Officer	3	3	0
To his clerk	0	12	6

Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852, 15 and 16 Vict. c. 83.

Ordered by the Right Honourable Edward Burtenshaw Lord St. Leonard's Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain.

All specifications in pursuance of the conditions of letters patent, and all complete specifications accompanying petitions and declarations before grant of letters patent, shall be filed in the Great Seal Patent Office.

All such specifications shall be respectively written upon both sides of a sheet or sheets of parchment, each page being of the size of eighteen inches in length by twelve inches in breadth, leaving a margin of one inch and a half on each side of each page, in order that they may be bound in the books to be kept in the said Office; but the drawings accompanying such specifications, if any, may be made upon larger sheets of parchment than of the size of eighteen inches by twelve inches, leaving a margin of one and a half inch, as aforesaid.

The charge for office or other copies of documents in the Great Seal Patent Office shall be at the rate of twopence for every ninety words.

Rules and Instructions to be observed in applications respecting Patents for Inventions, and by Persons petitioning for Letters Patent for Inventions, and for Liberty to enter Disclaimers and Alterations according to the Statutes.

The Law Officers of the Crown wish to discountenance the prevalent practice of introducing several distinct and separate Inventions into the same patent; at the same time, they will not refuse to grant a Patent where one Invention is applicable to the improvement of several manufactures, or where several Inventions are applicable to the improvement of one and the same manufacture.

In the Petition for a Patent, the Title ought to state, as distinctly as possible, the extent and object of the Invention without disclosing the mode in which it is performed, or revealing its peculiar character.

IT IS THEREFORE DIRECTED that the following Rules shall be followed, as nearly as may be, except in cases in which the Law Officer may think that the strictness required cannot be observed without prejudice to the Petitioners.

1. When a Petition for a Patent is left at the Office of one of the Law Officers of the Crown, his attention must be particularly called to the *Title and Provisional Specification*, and if he be satisfied with their propriety and correctness, a Certificate will be given to the Petitioner or his Agent in the following form :—

PATENT LAW AMENDMENT ACT, 1852.

This is to certify that the Petition, Declaration, and Pro-

visionnl Specification of in the county of
for the Invention of left and recorded in the Office
of the Commissioners of Patents for Inventions, on the
day of 185 , having been referred to me,
and I, being satisfied that the Provisional Specification describes the nature of the Invention, have allowed the same.

Dated this day of 185 .

(Signed by the Law Officer.)

If any impropriety or incorrectness shall appear to the Law Officer to exist in the Title or in the Provisional Specification, he will require the Petitioner or his Agent to appear before him, for the purpose of satisfying him that he ought to permit the Title and the Provisional Specification to pass in their present form.

If the impropriety and incorrectness in the Title or the Specification are of such a nature as to require material alteration, to an extent which, in the judgment of the Law Officer ought not properly and reasonably to have been required, no Certificate will be granted. But should the Title and Provisional Specification, although defective, appear to the Law Officer to have been drawn up honestly and fairly, the requisite alterations will be allowed to be made.

2. When the Inventions are applicable to known manufactures, or to known machines; the Titles of Patents must state the manufactures or the machines, and where it can conveniently be done, the part thereof to which the inventions relate.

3. When a manufacture is carried on by several distinct processes, branches, or machines, the Title of the Patent must state to which of the processes, branches, or machines the invention relates.

4. If the Invention relates to an engine for producing power to be worked by mechanical means, or by water,

steam, air, or gases, galvanic, or other fluid, the *Title* must state which of the means is to be used.

5. If the Invention relates to some process to be performed on known fabrics or manufactures, the process and manufacture must be stated in the Title.

6. If the Invention relates to the application of known materials to new purposes, or to the improvement of old manufactures, the Title must state the purpose or manufacture, and may state that it is to be improved by a new application of known materials.

7. No Summons shall be issued, unless by consent, or to suit the convenience of the Law Officer, appointing a hearing earlier than seven days from the date of such Summons.

8. The time allowed for Specification of any Invention shall be Six months from the date of the Petition being left and recorded in the Office of the Commissioners of Patents for Inventions.

