TABLE OF CASES

1 Judgments of the Court of Justice of the European Communities and of the Court of First Instance

(Note: References are to the chapter and endnote number. For example, the *Adidas* case is cited in Chapter 4, endnote 28. References to the Commission are in the short form.)

C-223/98 *Adidas AG v Arlanda Customs Office*
4n28

C-44/98 *BASF AG v President of the German Patent Office*
4n18

262/88 *Barber v Guardian Royal Exchange Insurance Group*
1n24

402/85 *Basset v SACEM (SACEM I)*
6n11

C-427ff/93 *Bristol-Myers Squibb et al v Paranova*
5n46

C-39/97 *Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc*
5n44

3/78 *Centrafarm v American Home Products*
5n3

15/74 *Centrafarm BV and de Peijper v Sterling Drug Inc*
1n2, 2n12, 3n15, 4n10

16/74 *Centrafarm BV v Winthrop BV*
2n13, 3n15, 4n12, 5n11

10/89 *CNL-Sucal v HAG (HAG II)*
5n9, 5n14

62/79 *Coditel SA v Cine Vog Films SA (Coditel I)*
3n19, 6n6, 6n9

262/81 *Coditel SA v Cine Vog Films SA (Coditel II)*
6n10

C-326/92 *Phil Collins v Imtrat Handels GmbH*
6n15

C-213/98 *Commission v Ireland*
6n49

C-30/90 *Commission v United Kingdom*
4n19

53/87 *Consorzio Italiano delle componentistica di Ricambio per autoveicoli v Regie Nationale des Usines Renault*
4n35

56ff/64 *Consten,* see *Etablissements Consten*

C-266/96 *Corsica Ferries France*
4n20

186/87 *Cowan v Trésor Public*
6n14

55/80 *Dansk Supermarked AS v Imerco AS*
5n83, 6n6
C-414ff/99 *Davidoff v A & G Imports Ltd*
5n50
78/70 *Deutsche Grammaphon GmbH v Metro-SB-Grossmaerkte GmbH*
2n10, 3n20 6n5
T-19/99 *Deutsche Krankenversicherung AG v OHIM*
5n95
C-317/91 *Deutsche Renault AG v Audi AG*
5n15, 5n21
51/75 *EMI v CBS*
3n21, 5n11
C-293/98 *Entidad de Gestion de Derechos de los Productores Audiovisuales (EGEDA) v Hostelaria Asturiana SA (Hoasa)*
6n54
56ff/64 *Etablissements Consten SA and Grundig-Verkaufs GmbH v Commission*
5n6
C-392/97 *Farmitalia Carlo Erba Srl*
4n26
T-91/99 *Ford Motor Company v OHIM*
5n96
313/94 *Fratelli Graffione v Ditta Fransa*
5n38, 5n51
55 and 57/80 *GEMA,* see *Musik-Vertrieb Membran*
C-316/95 *Generics BV v Smith, Kline & French Laboratories Ltd*
4n7
192/73 *HAG I,* see *Van Zuylen*
10/89 *HAG II,* see *CNL-Sucal*
T-345/99 *Harbinger v OHIM*
5n97
102/77 *Hoffmann-La Roche v Centrafarm*
5n13
60/81 *IBM v Commission*
6n45
144/81 *Keurkoop BV v Nancy Keane Gifts BV*
2n26
T-107/94 *Kik v Council*
5n81
C-349/95 *Loendersloot Internationale Expeditie v George Ballantine & Son Ltd*
5n47
C-241ff/91P *Magill, see Radio Telefis Eireann*
C-267f/95 *Merck v Primecrown*
3n25, 4n14, 6n17
187/80 *Merck v Stephar*
4n15

C-61/97 *Metronome Video et al v Laserdisken (Pedersen)*
6n48
395/87 *Ministère Public v Tournier (SACEM II)*
6n19
55 and 57/80 *Musik-Vertrieb Membran GmbH and K-Tel International v GEMA*
3n19, 6n6, 6n18, 6n21
C-377/98 *Netherlands v Parliament and Council*
4n34
258/78 *Nungesser and Eisler v Commission*
4n31
C-337/95 *Parfums Christian Dior SA v Evora BV*
5n48
24/67 *Parke, Davis v Probel*
2n7, 4n8
1/81 *Pfizer v Eurimpharm*
5n13
19/84 *Pharmon BV v Hoechst AG*
4n15
352-95 *Phytheron International SA v Jean Bourdon SA*
5n49
C-383/98 *The Polo/Lauren Company v Dwidua Langgeng Pratana IFF*
4n30
270/80 *Polydor v Harlequin Record Shops*
5n13
T-122/99 *Proctor & Gamble v OHIM*
5n98
C-241ff/91P *Radio Telefis Eireann et al v Commission*
2n14, 3n8, 3n27, 3n29, 3n30, 6n8, 6n16, 6n20, 6n69
C-251/95 *Sabel BV v Puma AG*
3n26, 5n16, 5n44
402/85 *SACEM I*, see *Basset*
395/87 *SACEM II,* see *Ministère Public v Tournier*
C-312/98 *Schutzverband gegen Unwesen in der Wirtschaft eV v Warsteiner Brauerei Hans Cramer GmbH & Co KG*
5n86
C-173/98 *Sebago Inc et al v GB-Unic SA*
5n50
C-355/96 *Silhouette International Schmied Gmbh & Co KG v Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH*
5n50
40/70 *Sirena Srl v Eda GmbH*
2n8, 3n22, 5n7
60f/84 *Societe Cineteque v FNCF*
6n48
C-350/92 *Spain v Council*
4n21, 4n24

119/75 *Terrapin v Terranova*
4n12, 5n10, 5n20
35/87 *Thetford Corporation v Fiamma SpA*
4n16
192/73 *Van Zuylen Freres v Hag AG (HAG I)*
2n11, 5n8
158/86 *Warner Brothers v Christiansen*
3n24, 6n12, 6n47

2 Opinions of the Court of Justice of the European Communities

1/94 *Re World Trade Organisation*
1n40

3 Judgments of the Court of Justice of the European Free Trade Association

E-2/97 *Mag Instruments Inc v California Trading Company Norway*
5n50

[Note. Many cases are now coming to the Court of First Instance by way of appeal from the Community Trade Mark Office ("OHIM"). Most of these are concerned with the question whether individual trade marks should or should not be registered. Some, however, raise points of principle about grounds for refusal. See the end of Chapter 5 for illustrations of the type of case concerned.]