9. In the event of the Law Officer refusing to grant a Petitioner his Certificate at any hearing, in consequence of a successful opposition being made, the Petitioner shall not be entitled to any further hearing, unless he shall first pay all costs of himself and of the opposing party occasioned by such further hearing.

10. No person shall be permitted to examine or shall be made acquainted with the contents of a Provisional Specification, other than a scientific or other person called to the aid of a Law Officer under the provision of the Statute.

In regard to Provisional Specifications.

11. The Law Officers will require that a Provisional Specification shall state the nature of the Invention, so as to distinguish it from what is already known, in order that the

extent of the Invention may be clearly understood. They will not, however, require the Petitioner to enter into a description of the manner in which the Invention is to be performed.

The object of a Provisional Specification is to provide against the introduction into the Complete Specification of any matters of invention differing from those for which the Letters Patent are granted. It is not intended in any way to prevent the Patentee including in his Complete Specification those improvements in practical details which may occur in carrying out his Invention, provided that those improvements require the use of the original matter of Invention, which is set forth in the Provisional Specification, for which the Patent is granted.

12. The Petitioner may apply to the Law Officer to amend his Provisional Specification, and if, on hearing the party, it appear reasonable that the desired amendment should be made, it will be allowed. The Law Officer will not permit any new matter of Invention to be added, but will allow any part of the Invention which the Petitioner may on consideration desire not to introduce into his Complete Specification to be struck out.

13. A Copy of the Provisional Specification, as allowed by the Law Officer, shall be introduced into the Complete Specification, in order to show more fully than the Title in the Patent the nature of the Invention for which the Patent was granted.

In order to obtain leave to enter a Disclaimer or Alteration of any part, either of the Title of a Patent, or of the Invention or Specification, pursuant to the Statutes,

IT IS DIRECTED,

14. That the person applying (if the Patent be dated before the 1st of October, 1852,) shall present a Petition

to one of the Law Officers, stating what Disclaimer or Alteration is proposed, when a time will be appointed for hearing the Applicant. The Petition must in general be accompanied by a Copy of the Original Specification and the proposed Disclaimer or Alteration. If the Patent be dated after the 1st of October, 1852, the Petition and other documents must be lodged at the Office of the Commissioners of Patents for Inventions.

15. If, on the hearing, the Law Officer disallow the proposed Alteration or Disclaimer, no further proceeding will be necessary. If he allow it without advertisement, on being applied to for the purpose, he will put his signature to the Fiat authorizing the Clerk of the Patents to make the required entry.

16. If it appear to the Law Officer that any advertisement or advertisements ought to be inserted, he will give such directions as he may think fit relative thereto, and will fix any time not sooner than Ten days from the first publication of any such advertisement for resuming the consideration of the matter.

17. With regard to Patents passed before the 1st of October, 1852, Caveats may be lodged at the Offices of the Law Offices, at any time before the actual issuing of the Fiat; and any party lodging a Caveat must have notice of the next Meeting if any be previously appointed, but if the Meeting be not appointed before entering the Caveat, the party lodging it must have not less than Seven days' notice of such Meeting.

(*Signed*) FREDERICK THESIGER.
FITZROY KELLY.

Temple.

Rules to be observed in Proceedings before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, under the Act of the 5th and 6th Wm. IV. c. 83, entitled, "An Act to amend the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions."

1. A party intending to apply by petition under section 2, of the said Act,* shall give public notice, by advertising in the "London Gazette," three times, and in three London newspapers, and three times in some county paper published in the town where, or near to which, he carries on any manufacture of anything made according to his specification, or near to or in which he resides, in case he carries on no such manufacture, or published in the county where he carries on such manufacture, or where he lives, in case there shall not be any paper published in such town, that he intends to petition His Majesty under the said section, and shall in such advertisements state the object of such petition; and give notice of the day on which he intends to apply for a time to be fixed for hearing the matter of his petition (which day shall not be less than four weeks from the date of the publication of the last of the advertisements to be inserted in the "London Gazette"), and that on or before such day notice must be given of any opposition intended to be made to the petition, and any person intending to oppose the said application shall lodge notice to that effect at the Council-office on or before such day so named in the said advertisements, and having lodged such notice, shall be entitled to have from the petitioner four weeks' notice of the time appointed for the hearing.

2. A party intending to apply by petition under section 4, of the said Act, shall, in the advertisements directed to be

* The petition must be presented at least six months before the expiration of the letters patent; but the Privy Council have refused to hear a petition, in respect to a patent having several years unexpired.

published by the said section, give notice of the day on which he intends to apply for a time to be fixed for hearing the matter of his petition (which day shall not be less than four weeks from the date of the publication of the last of the advertisements to be inserted in the "London Gazette"), and that on or before such day Caveats must be entered ; and any person intending to enter a Caveat shall enter the same at the Council-office on or before such day so named in the said advertisements ; and, having entered such Caveat, shall be entitled to have from the petitioner four weeks' notice of the time appointed for the hearing.

3. Petitions under sections 2, and 4, of the said Act must be presented within one week from the insertion of the last of the advertisements required to be published in the "London Gazette."

4. All petitions must be accompanied with affidavits of advertisements having been inserted according to the provisions of section 4, of the said Act, and the 1st and 2d of these rules, and the matters in such affidavits may be disputed by the parties opposing, upon the hearing of the petitions.

5. All persons entering Caveats under section 4, of the said Act, and all parties to any former suit or action, touching letters patent, in respect of which petition shall have been presented under section 2, of the said Act, and all persons lodging notices of opposition under the first of these rules shall respectively be entitled to be served with copies of petitions presented under the said sections, and no application to fix a time for hearing shall be made without affidavit of such service.

6. All parties served with petitions shall lodge at the Council-office, within a fortnight after such service, notice of the grounds of their objections to the granting of the prayers of such petitions.

7. Parties may have copies of all papers lodged in respect of any application under the said Act at their own expense.

8. The Master of the High Court of Chancery, or other Officer to whom it may be referred to tax the costs incurred in the matter of any petition presented under the said Act, shall allow or disallow in his discretion all payments made to persons of science or skill examined as witnesses to matters of opinion chiefly.

Council-office, Whitehall, Nov. 18, 1835.

Subsequently the following Rules were added.

A party applying for an extension of a patent under section 4, of the said Act, must lodge at the Council-office four printed or written copies of his specification for the use of the Judicial Committee. If such specification shall have been printed in some publication, lodging four copies of the publication containing the same will be sufficient.

In the event also of the applicant's specification not having been published as aforesaid, and if the expense of making four copies of any drawing therein contained or referred to would be considerable, the lodgment of one copy only of such drawing will be deemed sufficient.

All copies mentioned in this rule must be lodged not less than one week before the day fixed for hearing the application.

The Judicial Committee will hear the Attorney-General or other Counsel on behalf of the Crown against granting any application made under either the second or the fourth section of the said Act in case it shall be thought fit to oppose the same on such behalf.

Council-office, Whitehall, Dec. 21, 1835.

Form of Petition to Her Majesty's Attorney or Solicitor-General for Fiat to enrol Disclaimer or Memorandum of Alteration.

The petition of A.B., of
in the County of (*profession,*)

Sheweth,

That your petitioner obtained Her Majesty's Royal Letters Patent, bearing date at Westminster, the day of in the year of Her reign, for [*here insert the title of the invention*]: And whereas your petitioner duly enrolled a specification of his said invention.

[*Here set forth some of the particulars sufficient to lead to the nature of the claims of invention, then set forth the Disclaimer or Alterations, and the reasons for the same.*]

Your petitioner therefore prays leave of Her Majesty's Attorney [or Solicitor] General, certified by his fiat and signature, as by the said Act provided, to enter with the Clerk of the Patents of England, the said Disclaimer [and Memorandum of Alteration,] a copy of which signed by your petitioner is left herewith in the form in which your petitioner is desirous the same should be so entered as aforesaid.

Form of Entry Paper to be left with Petition, and to be filed with the Clerk of the Patents.

In the matter of a patent granted to A.B., of
in the County of , for his invention of [*here set forth the title of the invention,*] bearing date at Westminster the day of 18

* In the event of a Disclaimer being made by an assignee of a patent, that circumstance must be stated, and the petition, &c. must be in his name, and the Statute of the 7 and 8 Vic. c. 69 must be referred to in the Entry Paper and Disclaimer.

DISCLAIMER [AND MEMORANDUM OF ALTERATION] proposed to be entered by A. B. with the Clerk of the Patents of England, pursuant to an Act passed in the 5th and 6th year of the reign of his late Majesty, King William IV., entitled, "An Act to amend the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions."

I, the said A. B. [*here follow the words of the Disclaimer and Alterations, and the reasons for the same.*] In witness whereof I, the said A. B., have hereunto set my hand and seal this day of , 1846.

(*Signed*) A. B.*

Form of Certificate of Fiat to be endorsed on Disclaimers.

TO THE CLERK OF THE PATENTS OF ENGLAND.†

This is to certify, that A. B., of , in the County of , has applied to me for leave to enter with you the above-written Disclaimer and Memorandum of Alteration [*as the case may be*] of a certain invention for which letters patent were duly granted to

* The usual practice has been to have two copies of this document made, one on parchment, and the other on paper, both of which are signed by Mr. Attorney or Mr. Solicitor-General. The one on paper has been deposited with the Clerk of the Patents, and the one on parchment has been usually acknowledged before the proper officer in Chancery and then enrolled, but it is suggested that it would be more correct to have the document which is enrolled, first marked by the Clerk of the Patents, and then enrolled; by such a course the indorsement would appear on the record showing that the document had been first filed by the Clerk of the Patents. This course has now been pursued for a considerable length of time, and cases have come before the Court and objections taken to the suggested practice without success, so that the question of form may be considered settled in respect to patents granted before the 1st of October, 1852. In all patents granted since the above date a new practice is to prevail. See Statute, p. xxx.

† Where the Disclaimer or Alteration is in respect to a patent granted after the 1st of October, 1852, this fiat will be addressed to the Commissioners of Patents for Inventions.

him, under the Great Seal of the United Kingdom, dated the day of , and the specification of which was enrolled on the day of

[And on considering of the said application, I directed him to advertise his said Disclaimer and Alteration in the "London Gazette," and in the ["*Times*" and "*Morning Herald*"] newspapers, and such advertisements having been duly made in the said "London Gazette," ["*Times*" and "*Morning Herald*"] newspapers, on the day of &c., and no objection having been made to the said application, I have accordingly granted leave to the said A. B. to file his said Disclaimer and Alteration [*as the case may be*] pursuant to the Statute passed in the fifth and sixth year of the reign of His late Majesty, entitled, "An Act to amend the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions." Dated this day of , 1846.

Form of Certificate of Fiat to be endorsed on Entry Paper.

I have this day granted my fiat, giving leave to the above-named A. B. to file with you the above written Disclaimer and Memorandum of Alteration [*as the case may be*].

Form of Caveat to be entered under the 1*st section of the Act* 5 *&* 6 *Wm. IV. c.* 83.

Caveat against any Disclaimer or Alteration in the patent of A. B., for "*Certain improvements in spinning,*" dated the day of , without notice to C. D.

Form of Caveat to be entered under the 4*th section of the Act* 5 *&* 6 *Wm. IV. c.* 83.

Caveat against A. B. having any extension of his patent, dated the day of , for "*Certain improvements in spinning,*" without notice to C. D.

Form of Assignment of Letters Patent.

This Indenture, made the day of in the year of our Lord, 18 , between A. B., of , in the County of , of the one part, and C. D., of , in the County of , of the other part.

Whereas the said A. B. was the first and true inventor of [*here insert title of invention*], and the same had never been practised within England, Wales, and the town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, *nor in Her Majesty's Colonies or Plantations abroad:** in consideration whereof, Her Most Gracious Majesty was pleased to grant unto him the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, Her Royal letters patent, bearing date at Westminster, the day of , in the year of her reign, giving and granting unto the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, full power, sole privilege and authority, that he, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, and every of them, by himself and themselves, or by his or their deputy or deputies, servants or agents, or such others as he, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, or assigns, should at any time agree with, and no others, from time to time, and at all times thereafter, during the term of fourteen years thereby granted, should, and lawfully might make, use, exercise, and vend his said invention within England, Wales, and the town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, *and in the Islands of Guernsey, Jersey, Alderney, Sark, and Man, and also in all Her said Majesty's Colonies and Plantations abroad,** in such manner as to him, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, shall in his or their discretion

* The words in italics are to be omitted when the patent does not extend to those places.

seem meet; and that he, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, shall, and lawfully may, have and enjoy the whole profit, benefit, and advantage, from time to time, coming, growing, accruing, and arising by reason of the said invention, for and during the said term of fourteen years. In which said letters patent there is contained a proviso, that if the said A. B. shall not, within the space of * calendar months, enrol a full and particular description of the nature of the said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal, that then the said letters patent and all liberties and advantages whatsoever thereby granted should utterly cease, determine, and become void. And whereas the said A. B., in pursuance of the said proviso, did particularly describe and ascertain the nature of his said invention, and in what manner the same was to be performed by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal, and did cause the same to be duly enrolled according to the said proviso.

Now this Indenture witnesseth, that in consideration of the sum of £ of lawful money of Great Britain, in hand well and truly received by the said A. B., from the said C. D., at or before the signing and sealing of these presents, the receipt whereof the said A. B. doth hereby acknowledge, and of and from the same and every part thereof, doth acquit, release, and for ever absolutely discharge the said C. D., his executors, administrators, and assigns: he, the said A. B., hath bargained, sold, transferred, and set over unto the said C. D., his executors, administrators, and assigns, all those the said letters patent hereinbefore mentioned, and all benefit, profit, and advantage whatsoever thereof and therefrom, and all right, title,

* The number of months set forth in the letters patent are to be here inserted.

property, claim, and demand whatsoever, both at law and in equity, of him the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, in or to the letters patent hereby assigned, or expressed and intended so to be.

To have and to hold the said letters patent, and all and singular other the premises hereby assigned, or intended so to be, unto the said C. D., his executors, administrators, and assigns, for his and their absolute benefit, in as ample and beneficial a manner, to all intents and purposes, as the said A. B. might or could have held or enjoyed the same if these presents had not been made.

And further, the said A. B. doth, for himself, his executors and administrators, promise, covenant, and agree to and with the said C. D., his executors, administrators, and assigns, by these presents, in manner following; (that is to say), that he, the said A. B., now hath in himself good right and full power and authority to assign the said letters patent and premises hereby assigned, or intended so to be, unto the said C. D., his executors, administrators, and assigns, in manner aforesaid, and according to the true intent and meaning of these presents, and that the said letters patent and premises shall and may be lawfully held and enjoyed accordingly, and that free and clear, and freely and clearly acquitted, exonerated, and discharged, or otherwise, by the said A. B., his heirs, executors, or administrators, being at all times well and sufficiently saved, defended, and kept harmless and indemnified from and against all charges and incumbrances whatsoever made, done, or willingly suffered by him, the said A. B., his heirs, executors, and administrators.

And moreover, the said A. B., his heirs, executors, and administrators, lawfully claiming, or to claim, through or under him, them, or any of them, shall and will, from time to time, and at all times hereafter, upon the request, and at

the cost and charges, of the said C. D., his executors, administrators, and assigns, make, do, and execute all such lawful acts, deeds, and things in law whatsoever, for more effectually assigning and assuring the said premises in *manner aforesaid, and according to the true intent* and meaning of these presents, as by the said C. D., his executors, administrators, or assigns, or his or their Counsel in the law, shall or may be advised and required.*

In witness, &c.

A. B. (*seal.*)

Received the day and year first above written, of and from the above-named C. D., the sum of £ , being the consideration money above mentioned.

Witness G. H.

At the back of the deed is written:—

Sealed, signed, and delivered (being first duly stamped) by the within-named A. B., in the presence of

E. F.

Form of Licence to Use Invention.

This Indenture, made the day of , in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and forty-six; between A. B., of the one part, and C. D., of the other part.

WHEREAS the said A. B. was the first and true inventor of [*here insert title of invention*], and the same had never

* Under the Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852, sec. 35, assignments, licences, &c. are to be recorded.

been practised within England, Wales, and the town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, *nor in Her Majesty's Colonies or Plantations abroad:* * in consideration whereof, Her Most Gracious Majesty was pleased to grant unto him, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, her Royal letters patent, bearing date at Westminster, the day of , in the year of her reign, giving and granting unto the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, full power, sole privilege, and authority, that he, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, and every of them, by himself and themselves, or by his or their deputy or deputies, servants or agents, or such others as he, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, or assigns, should at any time agree with, and no others, from time to time, and at all times thereafter, during the term of fourteen years thereby granted, should, and lawfully might, make, use, exercise, and vend his said invention within England, Wales, and the town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, *and in the Islands of Guernsey, Jersey, Alderney, Sark, and Man, and also in all her said Majesty's Colonies and Plantations abroad,** in such manner as to him, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, shall in his or their discretion seem meet; and that he, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, shall, and lawfully may, have and enjoy the whole profit, benefit, and advantage, from time to time coming, growing, accruing, and arising by reason of the said invention, for and during the said term of fourteen years. In which said letters patent there is contained a proviso, that if the said A. B. shall not, within the space of † calendar months, enrol a full and par-

* The words in italics are to be left out when the patent does not extend to those places.

† The number of months set forth in the letters patent are to be here inserted.

ticular description of the nature of the said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal, that then the said letters patent and all liberties and advantages whatsoever thereby granted should utterly cease, determine, and become void. And whereas the said A. B., in pursuance of the said proviso, did particularly describe and ascertain the nature of his said invention, and in what manner the same was to be performed by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal, and did cause the same to be duly enrolled according to the said proviso.

And whereas the said A. B. hath agreed to grant a licence to the said C. D., his executors, administrators, and assigns, to use the said invention to the extent of [*two machines*] made and constructed according to the specification of the said letters patent.

Now, this indenture witnesseth, that in pursuance of the said agreement, and in consideration of the sum of pounds by the said C. D. to the said A. B., well and truly paid, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, the said A. B., for himself, his executors, administrators, and assigns, hath granted, and by these presents doth grant to the said C. D., his executors and administrators, full power, licence, and authority to erect, have, and use [*two machines*,*] made and constructed according to the invention aforesaid, for and during, and unto the full end and term of the letters patent aforesaid; subject, nevertheless, to the conditions and provisos hereinafter mentioned. And in consideration of the aforesaid privilege or licence, the said C. D., for himself, his executors and administrators, doth promise and agree to erect [*two such machines*] in the factory, situate , and that in case, at any time hereafter, he or they, the said

* In some instances the size or capacity of the machine should be fully stated, depending on the peculiar character of the invention.

C. D., his executors and administrators, may find it desirable to move such machines as aforesaid, to any other factory in his or their occupation, that then the said C. D., his executors or administrators, shall and will give notice, in writing, to that effect, to the said A. B., his executors, administrators, or assigns, and that he, the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, shall and may, twice in every year, at seasonable times in the day, enter such manufactory, containing the aforesaid machines. And that the said C. D., his executors and administrators, shall not, nor will at any time or times hereafter, during the continuance of the aforesaid letters patent, set up or erect, or permit to be set up or erected, in any factory in his or their occupation, any other machines similar to those described in the specification of the said letters patent, nor any part or parts thereof which are claimed and form part of the said invention, without the licence and consent, in writing, of the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, first had and obtained in that behalf. And that the said C. D., his executors and administrators, shall not, nor will at any time hereafter, during the said term of fourteen years, either directly or indirectly, do, or cause to be done, any act, matter, or thing which would injure, or tend to injure, the validity of the said letters patent, or privileges thereby granted, but will at all times give every information that the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, may support, uphold, and retain the rights and privileges so granted as aforesaid.

And lastly, for the true and faithful performance of every covenant, article, matter, and thing herein contained, the said C. D., for himself, his heirs, executors, and administrators, doth bind each and every of them unto the said A. B., his executors, administrators, and assigns, in the

penal sum of pounds, of lawful money of Great Britain.

In witness, &c.

A. B. (*seal*).
C. D. (*seal*).

Received, the day and year within written, the sum of pounds, being the consideration-money within mentioned.

Witness G. H.

On the back of the deed is written :—

Sealed, signed, and delivered (being first duly stamped) by the within-named A. B. and C. D., in the presence of

E. F.

Macintosh, Printer, Great New-street, London.